

# 流体力学的微分の変分公式とその応用について

Variational formulas for  $L_s$ -canonical differentials and applications

濱野 佐知子 (福島大学)\*

## 1. Modulus of the closing of $(R, \chi)$

Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface of genus one and  $\chi = \{A, B\}$  be a fixed canonical homology basis of  $R$  modulo dividing cycles. Consider a triplet  $(\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i})$  consisting of a (closed) torus  $\hat{R}$ , a canonical homology basis  $\hat{\chi} = \{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}$  of  $\hat{R}$ , and a conformal embedding  $\hat{i}$  of  $R$  into  $\hat{R}$  such that  $\hat{i}(A)$  (resp.  $\hat{i}(B)$ ) is homologous to  $\hat{A}$  (resp.  $\hat{B}$ ) in  $\hat{R}$ . We say that two such triplets  $(\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i})$  and  $(\hat{R}', \hat{\chi}', \hat{i}')$  are equivalent if there is a conformal mapping  $f$  of  $\hat{R}$  onto  $\hat{R}'$  with  $f \circ \hat{i} = \hat{i}'$  on  $R$ . Each equivalence class is called a *closing* of  $(R, \chi)$  and is denoted by  $[\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i}]$ . The closing  $[\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i}]$  carries a unique holomorphic differential  $\phi^{\hat{R}}$  with  $\int_{\hat{A}} \phi^{\hat{R}} = 1$ , which is called the *normal differential* for  $(\hat{R}, \hat{\chi})$ . We call

$$\tau[\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i}] := \int_{\hat{B}} \phi^{\hat{R}}$$

the *modulus* of  $[\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i}]$ , and remark that two different closings may have the same modulus. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(R, \chi)$  the set of closings of  $(R, \chi)$  and put

$$\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi) = \{\tau = \tau[\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i}] \in \mathbb{C} \mid [\hat{R}, \hat{\chi}, \hat{i}] \in \mathcal{C}(R, \chi)\}.$$

The moduli set  $\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi)$  obviously lies in the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ .

## 2. Canonical differentials and Moduli disk $\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi)$

Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface of genus one in a Riemann surface  $\tilde{R}$  such that  $R \Subset \tilde{R}$  and  $\partial R$  consists of  $C^\omega$  smooth contours;  $\partial R = C_1 + \cdots + C_\nu$ . Let  $\phi$  be a holomorphic differential on  $\bar{R} = R \cup \partial R$ , precisely, on a neighborhood of  $\bar{R}$  in  $\tilde{R}$ . We say that  $\phi$  is a *canonical differential* on  $R$  in the sense of Kusunoki if  $\phi$  satisfies  $\int_{C_j} \phi = 0$  and  $\text{Im } \phi = 0$  on  $C_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ ). In other words, for a thin tubular neighborhood  $V_j$  of  $C_j$ , the branch on  $V_j$  of the abelian integral  $\Phi(z) = \int^z \phi$  is a single-valued holomorphic function on  $V_j$  such that  $\text{Im } \Phi(z) = \text{const.}$  on  $C_j$ .

**Theorem 1** (Kusunoki [1]). *Let  $R$  be as above, and let  $\chi = \{A, B\}$  be a canonical homology basis of  $R$  modulo dividing cycles. For every  $-1 < s \leq 1$  there uniquely exists a holomorphic differential  $\phi_s$  on  $R$  such that*

(i)  $e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}s} \phi_s$  is a canonical differential on  $R$ , (ii)  $\int_A \phi_s = 1$ .

We call  $\phi_s$  the  $L_s$ -canonical differential for  $(R, \chi)$ . Shiba showed that there uniquely exists a closing  $[\hat{R}_s, \hat{\chi}_s, \hat{i}_s]$  of  $(R, \chi)$  such that the transplant of  $\phi_s$  extends to the normal differential  $\phi^{\hat{R}_s}$  on  $\hat{R}_s$ , where  $\hat{\chi}_s = \{\hat{A}_s, \hat{B}_s\}$ . Thus,  $\tau_s = \int_{\hat{B}_s} \phi_s$  is equal to  $\tau[\hat{R}_s, \hat{\chi}_s, \hat{i}_s] = \int_{\hat{B}_s} \phi^{\hat{R}_s}$ . Therefore, for  $-1 < s \leq 1$ , the  $L_s$ -canonical differential  $\phi_s$  for  $(R, \chi)$  satisfies the following properties on precisely  $C_j$ :

$$\int_{C_j} \phi_s = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im} [e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}s} \phi_s] = 0 \quad \text{on } C_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, \nu).$$

This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(C)15K04914.

\* e-mail: hamano@educ.fukushima-u.ac.jp

**Theorem 2** (Shiba [6]). (1) The moduli set  $\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi)$  is a closed disk; there exists  $\tau^* \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < \rho < \text{Im } \tau^*$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi) = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H} \mid |\tau - \tau^*| \leq \rho\}$ .

(2) Each boundary point of the moduli disk  $\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi)$  corresponds to the single element of  $\mathcal{C}(R, \chi)$  as follows:  $\tau_s = \tau^* + \rho e^{(s-\frac{1}{2})\pi i}$ ,  $-1 < s \leq 1$ .

We call  $\mathfrak{M}(R, \chi)$  the *moduli disk* for  $(R, \chi)$  and  $2\rho$  the *Euclidean diameter* for  $R$ .

### 3. Results

Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \pi, \Delta)$  be a holomorphic family such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  is a two-dimensional complex manifold;  $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < r\}$ ; and  $\pi$  is a holomorphic projection from  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  onto  $\Delta$ . We assume that each fiber  $\tilde{R}(t) = \pi^{-1}(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$  is non-compact, irreducible and non-singular in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ , so that  $\tilde{R}(t)$  is an open Riemann surface. Let  $(\mathcal{R}, \pi|_{\mathcal{R}}, \Delta)$  be a sub-holomorphic family of  $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \pi, \Delta)$  such that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ ;  $\partial\mathcal{R}$  is  $C^\omega$  smooth in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  of real 3-dimensional surface;  $R(t) = (\pi|_{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(t) \Subset \tilde{R}(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$ ; and  $R(t)$  is a bordered Riemann surface of genus one with  $C^\omega$  smooth boundary  $\partial R(t)$  in  $\tilde{R}(t)$ :  $\partial R(t) = C_1(t) + \dots + C_\nu(t)$  ( $\nu$  does not depend on  $t \in \Delta$ ).

For  $t \in \Delta$ , we fix a canonical homology basis  $\chi(t) = \{A(t), B(t)\}$  of  $R(t)$  modulo dividing cycles  $C_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ ) such that  $A(t)$  and  $B(t)$  move continuously in  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $t$ . Each  $R(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$  carries the  $L_s$ -canonical differential  $\phi_s(t, z)$  for  $(R(t), \chi(t))$ , so that  $\int_{A(t)} \phi_s(t, z) = 1$ . We set  $\tau_s(t) = \int_{B(t)} \phi_s(t, z)$ . As usual we write  $\phi_s(t, z) = f_s(t, z)dz$  by use of the local parameter of  $R(t)$ .

**Theorem 3** ([3]). For  $-1 < s \leq 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \text{Im} [e^{-\pi i s} \tau_s(t)]}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial R(t)} k_2(t, z) |f_s(t, z)|^2 |dz| - \left\| \frac{\partial \phi_s(t, z)}{\partial \bar{t}} \right\|_{R(t)}^2.$$

Here

$$k_2(t, z) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|^2 - 2 \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\} + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \right) \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|^{-3} \quad (1)$$

on  $\partial\mathcal{R}$  where  $\varphi(t, z)$  is a  $C^2$  smooth defining function of  $\partial\mathcal{R}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  (see [5, (1.2)]).

**Corollary 4** ([3]). Assume that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Stein manifold. Then

- (1) the Euclidean diameter  $2\rho(t)$  of  $\mathfrak{M}(R(t), \chi(t))$  is subharmonic on  $\Delta$ ;
- (2) if  $\rho(t)$  is harmonic on  $\Delta$ , then the center  $\tau^*(t)$  of  $\mathfrak{M}(R(t), \chi(t))$  holomorphically moves on  $\Delta$  and  $\rho(t) = \rho(0)$  for every  $t \in \Delta$ .

If time allows, we shall discuss the relation between Theorem 3 and the following variational formulas for  $L_1$ - and  $L_0$ -principal functions:

Let  $R(t)$  be a bordered Riemann surface of genus  $g (\geq 0)$  with  $C^\omega$  smooth boundary  $\partial R(t)$  in  $\tilde{R}(t)$ . Let  $\{A_l(t), B_l(t)\}_{l=1}^g$  be a canonical homology basis of  $R(t)$  modulo dividing cycles  $C_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ ) such that  $A_l(t)$  and  $B_l(t)$  vary continuously with  $t \in \Delta$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Assume that there exists a section  $\mathbf{a} := \{a(t) \in R(t) \mid t \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\Delta$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} := \Delta \times \{|z - \zeta| < r\}$  be a  $\pi$ -local coordinate of a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}$  corresponds to  $\Delta \times \{\zeta\}$ . Let  $t \in \Delta$  be fixed. Then among all harmonic functions  $u(t, z)$  on  $R(t) \setminus \{a(t)\}$  with singularity  $\text{Re } \frac{1}{z - \zeta}$  at  $a(t)$  normalized

so that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (u(t, z) - \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z-\zeta}) = 0$ , we have two uniquely determined functions  $p_i(t, z)$  ( $i = 1, 0$ ) with the following boundary conditions ( $L_i$ ): for  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ ,

$$(L_1) \quad p_1(t, z) = c_j(t) \text{ (constant) on } C_j(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{C_j(t)} \frac{\partial p_1(t, z)}{\partial n_z} ds_z = 0;$$

$$(L_0) \quad \frac{\partial p_0(t, z)}{\partial n_z} = 0 \quad \text{on } C_j(t).$$

Then  $p_i(t, z) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{z-\zeta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^i(t)(z-\zeta)^n \right\}$  at  $\zeta$  ( $i = 1, 0$ ). Each  $R(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$  carries the  $L_i$ -principal function  $p_i(t, z)$  and the  $L_i$ -constant  $\alpha_i(t) := \operatorname{Re} \{A_1^i(t)\}$  for  $(R(t), a(t))$ .

**Lemma 5** ([2]).

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_1(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} = - \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial R(t)} k_2(t, z) \left| \frac{\partial p_1(t, z)}{\partial z} \right|^2 ds_z + \frac{4}{\pi} \iint_{R(t)} \left| \frac{\partial^2 p_1(t, z)}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \right|^2 dx dy \right). \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_0(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial R(t)} k_2(t, z) \left| \frac{\partial p_0(t, z)}{\partial z} \right|^2 ds_z + \frac{4}{\pi} \iint_{R(t)} \left| \frac{\partial^2 p_0(t, z)}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \right|^2 dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^g \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_{A_l(t)} *dp_0(t, z) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}} \left( \int_{B_l(t)} *dp_0(t, z) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here  $k_2(t, z)$  is the same as (1).

If  $\mathcal{R}$  is pseudoconvex and each  $R(t)$  is **planar**, the contrast between the superharmonicity of  $\alpha_1(t)$  and the subharmonicity of  $\alpha_0(t)$  is unified with the Schiffer span  $s(t) := \alpha_0(t) - \alpha_1(t)$ . In [2] we applied the *logarithmically* subharmonicity of  $s(t)$  to show the simultaneous uniformization of moving *planar* Riemann surfaces of class  $O_{AD}$ .

## References

- [1] Y. Kusunoki, *Theory of Abelian integrals and its applications to conformal mappings*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A. **32** Math. no.2 (1959), 235-258.
- [2] S. Hamano, *Uniformity of holomorphic families of non-homeomorphic planar Riemann surfaces*, Annales Polonici Mathematici **111** no.2 (2014), 165-182.
- [3] S. Hamano, Variational formulas for  $L_s$ -canonical differentials and applications (preprint).
- [4] S. Hamano, M. Shiba, and H. Yamaguchi, *Hyperbolic span and pseudoconvexity* (submitted).
- [5] N. Levenberg and H. Yamaguchi, *The metric induced by the Robin function*, Mem. AMS. **92**, no. 448 (1991), 1-156.
- [6] M. Shiba, *The moduli of compact continuations of an open Riemann surface of genus one*, Transactions of the AMS. **301** no.1 (1987), 299-311.