On the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of SDEs driven by Lévy processes

Hiroshi TSUKADA (Kyoto University)

joint work with Atsushi TAKEUCHI (Osaka City University)

November 20, 2018

Outline

- Introduction
- Previous studies
- Main results
- Proof of main results

SDEs driven by Lévy processes

- Let $W = \{W_t : t \ge 0\}$ be a 1-dimensional Brownian motion.
- Let $Z = \{Z_t : t \ge 0\}$ be a 1-dimensional Lévy process.

Consider 1-dimensional stochastic differential equations (SDEs):

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t a(X_s) ds + \int_0^t b(X_s) dW_s + \int_0^t c(X_{s-}) dZ_s.$$

In this talk, we shall study the pathwise uniqueness (PU) of the solutions to the SDE.

Weak solutions of the SDE

Throughout this talk, we assume the following:

Assumption

Let $a, b, c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with the linear growth condition.

 Under this condition, the SDE has a weak solution. (Situ's book (2005))

In this talk, we shall study the condition on the coefficients $a,\,b,\,c$ under which the PU of solutions of the SDEs can be justified. Our approach is based on Gronwall's inequality.

SDEs driven by BM

Consider the SDE driven by BM (a = 0, c = 0):

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) \, dW_s.$$

• PU holds if b is locally 1/2-Hölder continuous. (Yamada and Watanabe (1971))

Remark

There are counter examples if b is δ -Hölder continuous for $0 < \delta < 1/2$.

SDEs driven by stable processes

When Z is a strictly stable process of index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ with parameter (r_-, r_+) , consider the SDE driven by stable processes (a=0, b=0):

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t c(X_{s-}) dZ_s.$$

- Z: symmetric stable process $(r_- = r_+)$. PU holds if c is $1/\alpha$ -Hölder continuous. (Komatsu (1982))
- Z: spectrally positive stable process $(r_-=0)$. PU holds if c is increasing and $(\alpha-1)/\alpha$ -Hölder continuous. (Li and Mytnik (2011))
- Z: stable process $(r_+ \ge r_-)$. There exists $\beta = \beta(\alpha, r_-/r_+)$ such that the PU holds when c is increasing and $(\alpha - \beta)/\alpha$ -Hölder continuous. (Fournier (2013)) Remark that $(\alpha - \beta)/\alpha \in [(\alpha - 1)/\alpha, 1/\alpha]$.

Our class of driving processes Z

In this talk, we shall study the problem on the PU in the case of the Lévy process with the triplet $(\gamma_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-},\alpha_{+}},\,0,\,\nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-},\alpha_{+}})$ given by

$$\nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-},\alpha_{+}}(dz) = \rho(z) \left(|z|^{-\alpha_{-}-1} \mathbb{I}_{(z<0)} + |z|^{-\alpha_{+}-1} \mathbb{I}_{(z>0)} \right) dz,$$

$$\gamma_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-},\alpha_{+}} = -\int_{|z|>1} z \, \nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-},\alpha_{+}}(dz),$$

where $\rho: \mathbb{R}_0 \to [0, +\infty)$ is a bounded measurable function such that

$$\rho(0+) = \lim_{z \to 0+} \rho(z) > 0, \quad \rho(0-) = \lim_{z \to 0-} \rho(z) \ge 0,$$

and α_- , $\alpha_+ \in (1, 2)$ such that $\alpha_- \leq \alpha_+$. (Remark that $\mathbb{R}_0 := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.)

Examples: our driving process Z

Example 1 (Stable processes)

The Lévy measure of a stable process is

$$\nu(dz) = |z|^{-\alpha - 1} \left(r_{-} \mathbb{I}_{(z < 0)} + r_{+} \mathbb{I}_{(z > 0)} \right) dz,$$

where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and r_-, r_+ are constants such that $0 \le r_- \le r_+$.

Example 2 (Truncated stable processes)

The Lévy measure of a truncated stable process is

$$\nu(dz) = |z|^{-\alpha - 1} \left(r_{-} \mathbb{I}_{(-1 < z < 0)} + r_{+} \mathbb{I}_{(0 < z < 1)} \right) dz,$$

where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and r_-, r_+ are constants such that $0 \le r_- \le r_+$.

Example 3 (Tempered stable processes)

The Lévy measure of a tempered stable process is

$$\nu(dz) = \left(r_- \, |z|^{-\sigma_- - 1} \, e^{-\lambda_- \, |z|} \, \mathbb{I}_{(z < 0)} + r_+ \, |z|^{-\sigma_+ - 1} \, e^{-\lambda_+ \, |z|} \, \mathbb{I}_{(z > 0)}\right) \, dz,$$

where $\sigma_- \in (0, 2)$, $\sigma_+ \in (1, 2)$ and $r_-, r_+, \lambda_-, \lambda_+$ are constants such that $r_-, r_+, \lambda_-, \lambda_+ > 0$.

Example 4 (Relativistic stable processes)

The Lévy measure of a relativistic stable process is

$$\nu(dz) = r|z|^{-\alpha - 1} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{(1+\alpha)/2 - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{4} - \frac{m^{2/\alpha}|z|^2}{s}\right) ds \right) dz,$$

where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and m, r are constants such that m, r > 0.

Estimate on α -stable Lévy measure

Let $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $0 \le r_- \le r_+$, $0 < \beta \le 1$, and introduce

$$I_{r_{-},r_{+}}^{\alpha,\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}} \left\{ |1+z|^{\beta} - 1 - \beta z \right\} \nu_{r_{-},r_{+}}^{\alpha}(dz),$$

where u_{r_-,r_+}^{α} is the stable Lévy measure given by

$$\nu_{r_-,r_+}^{\alpha}(dz) = |z|^{-\alpha-1} \left(r_- \mathbb{I}_{(z<0)} + r_+ \mathbb{I}_{(z>0)} \right) dz.$$

Lemma 1

For $u \in [0, 1]$, define

$$\beta(\alpha, u) := \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \left[\frac{u^2 \sin^2(\pi \alpha) - (1 + u \cos(\pi \alpha))^2}{u^2 \sin^2(\pi \alpha) + (1 + u \cos(\pi \alpha))^2} \right] \in [\alpha - 1, 1].$$

Set $\beta_0 = \beta(\alpha, r_-/r_+)$. Then it holds $I_{r_-,r_+}^{\alpha,\beta_0} = 0$. Furthermore, it holds that $I_{r_-,r_+}^{\alpha,\beta} < 0$ for $\beta \in (0,\beta_0)$.

Proof of Lemma 1

By using integration by parts, we have

$$I_{r_{-},r_{+}}^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\beta \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\alpha - \beta)}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \sin (\pi(\alpha - 1))} \times \left\{ -r_{+} \sin(\pi\beta) + r_{-} \sin (\pi(\alpha - 1)) + r_{-} \sin (\pi(\alpha - \beta)) \right\}.$$

By setting $u=r_-/r_+$, it is enough to show for $\beta\in(0,\,\beta_0)$,

$$-\sin(\pi \beta) + u \sin(\pi(\alpha - 1)) + u \sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta)) < 0$$

$$\iff u^{2} (1 - \cos(\pi \beta)) \sin^{2}(\pi \alpha) < (1 + u \cos(\pi \alpha))^{2} (1 + \cos(\pi \beta)).$$

The inequality follows from

$$\cos(\pi \,\beta) > \cos(\pi \,\beta_0) = \frac{u^2 \,\sin^2(\pi \,\alpha) - \left(1 + u \,\cos(\pi \,\alpha)\right)^2}{u^2 \,\sin^2(\pi \,\alpha) + \left(1 + u \,\cos(\pi \,\alpha)\right)^2}.$$

Main result ($\alpha_- = \alpha_+$)

Theorem 1 (Case of $\alpha_- = \alpha_+$)

Let $\alpha_- = \alpha_+ =: \alpha$ and $\rho(0-) \le \rho(0+)$. Write $\beta_0 = \beta(\alpha, \rho(0-)/\rho(0+))$ as introduced in Lemma 1. Suppose that the coefficients a, b, c satisfy

- (i) a is decreasing;
- (ii) b is locally $(2 \beta)/2$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$;
- (iii) c is increasing and locally $(\alpha \beta)/\alpha$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$.

Then, the PU holds.

Main result ($\alpha_- < \alpha_+$)

Theorem 2 (Case of $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$)

Let $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$. Suppose that the coefficients a, b, c satisfy

- (i) a is decreasing;
- (ii) b is locally $(2 \beta)/2$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) c is increasing and locally $(\alpha_+ \beta)/\alpha_+$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Then, the PU holds.

Driving process Z

By the Lévy-Itô decomposition,

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z \, \tilde{N}(dz, ds),$$

where N(dz,ds) is the Poisson random measure with the intensity $\hat{N}(dz,ds):=\nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-},\,\alpha_{+}}(dz)\,ds$, and the compensated random measure $\tilde{N}(dz,ds)=N(dz,ds)-\hat{N}(dz,ds)$.

Consider 1-dimensional SDEs:

$$\begin{split} X_t &= x + \int_0^t a(X_s) \, ds + \int_0^t b(X_s) \, dW_s + \int_0^t c(X_{s-}) \, dZ_s \\ &= x + \int_0^t a(X_s) \, ds + \int_0^t b(X_s) \, dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} c(X_{s-}) \, z \, \tilde{N}(dz, ds). \end{split}$$

Notations

For i = 1, 2, we consider two solutions of the SDE:

$$X_t^i = x + \int_0^t a(X_s^i) \, ds + \int_0^t b(X_s^i) \, dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} c(X_{s-}^i) \, z \, \tilde{N}(dz, ds).$$

Write $\Delta_t = X_t^1 - X_t^2$ and

$$A_t = a(X_t^1) - a(X_t^2), \quad B_t = b(X_t^1) - b(X_t^2), \quad C_t = c(X_t^1) - c(X_t^2).$$

Then, we are in position to study

$$\Delta_t = \int_0^t A_s \, ds + \int_0^t B_s \, dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} C_{s-} \, z \, \tilde{N}(dz, ds).$$

ltô formula for $arPhi_\eta$

Let $0 < \beta \le 1$, $0 < \eta \le 1$ and N > 0.

Define the function Φ_{η} and the stopping time T_N by

$$\Phi_{\eta}(u) = (u^2 + \eta^2)^{\beta/2}, \quad T_N = \inf\{t > 0; |X_t^1| \land |X_t^2| > N\}.$$

Now, we shall apply the Itô formula for the function \varPhi_{η} and get

$$\begin{split} & \varPhi_{\eta}(\Delta_{t \wedge T_{N}}) - \varPhi_{\eta}(0) \\ & = \int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \left\{ \varPhi_{\eta}'(\Delta_{s}) \, A_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \, \varPhi_{\eta}''(\Delta_{s}) \, B_{s}^{2} \right\} \, ds + \int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \varPhi_{\eta}'(\Delta_{s}) \, B_{s} \, dW_{s} \\ & + \int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \! \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}} \left\{ \varPhi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s-} + C_{s-} z) - \varPhi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s-}) \right\} \, \tilde{N}(dz, ds) \\ & + \int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \! \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}} \left\{ \varPhi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s} + C_{s} z) - \varPhi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) - \varPhi_{\eta}'(\Delta_{s}) \, C_{s} \, z \right\} \, \hat{N}(dz, ds). \end{split}$$

Expectation of the Itô formula for Φ_{η}

Taking the expectation implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_{t \wedge T_{N}})\right] - \Phi_{\eta}(0)
= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \Phi'_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) A_{s} ds\right]
+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \frac{1}{2} \Phi''_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) B_{s}^{2} ds\right]
+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}} \left\{\Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s} + C_{s} z) - \Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) - \Phi'_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) C_{s} z\right\} \hat{N}(dz, ds)\right].$$
(C)

The monotone convergence theorem yields that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_{t\wedge T_N})\big] \to \mathbb{E}\big[|\Delta_{t\wedge T_N}|^{\beta}\big]$$

as $\eta \searrow 0$. Thus, we shall study the limit of the right hand side

Limit of (A) as $\eta \searrow 0$

Lemma 2

Suppose that a is monotonic. Then, it holds that

$$\lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} \varPhi_\eta'(\Delta_s) \, A_s \, ds \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} \beta \, \mathrm{sgn}(\Delta_s) \, |\Delta_s|^{\beta - 1} \, A_s \, ds \right].$$

Proof. The monotone convergence theorem leads us to see that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \varPhi_{\eta}'(\Delta_{s}) \, A_{s} \, ds\right] \\ & = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \beta \, \Delta_{s} \left|\Delta_{s}^{2} + \eta^{2}\right|^{(\beta - 2)/2} A_{s} \left(\mathbb{I}_{(A_{s} \, \Delta_{s} \geq 0)} + \mathbb{I}_{(A_{s} \, \Delta_{s} < 0)}\right) ds\right] \\ & \to \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \beta \, \mathrm{sgn}(\Delta_{s}) \left|\Delta_{s}\right|^{\beta - 1} A_{s} \, ds\right], \end{split}$$

as $\eta \searrow 0$.

Limit of (B) as $\eta \searrow 0$

Lemma 3

Suppose that, for each N > 0, there exists a positive constant $K_1(N)$ s.t.

$$|b(x) - b(\tilde{x})| \le K_1(N) |x - \tilde{x}|^{(2-\beta)/2},$$
 (H1)

for all |x|, $|\tilde{x}| \leq N$. Then, it holds that

$$\lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} \Phi_{\eta}''(\Delta_s) B_s^2 ds \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} \beta (\beta - 1) |\Delta_s|^{\beta - 2} B_s^2 ds \right].$$

Proof. Since
$$\Phi''_{\eta}(u) = \beta |u^2 + \eta^2|^{(\beta-2)/2} + \beta (\beta - 2) u^2 |u^2 + \eta^2|^{(\beta-4)/2}$$
,

$$|\Phi_{\eta}''(\Delta_s) B_s^2| \le \beta (3-\beta) |\Delta_s|^{\beta-2} |B_s|^2 \le K_1(N)^2 \beta (3-\beta).$$

Hence, the assertion follows from the dominated convergence theorem.

Limit of (C) as $\eta \searrow 0$

Lemma 4

Suppose that, for each N>0, there exists a positive constant $K_2(N)$ s.t.

$$|c(x) - c(\tilde{x})| \le K_2(N) |x - \tilde{x}|^{(\alpha_+ - \beta)/\alpha_+},$$
 (H2)

for all |x|, $|\tilde{x}| \leq N$. Then, it holds that

$$\lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}} \left\{ \Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s} + C_{s}) - \Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) - \Phi'_{\eta}(\Delta_{s}) C_{s} z \right\} \nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}}(dz) ds \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge T_{N}} |\Delta_{s}|^{\beta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}} \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{C_{s}}{\Delta_{s}} z \right|^{\beta} - 1 - \beta \frac{C_{s}}{\Delta_{s}} z \right\} \nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}}(dz) \right) ds \right].$$

Remark

$$(\alpha_{+} - \beta)/\alpha_{+} > (\alpha_{-} - \beta)/\alpha_{-}$$
.

Proof of Lemma 4

Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_s + C_s z) - \Phi_{\eta}(\Delta_s) - \Phi'_{\eta}(\Delta_s) C_s z \right| \\ &\leq K_3(\beta) \left\{ \left(|\Delta_s|^{\beta - 2} |C_s z|^2 \right) \wedge \left(|\Delta_s|^{\beta - 1} |C_s z| \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

on the event $\{\Delta_s \neq 0\}$, where $K_3(\beta)$ is a positive constant.

Lemma 6

Under the condition (H2), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{t\wedge T_N}\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left\{\left(|\Delta_s|^{\beta-2}\,|C_s\,z|^2\right)\wedge\left(|\Delta_s|^{\beta-1}\,|C_s\,z|\right)\right\}\nu_\rho^{\alpha_-,\,\alpha_+}(dz)\,ds\right]<\infty.$$

Itô formula for $|x|^{\beta}$

Corollary 1

Suppose that a is monotonic and the conditions (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, it holds that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E} \big[|\Delta_{t \wedge T_N}|^{\beta} \big] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} \beta \operatorname{sgn}(\Delta_s) \, |\Delta_s|^{\beta - 1} \, A_s \, ds \right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\beta - 1 \right) |\Delta_s|^{\beta - 2} \, B_s^2 \, ds \right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} |\Delta_s|^{\beta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{C_s}{\Delta_s} z \right|^{\beta} - 1 - \beta \, \frac{C_s}{\Delta_s} \, z \right\} \nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_-, \, \alpha_+}(dz) \right) ds \right] \end{split}$$

Proof. Direct consequences of Lemmas 2, 3 and 4.

Case of $\alpha_- = \alpha_+$

First, we shall prove the case of $\alpha_- = \alpha_+$.

For simplicity, write $\alpha:=\alpha_-=\alpha_+$ and $\nu_\rho^\alpha:=\nu_\rho^{\alpha_-,\alpha_+}$.

Lemma 7

Let $\beta_0 = \beta(\alpha, \, \rho(0-)/\rho(0+))$ as introduced in Lemma 1, and suppose that $\rho(0-) \leq \rho(0+)$. Then, for each $\beta \in (0, \, \beta_0)$, it holds that

$$K_4(\beta) := \sup_{k \ge 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left\{ |1 + kz|^{\beta} - 1 - \beta kz \right\} \nu_{\rho}^{\alpha}(dz) < +\infty.$$

Proof. From Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left\{ |1+k\,z|^\beta - 1 - \beta\,k\,z \right\} \, \rho(z) \, |z|^{-\alpha-1} \, dz \\ & = k^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left\{ |1+y|^\beta - 1 - \beta\,y \right\} \, \rho\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) \, |y|^{-\alpha-1} \, dy \to -\infty \quad \text{as } k \to +\infty. \end{split}$$

Proof of our result ($\alpha_- = \alpha_+$)

Theorem 1 (Case of $\alpha_- = \alpha_+$)

Let $\alpha_- = \alpha_+ =: \alpha$ and $\rho(0-) \le \rho(0+)$. Write $\beta_0 = \beta(\alpha, \rho(0-)/\rho(0+))$ as introduced in Lemma 1. Suppose that the coefficients a, b, c satisfy

- (i) a is decreasing;
- (ii) b is locally $(2-\beta)/2$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0,\,\beta_0)$;
- (iii) c is increasing and locally $(\alpha-\beta)/\alpha$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta\in(0,\,\beta_0).$

Then, the PU holds.

Proof. From Corollary 1 and Lemma 7,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[|\Delta_{t \wedge T_N}|^{\beta}\big] \leq K_4(\beta) \, \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} |\Delta_s|^{\beta} \, ds\right],$$

and the required result follows from Gronwall's inequality.

Case of $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$

Next, we shall prove the case of $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$.

Lemma 8

Let $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, it holds that

$$K_5(\beta) := \sup_{k \ge 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left\{ |1 + k z|^{\beta} - 1 - \beta k z \right\} \, \nu_{\rho}^{\alpha_-, \alpha_+}(dz) < +\infty.$$

Proof. From Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left\{ |1+k\,z|^\beta - 1 - \beta\,k\,z \right\} \, \nu_\rho^{\alpha_-,\alpha_+}(dz) \\ &= k^{\alpha_+} \int_0^\infty \left\{ |1+y|^\beta - 1 - \beta\,y \right\} \, \rho\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) |y|^{-\alpha_+ - 1} \, dy \\ &\quad + k^{\alpha_-} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left\{ |1+y|^\beta - 1 - \beta\,y \right\} \, \rho\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) |y|^{-\alpha_- - 1} \, dy \end{split}$$

Proof of our result $(\alpha_- < \alpha_+)$

Theorem 2 (Case of $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$)

Let $\alpha_- < \alpha_+$. Suppose that the coefficients a, b, c satisfy

- (i) a is decreasing;
- (ii) b is locally $(2 \beta)/2$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) c is increasing and locally $(\alpha_+ \beta)/\alpha_+$ -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Then, the PU holds.

Proof. From Corollary 1 and Lemma 8,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[|\Delta_{t \wedge T_N}|^{\beta}\big] \leq K_5(\beta) \, \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_N} |\Delta_s|^{\beta} \, ds\right],$$

and the required result follows from Gronwall's inequality.

References

- Fournier, N. (2013). On pathwise uniqueness for stochastic differential equations driven by stable Lévy processes. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 49(1): 138–159.
- Komatsu, T. (1982). On the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of one-dimensional stochastic differential equations of jump type. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 58(8): 353–356.
- Li, Z., Mytnik, L. (2011). Strong solutions for stochastic differential equations with jumps. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 47(4): 1055–1067.
- Situ, R. (2005). Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Applications: Mathematical and Analytical Techniques with Applications to Engineering. New York: Springer.
- ▶ Takeuchi, T., Tsukada, H. Remark on pathwise uniqueness of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* to appear.
- Yamada, T., Watanabe, S. (1972). On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11(1): 155–167.

Thank you for your attention.