

Paracontrolled calculus and regularity structures

Masato Hoshino

Kyushu University

November 19, 2019

Joint work with Ismaël Bailleul (Université de Rennes 1)

- 1 Introduction
- 2 From rough path to regularity structures
- 3 Settings and main results

- 1 Introduction
- 2 From rough path to regularity structures
- 3 Settings and main results

Regularity structure (RS, Hairer (2014)) and **paracontrolled calculus** (PC, Gubinelli-Imkeller-Perkowski (2015)) both solve many singular (semilinear or quasilinear) PDEs. They are believed to be equivalent theories, but there are some **gaps**.

- PC is **less general** than RS. For example, the general KPZ equation

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + f(h)(\partial_x h)^2 + g(h)\xi$$

cannot be solved within PC.

- **No** systematic theory in PC (\leftrightarrow “Black box” in RS).
- In PC, solutions are written by **existing analytic tools**. More informations for specific SPDEs were obtained.

\Rightarrow Can we solve general SPDEs (including general KPZ) within PC?

Rough description of the main result

The first step to implant the **algebraic structure** of RS to PC. We obtained the **equivalence** between the two kinds of definitions of the solutions.

Rough path theory	RS		PC
Rough path	Model	\Leftrightarrow [1]	Pararemainders
Controlled path	Modelled distribution	\Leftrightarrow [2]	Paracontrolled distribution
Stochastic integral	[Bruned-Hairer -Zambotti (2019)]	Future work	No systematic theory

- [1] Bailleul-H (2018)
- [2] Bailleul-H (in preparation)

Main result

Consider a RS $\mathcal{T} = (T^+, T)$ satisfying assumptions defined later, that are satisfied in [Bruned-Hairer-Zambotti (2019)].

Theorem (Bailleul-H (2018))

*The space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of all **models** rapidly decreasing at ∞ is homeomorphic to the direct product of Banach spaces;*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{B}_0, |\tau| < 0} \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{|\tau|}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{PB}_0^+} \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{|\sigma|}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Moreover, the space $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma(g; \mathbb{R}^d)$ of all **γ -class modelled distributions** rapidly decreasing at ∞ is homeomorphic to the direct product of Banach spaces;*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma(g; \mathbb{R}^d) \simeq \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{B}_0, |\tau| < \gamma} \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{\gamma - |\tau|}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Original GIP's work was done in the right hand side space. Our result first show that Hairer's work and GIP's work are exactly equivalent (at least in a PDE level).
- For the space Ω^α of α -Hölder rough paths ($1/3 < \alpha \leq 1/2$), our result yields that

$$\Omega^\alpha \simeq \mathcal{C}^\alpha \times \mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}.$$

The meaning is that, \mathcal{C}^α is a space where Brownian motions live, and $\mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}$ represents a difference between Itô integral and Stratonovich integral.

- For a technical reason, we use the weighted space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^\alpha$, not $\mathcal{C}^\alpha = \mathcal{B}_{\infty, \infty}^\alpha$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$,

$$\phi \cdot f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^\alpha.$$

- Gubinelli-Imkeller-Perkowski (2015) rewrote the **reconstruction operator** using harmonic analysis.
- Martin-Perkowski (2018) replaced the notion of modelled distribution by “paramodelled distribution” using GIP’s operator, but the model is still needed.
- Tapia-Zambotti (2018) showed a similar result to ours for the **branched rough path**.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 From rough path to regularity structures
- 3 Settings and main results

Generalization of rough path theory

(Gubinelli's) rough path theory

- $(B^i) \mapsto ((B^i), (\int B^i dB^j))$: **Rough path**
- $Y_t = Y_s + \sum Y_s^i (B_t^i - B_s^i) + (\text{regular enough})$: **Controlled path**

The space of RPs is not linear, and the space of CPs is a "fibre" on RP.

RP is interpreted as a continuous path on a Lie group, in particular, a **character group** on a **Hopf algebra**.

Regularity structure is a theory of

- **Model**, i.e. a continuous function taking values in a character group on a Hopf algebra.
- **Modelled distribution**, i.e. a "fibre" on the space of models.

The geometry is not simple.

Rough path, branched rough path, BHZ Hopf algebra

Hopf algebra $H = \text{“Joining trees”} + \text{“Splitting a tree”}$
 $= \text{product } (\cdot : H \otimes H \rightarrow H) + \text{coproduct } (\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H).$

- Geometric RP: Words $(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n).$

$$\text{Meaning } \int B^i dB^j = (ij),$$

$$\text{Shuffle product } (ij) \sqcup (k) = (kij) + (ikj) + (ijk),$$

$$\text{Admissible cut } \Delta(ij) = (ij) \otimes () + (i) \otimes (j) + () \otimes (ij).$$

- Branched RP: Rooted trees ([Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra](#) (1998))

$$\int B^j B^k dB_i = \begin{array}{c} j \bullet \quad \bullet k \\ \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \\ \quad i \end{array}$$

- Bruned-Hairer-Zambotti (2019): **Rooted decorated trees**
 $= \text{Rooted tree} + \text{Taylor polynomials} + \text{Derivatives} + \text{Renormalizations}.$

Character group on Hopf algebra

For any Hopf algebra (H, \cdot, Δ) , the set G of linear maps $g : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(\tau \cdot \sigma) = g(\tau)g(\sigma)$$

forms a **group**. The product on G :

$$(g * g')(\tau) := (g \otimes g')\Delta\tau.$$

In many settings, G is a Lie group with a canonical distance. We consider a G -valued continuous function $x \mapsto g_x$.

- α -geometric RP is a Lipschitz continuous path $t \mapsto g_t$.
- α -branched RP is a path valued in **Butcher group**.
- Model is Lipschitz continuous function $\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto g_x \in G$.

Concrete regularity structure

Definition

A **concrete regularity structure** is a pair of a **graded Hopf algebra** $T^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A^+} T_\alpha^+$ with a coproduct Δ^+ and a graded linear space $T = \bigoplus_{\beta \in A} T_\beta$ with a linear map $\Delta : T \rightarrow T \otimes T^+$ satisfying the **right comodule** property on T^+ , such that

$$\Delta^+ \tau \in \tau \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau + \bigoplus_{0 < \beta < \alpha} T_\beta^+ \otimes T_{\alpha-\beta}^+,$$

$$\Delta \sigma \in \sigma \otimes \mathbf{1} + \bigoplus_{\gamma < \beta} T_\gamma \otimes T_{\beta-\gamma}^+,$$

for any $\tau \in T_\alpha^+$ and $\sigma \in T_\beta$.

- Each $\tau \in T_\alpha^+$ (or T_α) is said to be have a **homogeneity** α . We write

$$|\tau| = \alpha.$$

- Fix a homogeneous basis $\mathcal{B}^{(+)}$ of $T^{(+)}$. For any $\tau, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}^{(+)}$, we define the element $\tau/\sigma \in T^+$ by

$$\Delta^{(+)}\tau = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}^{(+)}} \sigma \otimes (\tau/\sigma).$$

- Graphical meaning is that, σ is a subtree of τ sharing a same root with τ and τ/σ is a tree τ/\sim_σ , where \sim_σ is an equivalence relation on the nodes of τ defined by

$$n_1 \sim_\sigma n_2 \iff n_1, n_2 \in \sigma.$$

Polynomial regularity structure

An important example is the **polynomial regularity structure**.

- $T^+ = T = \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$.
- $X^k := \prod_{i=1}^d X_i^{k_i}$, where $k = (k_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{N}^d$.
- Product $X^k \cdot X^\ell = X^{k+\ell}$.
- Coproduct $\Delta X^k = \sum \binom{k}{\ell} X^\ell \otimes X^{k-\ell}$.

Definition

The space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all pairs (g, Π) such that

- g is a Lipschitz continuous map from \mathbb{R}^d to the character group G .
- Π is a continuous operator from T to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\Pi_x \tau := (\Pi \otimes g_x^{-1}) \Delta \tau$$

has a $\mathcal{C}^{|\tau|}$ regularity at x .

- $g_x(X_i) = x_i$, $(\Pi X_i)(x) = x_i$. (Canonical definition.)
- $g(\tau)$ and $\Pi \sigma$ rapidly decrease at ∞ for any non-polynomial $\tau \in T^+$ and $\sigma \in T$.

Each element of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called a *model*.

In general, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is not a linear space.

Controlled path and modelled distribution

We consider a “fibre bundle” on the Lie group G .

- A path $t \mapsto Y_t$ is said to be **controlled** by a path X if

$$Y_t = Y_s + Y'_s(X_t - X_s) + (\text{regular enough}).$$

- A T -valued field $f = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{B}} f_\tau \tau$ on \mathbb{R}^d is said to be **modelled** by a model g if

$$f_\tau(y) = \sum_{|\sigma| \geq |\tau|} f_\sigma(x) g_{yx}(\sigma/\tau) + (\text{regular enough}),$$

where $g_{yx} := g_y * g_x^{-1}$.

Definition

Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M = (g, \Pi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The space $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma(g; \mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all T -valued functions

$$f(x) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{B}, |\tau| < \gamma} f_\tau(x) \tau, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

such that

$$f_\tau(y) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}, |\sigma| \geq |\tau|} f_\sigma(x) g_{yx}(\sigma/\tau) + O(|y - x|^{\gamma - |\tau|}), \quad \tau \in \mathcal{B}.$$

In general, $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma(g; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is not a direct product of Banach spaces.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 From rough path to regularity structures
- 3 Settings and main results

Assumptions

Our algebra is compatible with the polynomial structure.

Assumption

The basis \mathcal{B}^+ of T^+ is a graded monoid generated by \mathcal{B}_0^+ , that is the set consisting of

- Taylor monomials X_1, \dots, X_d .
- Derivatives $\partial^k \tau$ of *pure* elements $\tau \in \mathcal{PB}_0^+$.

The coproduct $\Delta^+ \tau$ is of the form

$$\Delta^+ \tau = \sum_{\sigma \notin \{X^k\}} \sigma \otimes (\tau/\sigma) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{X^k}{k!} \otimes \partial^k \tau,$$

where $\partial^k \tau$ is defined for not pure τ by Leibniz rule.

BHZ Hopf algebra satisfies this assumption.

Assumptions

Assumption

The basis \mathcal{B} of T is of the form $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathcal{B}_\circ$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X^k \tau; k \in \mathbb{N}^d, \tau \in \mathcal{B}_\circ\}.$$

For any $\tau \in \mathcal{B}_\circ$, its coproduct $\Delta \tau$ does not have components of the form

$$\sigma \otimes X^k$$

BHZ Hopf algebra does not seem to satisfy this assumption. Indeed,

$$\Delta I(X\Xi) = I(\Xi) \otimes X + \dots$$

However,

Proposition (Bailleul-H. (in preparation))

There is another basis $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of BHZ algebra which satisfies the above assumption.

Theorem (Bailleul-H. (2018))

Let $M = (\Pi, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There exist continuous linear maps

$$[\cdot]^M : T \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad [\cdot]^g : T^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

with the following properties.

- For any $\tau \in T_\alpha$, one has $[\tau]^M \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, and

$$\Pi\tau = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{B}, |\eta| < \alpha} g(\tau/\eta) \otimes [\eta]^M + [\tau]^M.$$

- For any $\sigma \in T_\alpha^+$, one has $[\sigma]^g \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, and

$$g(\sigma) = \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{B}^+, |\zeta| < \alpha} g(\sigma/\zeta) \otimes [\zeta]^g + [\sigma]^g.$$

In general, $\Pi\tau \notin C^{|\tau|}$ nor $g(\sigma) \notin C^{|\sigma|}$.

Theorem (Bailleul-H. (in preparation))

A subfamily

$$\{[\tau]^M; |\tau| < 0\}, \quad \{[\sigma]^g; \sigma \in \mathcal{PB}_o^+\}$$

is sufficient to *reassemble* the model M . The inverse map is continuous. In other words, a *homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{B}_o, |\tau| < 0} \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{|\tau|}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{PB}_o^+} \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{|\sigma|}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is obtained.

Modelled distribution \Rightarrow Paracontrolled distribution

Proposition (Bailleul-H. (2018))

Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M = (g, \Pi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rap}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For any modelled distribution $f = \sum_{|\tau| < \gamma} f_\tau \tau \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma(g; \mathbb{R}^d)$, one has

$$f_\sigma = \sum_{|\sigma| < |\tau| < \gamma} f_\tau \otimes [\tau/\sigma]^g + [f/\sigma]^g, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{B},$$

with $[f/\sigma]^g \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{\gamma-|\sigma|}$. Moreover, the **reconstruction** $\mathcal{R}^M f$ has the form

$$\mathcal{R}^M f = \sum_{|\tau| < \gamma} f_\tau \otimes [\tau]^M + [f]^M,$$

where $[f]^M \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma$.

- $\mathcal{R}f$ is a **reconstruction** $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}f - \Pi_x f(x)$ has a regularity \mathcal{C}^γ at x .
- $\mathcal{R}f$ is unique if $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem (Bailleul-H. (in preparation))

A subfamily

$$\{[f/\tau]^g; \tau \in \mathcal{B}_o\}$$

is sufficient to *reassemble* the modelled distribution f . The inverse map is continuous. In other words, a *homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{rap}}^\gamma(g; \mathbb{R}^d) \simeq \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{B}_o, |\tau| < \gamma} \mathcal{C}_{\text{rap}}^{\gamma-|\tau|}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is obtained.

Outline of the proof

- $\sum_{i \geq -1} \rho_i = 1$: dyadic partition of unity.
- $K_i = \hat{\rho}_i$, $K_{<i} := \sum_{j \leq i-2} K_j$.
- We define $P : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$PF(x) := \sum_i \iint K_{<i}(x-y) K_i(x-z) F(y, z) dy dz.$$

If $F(y, z) = f(y)g(z)$, then

$$PF = f \otimes g.$$

Lemma

Let $\alpha > 0$. If $|F(y, z)| \lesssim |y - z|^\alpha$, then $PF \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$.

We consider (T^+, Δ^+) . By the formula $\Delta^+ \tau = \sum \sigma \otimes (\tau/\sigma)$, we have

$$g_z(\tau) = \sum g_{zy}(\sigma) g_y(\tau/\sigma).$$

By assumption that $\Delta^+ \tau = \tau \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau + \sum_{0 < |\sigma| < |\tau|} \sigma \otimes (\tau/\sigma)$,

$$g_{zy}(\tau) = g_z(\tau) - g_y(\tau) - \sum_{0 < |\sigma| < |\tau|} g_y(\tau/\sigma) g_{zy}(\sigma).$$

Repeating this expansion,

$$g_{zy}(\tau) = g_z(\tau) - g_y(\tau) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{0 < |\sigma_n| < \dots < |\sigma_1| < |\tau|} (g_{\sigma_1}^{\tau} \cdots g_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}})(y) (g_z(\sigma_n) - g_y(\sigma_n)),$$

where $g_{\sigma}^{\tau}(z) := g_z(\tau/\sigma)$.

Applying the operator P ,

$$(\mathcal{C}^{|\tau|}) = g(\tau) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{0 < |\sigma_n| < \dots < |\sigma_1| < |\tau|} (g_{\sigma_1}^{\tau} \cdots g_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}}) \otimes g(\sigma_n).$$

By induction that $g(\sigma_n) = \sum_{0 < |\sigma_{n+1}| < |\sigma_n|} g_{\sigma_{n+1}}^{\sigma_n} \otimes [\sigma_{n+1}]^g + [\sigma_n]^g$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\tau) &= (\mathcal{C}^{|\tau|}) + \sum_{0 < |\sigma| < |\tau|} g_{\sigma}^{\tau} \otimes [\sigma]^g \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{0 < |\sigma_{n+1}| < \dots < |\sigma_1| < |\tau|} R(g_{\sigma_1}^{\tau} \cdots g_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_{n-1}}, g_{\sigma_{n+1}}^{\sigma_n}, [\sigma_{n+1}]^g), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(f, g, h) := f \otimes (g \otimes h) - (fg) \otimes h.$$

By using the expansion of $g_{zy}(\tau)$ again we can show that $\sum R \in \mathcal{C}^{|\tau|}$.

- Group actions and renormalizations done in the simple space $\prod \mathcal{C}$.
 - Pararemainders $[\tau]^M$ for $|\tau| < 0$ are exactly what should be renormalized.
 - Tapia-Zambotti (2018): Free and transitive action of a space $\prod_{\tau} \mathcal{C}^{|\tau|}$ on the space of branched RPs.
- Systematic approach to PC.
 - There were no theories to give a structural meaning to pararemainders.
- Application to real analysis.
 - Hoshino (2019): A systematic proof of the “commutator estimate”.