

# Stochastic flows and rough differential equations on foliated spaces

Yuzuru INAHAMA

Kyushu University

20 Nov 2019      at Tohoku University  
(Jointwork with Kiyotaka Suzuki.    arXiv: 1910.09962)

# Aim of the talk

- We consider SDE on compact foliated space. First introduced and solved by Suzuki (2015)
- We prove that stochastic flow associated to it exists.
- Our method is rough path theory. For, Kolmogorov-Čentsov continuity criterion is UNavailable.
- From a viewpoint of RP theory, there is no big difficulty in constructing the flow.
- Our work may open the door for full stochastic analysis on foliated spaces (SDE theory, rough path theory, Malliavin calculus, path space analysis, etc.)

Consider the following SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or manifold:

$$dx_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(x_t) \circ dw_t^i + V_0(x_t)dt, \quad x_0 = \xi \quad (\text{given}).$$

Here,  $V_i$ 's are nice vector fields,  $(w_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is  $d$ -dim BM,  $\xi$  is an initial value. We often write  $x_t = x(t, \xi, w)$ .

- $\xi \mapsto x(t, \xi, w)$  is called the stochastic flow of homeo/diffeomorphism associated with the SDE.
- Stochastic flows play key roles in stochastic analysis over (Riemannian) manifolds. ( $\exists$  Many deep results.)

One of the hardest parts of stochastic flow theory is its existence, i.e., the existence of a r.v.

$$w \mapsto [\xi \mapsto x(t, \xi, w)]$$

because the negligible null set for the SDE depends on  $\xi$  and there are uncountably many  $\xi$ 's.

The standard (and only?) tool to overcome this difficulty is Kolmogorov-Čentsov criterion for  $\exists$  of conti. modification.

$$\mathbf{E}[|x(t, \xi, \cdot) - x(s, \eta, \cdot)|^\heartsuit] \lesssim \text{dist}((t, \xi), (s, \eta))^{n+1+\spadesuit}$$

But, this criterion works only on (a subset of) Euclidean space.

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact **foliated space**.  $\mathcal{M}$  itself and its transversal direction are just (locally compact) metric spaces.
- But, a certain differential structure is given (“leafwise  $C^k$ ”).
- So, there are SDEs on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$dx_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(x_t) \circ dw_t^i + V_0(x_t)dt, \quad x_0 = \xi \quad (\text{given}).$$


Here,  $V_i$ 's are leafwise smooth (or  $C^3$ ) vector fields,  
 $(w_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is  $d$ -dim BM,  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$  is an initial value.

Formulated and solved by Suzuki (Tohoku, 2005)

for every fixed  $\xi$ . But, since KC criterion is NOT available,

$\exists$  **Stochastic Flow?**

- To prove the existence of  $w \mapsto [\xi \mapsto x(t, \xi, w)]$ , we will use **Rough Path Theory**.
- RP theory is a “deterministic version” of Itô’s SDE theory.
- The solution map of rough differential eq., Lyons-Itô map, is continuous in all input data  $(\xi, V_i, \text{“the lift of } w\text{”})$ .
- RDE naturally generates a flow in a deterministic way.
- Only probabilistic part is lifting the noise  $w \mapsto W$  (BRP).  
Hence, this is the only place where “exceptional null set” appears. Notice it is clearly independent of  $\xi$ .

Quite natural to guess: If we define RDE on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then we can easily construct the stochastic flow on  $\mathcal{M}$ .   
(Loosely, this is our main result.)

# Rough Differential Equation

- Geometric Rough Path

$\Delta := \{(s, t) \mid 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1],$   
 $A : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ conti.}$

$$\|A\|_\alpha := \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq 1} |A_{s,t}| / |t - s|^\alpha$$

$T^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^d \oplus (\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$   
(truncated tensor algebra of step 2)

**Definition (rough path)**  $\alpha \in (1/3, 1/2]$  "roughness"

A conti. map  $W = (1, W^1, W^2) : \Delta \rightarrow T^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

is said to be a rough path if

(i) K. T. Chen's identity  $0 \leq s \leq u \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$W_{s,t}^1 = W_{s,u}^1 + W_{u,t}^1,$$

$$W_{s,t}^2 = W_{s,u}^2 + W_{u,t}^2 + W_{s,u}^1 \otimes W_{u,t}^1$$

(ii)  $\alpha$ -Hölder condition  $\|W^1\|_\alpha < \infty, \|W^2\|_{2\alpha} < \infty.$  -

$\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ : The set of  $\alpha$ -Hölder RPs.  $2 = [1/\alpha]$

(We will write  $W = (W^1, W^2)$  for simplicity.)



### Example (smooth RP)

$h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ; a Cameron-Martin path.

$$H_{s,t}^1 := h_t - h_s, \quad H_{s,t}^2 := \int_s^t (h_u - h_s) \otimes dh_u$$

This  $H = (H^1, H^2)$  is clearly a RP. Lift of  $h$ .

The lift map is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.,  $H = \mathcal{L}(h)$ .

Definition (the geometric RP space) (complete, separable)

$$G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) := \overline{\{\mathcal{L}(h) \mid h \in \mathcal{H}\}}^{d_\alpha} \subset \Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Rough Differential Equation

$$V_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad C_b^3. \quad (0 \leq i \leq d)$$

(Often viewed as vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)

- For  $W \in G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , consider the following equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is called RDE driven by  $W$ :

$$dx_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(x_t) d\mathbf{W}_t^i + V_0(x_t) dt, \quad x_0 = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- If  $W$  is a natural lift of a CM path  $h$ , i.e.,  $W = \mathcal{L}(h)$ , then RDE solution coincides with the solution of  $dx_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(x_t) dh_t^i + V_0(x_t) dt$ ,  $x_0 = \xi$  in the standard sense. (Of course!)

Thorem (Lyons' continuity thm) The solution map

$$(W, \xi, \{V_i\}) \mapsto x \in C^\alpha([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous from  $G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)^{d+1}$ .

[Remark] For our porpose, continuity in  $\{V_i\}$  is crucial.

[Remark] Though the definition of geometric RP is unique,  
 $\exists$  several formulations of RDE (at least 5 or 6?).

# Brownian Rough Path

$w = (w_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ :  $d$ -dim BM.

$w(m) = (w(m)_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ : dyadic piecewise linear approximation of  $w$  associated with the partition  $\{j/2^m : 0 \leq j \leq 2^m\}$ .

Then, the following set is of Wiener measure 1:

$$\{w : \{\mathcal{L}(w(m))\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is Cauchy in } G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

So, we set  $\mathcal{L}(w) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(w(m))$  if  $w$  belongs to the above subset (and set  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  to be zero-RP if otherwise).

Then,  $\mathcal{L}: C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a everywhere-defined Borel measurable map.

If we put  $W = \mathcal{L}(w)$  in Lyons-Itô map, then  $x = x(\mathcal{L}(w), \xi, \{V_i\})$  coincides with the solution of corresponding Stratonovich SDE.

(Thanks to Wong-Zakai's approximation & Lyons continuity theorem)

Thus, the solution of SDE is expressed as the image of a continuous map.

# Three Major formalisms of RDE

- Lyons' original formulation

Solution is a fixed point of rough integral equation.  
Both RP integrals and solutions are rough paths.

- Gubinelli's formulation

Solution is a fixed point of rough integral equation.  
Both RP integrals and solutions are controlled paths  
w.r.t. given  $W \in G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

- Davie's formulation Use Euler-Taylor type expansion as definition. Solution is a usual path.  
( $\exists$  some variants, e.g., Bailleul's works.)

One of the variants of Davie's formulation (by Bailleul):  
 $(x_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  solves the RDE if and only if

$$f(x_t) - f(x_s) = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i f(x_s) W_{s,t}^{1,i} + \sum_{j,k=1}^d V_j V_k f(x_s) W_{s,t}^{2,jk} \\ + V_0 f(x_s)(t-s) + O(|t-s|^{3\alpha}), \quad \forall f \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}).$$

This formulation works very well on manifolds because

- A solution is a usual path (No “higher objects”).
- Independent of the choice of local chart.

So we will use this type of formulation.

# Foliated Spaces

- Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Z}$  be locally compact metric space.
- Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p, B \subset \mathcal{Z}$  be open. A function  $f: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called leafwise  $C^k$  if  $f = f(y, z)$  is  $C^k$  in  $y$  for each fixed  $z$  and the derivatives are continuous in  $(y, z)$ .
- Let  $\phi: A \times B \rightarrow \hat{A} \times \hat{B}$  is called leafwise  $C^k$  if it is of the form  $\phi(y, z) = (f(y, z), g(z))$  for some  $f \in C_L^k$  and some continuous  $g$ .



**Definition (foliated space)**  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a  $p$ -dimensional foliated space (transversely modelled on  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\exists$  open cover  $\{U_\beta\}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\exists$  homeo  $\phi_\beta: U_\beta \rightarrow A_\beta \times B_\beta$ , where  $A_\beta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $B_\beta \subset \mathcal{Z}$  are certain open subsets.
- $\phi_\beta \circ \phi_\gamma^{-1}: \phi_\gamma(U_\beta \cap U_\gamma) \rightarrow \phi_\beta(U_\beta \cap U_\gamma)$  are leafwise  $C^\infty$ .

- A set of the form  $\phi_\beta(A_\beta \times \{z\})$  is called a plaque.
- Patching together intersecting plaques, you get a leaf on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Each leaf is a  $C^\infty$ -manifold. Different leaves never intersect.

♣ Foliated manifold  $\implies$  Lamination  $\implies$  Foliated space.

♠ In what follows,  $\mathcal{M}$  is assumed to be **compact**.

Let  $V_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) be leafwise  $C^3$  vector fields. SDEs on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$dx_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(x_t) \circ dw_t^i + V_0(x_t)dt, \quad x_0 = \xi \quad (\text{given}).$$

Formulated and Solved by Suzuki (2015).

The corresponding RDE should be

$$dx_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(x_t) d\mathbf{W}_t^i + V_0(x_t)dt, \quad x_0 = \xi \quad (\text{given}).$$

### Definition (Solution to RDE)

$(x_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  is said to solve the RDE on  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $x_0 = \xi$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_t) - f(x_s) = & \sum_{i=1}^d V_i f(x_s) W_{s,t}^{1,i} + \sum_{j,k=1}^d V_j V_k f(x_s) W_{s,t}^{2,jk} \\ & + V_0 f(x_s)(t-s) + O(|t-s|^{3\alpha}), \quad \forall f \in C_L^3(\mathcal{M}) \end{aligned}$$

### [Remark]

A (time-local) solution never gets out of the initial plaque.  
Hence, a solution stays in one leaf.

### [Fact]

$\exists!$  unique global solution for every  $\xi$  and  $W = (W^1, W^2)$ .

[Key Point] In a local chart

$$\mathcal{M} \supset U \ni x \longleftrightarrow (y, z) \in A \times B \subset \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathcal{Z},$$

the RDE on  $\mathcal{M}$  is equivalent to the following one on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ :

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(y_t, z_0) d\mathbf{W}_t^i + V_0(y_t, z_0) dt, \quad \phi(\xi) = (y_0, z_0)$$

Therefore, varying the initial value  $\xi$  in the transversal direction amounts to varying the coefficient vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ -valued RDE. (The continuity in  $\xi$  is heuristically evident.)

$\implies$  The flow associated with RDE on  $\mathcal{M}$  exists  
and it is a “leafwise homeomorphism”

# Main Result

## Theorem 1 (I.-Suzaki, soon to finish)

*Let  $W$  be Brownian rough path and consider the RDE on  $\mathcal{M}$  driven by  $W$ . Then, the global solution  $x_t = x(t, \xi, W)$  coincides with the solution of corresponding stratonovich SDE. Moreover,*

$$w \mapsto [(t, \xi) \mapsto x(t, \xi, W) \in \mathcal{M}]$$

*almost surely defines a flow of leafwise homeomorphisms.*

- In reality, this is a flow of leaf-preserving **leafwise diffeomorphisms** of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Some comments are in order:

- Beside basic (and a little bit cumbersome) calculations of rough paths, Wong-Zakai's approximation is needed.
- The only exceptional null set is  $\{w: w \text{ does not admit a RP lift}\}$ . But, this is clearly independent of the initial value  $\xi$ .
- The inverse flow is given by the solution to the RDE driven by the time reversal of the same rough path.

# Simple Applications

[1] As usual, the heat semigroup associated with  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d V_i^2 + V_0$  admits a Feynman-Kac representation:

$$T_t f(\xi) = \mathbb{E}[f(x(t, \xi, W))].$$

Suzaki (2015) showed Feller property, i.e.,

$f \in C(\mathcal{M}) \implies T_t f \in C(\mathcal{M})$  by checking the continuity  $\xi \mapsto x(\bullet, \xi, w)$  in the sense of limit in probability. His proof is rather long.

Now this fact immediately follows from our main result.

[2] Measurability issue: In Suzuki (2015),

$$(\xi, w) \mapsto x(\bullet, \xi, w) \quad (\text{strong sol. of SDE})$$

is only shown to be measurable w.r.t.

$$\bigcap \{ \overline{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d))}^{m \times \mu} : m \in \text{Prob}(\mathcal{M}) \},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Wiener measure.

But, this  $\sigma$ -field looks a bit too large.



In our approach, it is written as the composition of Lyons-Itô map and RP lift  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$$(\xi, w) \mapsto x(\bullet, \xi, w) = x(\bullet, \xi, \mathcal{L}(w)).$$

So, as an everywhere defined map, this is measurable w.r.t.

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)).$$

As a  $\mu$ -equivalence class, this is measurable w.r.t.

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{B}(C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d))}^\mu.$$

Therefore, we have slightly improved the previous work.

The End