Phase transitions in a control problem

Masaaki Fukasawa

Department of Mathematics Osaka University

21 Oct. 2015

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

A control problem

We consider the following controlled system of SDE

$$dX_t = \gamma dW_t + d\Lambda_t,$$

$$dY_t = X_t dW_t + d||\Lambda||_t - \beta dt,$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$ are constants, *W* is a standard Brownian motion and $||\Lambda||$ is the total variation of our control Λ that we require to be an adapted process of finite variation.

The problem, motivated by a financial practice of hedging under transaction costs, is to minimize

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}E[Y_T^2] = \limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}E[\int_0^T (X_t^2 - 2\beta Y_t)dt + 2\int_0^T Y_t d||\Lambda||_t].$$

1 dim ? 2 dim ?

Example: Bang-bang control

An example of control:

 $d\Lambda_t = -\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(X_t) dt$

with $\alpha > 0$. Then

$$dX_t = \gamma dW_t - \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(X_t) dt, dY_t = X_t dW_t + (\alpha - \beta) dt.$$

In this case,

$$\frac{1}{T}E[Y_T^2] = \frac{1}{T}E[\int_0^T X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t] + 2(\alpha - \beta)E[\int_0^T X_t \mathrm{d}t] + (\alpha - \beta)^2 T \to \infty$$

unless $\alpha = \beta$. The erogodic disribution of *X* is Laplace (two-sided exponetial).

Example: Singular control

Another example of control:

$$\mathrm{d}\Lambda_t = \mathrm{d}L_t - \mathrm{d}R_t,$$

where L and R are nondecreasing processes such that

$$L_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t=-b\}} dL_t, \ R_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t=b\}} dR_t$$

and $|X_t| \le b$, b > 0. Such a control exists, as the solution of the Skorokhod equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \gamma \mathrm{d}W_t + \mathrm{d}L_t - \mathrm{d}R_t.$$

Then, the erogodic distribution of X is U[-b, b], and

$$Y_T = \int_0^T X_t \mathrm{d}W_t + L_T + R_T - \beta T.$$

A class of controls

In this talk, we focus on a restricted class of control

$$\mathrm{d}\Lambda = -\mathrm{sgn}(X_t)\gamma^2 c(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t - \mathrm{d}R_t, \tag{1}$$

where *c* is a nonnegative continuous even function on an interval [-b, b], b > 0 and *L* and *R* are nondecreasing processes with

$$dL_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t = -b\}} dL_t, \ dR_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t = b\}} dR_t$$

which keep X stay in [-b, b]. Now our control is (b, c).

The idea of the control is to push X towards 0:

The abs. conti. part of Λ determined by *c* pushes *X* regularly.
The other parts are active only when *X* reaches the boundary of [-*b*, *b*] and push *X* to prevent it from going out of the interval.

Well-defined ?

Such a control exists; in fact, there exists a pathwise unique strong solution (X, L, R) of a Skorokhod SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \gamma \mathrm{d}W_t - \mathrm{sgn}(X_t)\gamma^2 c(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t - \mathrm{d}R_t$$

on [-b, b] when $x \mapsto -\text{sgn}(x)c(x)$ is one-sided Lipschitz. The control Λ is then well-defined by (1).

The optimal control in this restricted class is probably suboptimal for the original problem; however it has a certain advantage in its easy implementation. Also this type of control strategies has appeared in a related context of optimal hedging.

Now, no more sure about the validity of the dynamic programming principle due to that the control Λ refers only to the spot value of *X*. A (formal) HJB type equation is far from standard forms.

Remind

The system is

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}X_t &= \gamma \mathrm{d}W_t - \mathrm{sgn}(X_t)\gamma^2 c(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t - \mathrm{d}R_t, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t &= X_t \mathrm{d}W_t + \gamma^2 c(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t + \mathrm{d}R_t - \beta \mathrm{d}t, \end{split}$$

where L and R are nondecreasing processes with

$$dL_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t = -b\}} dL_t, \ dR_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t = b\}} dR_t$$

which keep X stay in [-b, b].

The goal is to find (b, c) which minimizes

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}E[Y_T^2],$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

where b > 0, $c : [-b, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$; an even continuous function.

A probabilistic approach

Theorem: 1) As $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(Y_T - \delta^{b,c}T) \to \mathcal{N}(0, Q^{b,c})$$

in law, where

$$\delta^{b,c} = \frac{\gamma^2}{a} - \beta, \quad a = 2 \int_0^b g(x) \mathrm{d}x, \quad g(x) = \exp\left\{-2 \int_0^x c(y) \mathrm{d}y\right\}$$

and

$$Q^{b,c} = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^b (x - \gamma h(x))^2 g(x) dx,$$

$$h(x) = \frac{2}{g(x)} \int_0^x \left(c(y) - \frac{1}{a} \right) g(y) dy.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

2)

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}E[(Y_T-\delta^{b,c}T)^2]=Q^{b,c}.$$

3)

$$\inf_{\delta^{b,c}=0} Q^{b,c} = \gamma^2 \eta \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \right),$$

where

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -2 < x \le 1, \\ \frac{4}{3} \frac{(x+2)^2(x-1)}{x^3(4-x)} & \text{if } 1 < x < 2, \\ \frac{1}{12} (x+2)^2 & \text{if } |x| \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



・ロト ・日下 ・日下 Э æ

Proof of the convergence is...

standard and not difficult.

Denoting by \mathcal{L} the generator of *X*, we have

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\mathcal{L}H = c - \frac{1}{a}, \ H'(-b) = -H'(b) = 1,$$

where $H(x) = \int_0^{|x|} h(y) dy$. Then,

$$Y_t = \int_0^t X_s dW_s + \gamma^2 \int_0^t c(X_s) ds + L_t + R_t - \beta t$$

= $H(X_t) - H(X_0) + \int_0^t (X_s - \gamma H'(X_s)) dW_s + \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{a} - \beta\right) t$

and so,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(Y_T - \delta^{b,c}T) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T (X_s - \gamma H'(X_s)) dW_s.$$

Martingale CLT: If M^n is a sequence of continuous semimartingale with $\langle M^n \rangle_{T_n} \to Q$, then $M^n_{T_n} \to \mathcal{N}(0, Q)$.

Therefore, it suffices to show

$$F_T := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_s) \mathrm{d}s - \frac{1}{a} \int_{-b}^b f(x) g(|x|) \mathrm{d}x \to 0$$

for all integrable f, which is also easy to see: let

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \int_0^w \frac{2}{g(|z|)} \int_{-b}^z \left(f(y) - \frac{1}{a} \int_{-b}^b f(x)g(|x|) dx \right) g(|y|) dy dz.$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi = f - \frac{1}{a} \int_{-b}^{b} f(x)g(|x|)dx, \quad \Psi'(-b) = \Psi(b) = 0,$$

and so, $F_T = \left\{\Psi(X_T) - \Psi(X_0) - \gamma \int_0^T \Psi'(X_s)dW_s\right\}/T \to 0.$

Minimization

The mathematically challenging part is the minimization of $Q^{b,c}$:

$$Q^{b,c} = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^b (x - \gamma h(x))^2 g(x) dx \to \min$$

under $\delta^{b,c} = 0$, where (recall)

$$h(x) = \frac{2}{g(x)} \int_0^x \left(c(y) - \frac{1}{a} \right) g(y) dy,$$

$$\delta^{b,c} = \frac{\gamma^2}{a} - \beta, \quad a = 2 \int_0^b g(x) dx$$

and g is a speed measure density:

$$g(x) = \exp\left\{-2\int_0^x c(y)\mathrm{d}y\right\}.$$

Lemma: For each (b, c), there corresponds a unique increasing convex C^2 function y on [0, 1] with y(0) = 0, y'(0) = a/2 such that

$$Q^{b,c} = \eta_a[y] := \int_0^1 \left(y(u) + \gamma + \frac{2\gamma}{a}(u-1)y'(u) \right)^2 \mathrm{d}u.$$

Proof: We can show that for all $x \in (0, b)$,

$$h(x) > -1, h'(x) \ge -\frac{2}{a}, c(x) = \frac{h'(x) + 2/a}{2(1 + h(x))}$$

and h(b) = -1. Therefore,

$$Q^{b,c} = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^b \frac{(x - \gamma h(x))^2}{1 + h(x)} \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{a} \int_0^x \frac{dy}{1 + h(y)}\right\} dx$$
$$= \frac{2}{a} \int_0^\infty (x(t) + \gamma(1 - x'(t)))^2 e^{-2t/a} dt,$$

where $t = \int_0^{x(t)} \frac{dy}{1+h(y)}$. Put y(u) = x(t) with $t = -\frac{a}{2}\log(1-u)$.

Key Lemma

Lemma: Let \mathcal{Y}_a be the set of the increasing convex functions y on [0, 1] with y(0) = 0 and y'(0) = a/2. Then,

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathcal{Y}_a}\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}[\boldsymbol{y}]=\gamma^2\eta\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{\gamma}\right),$$

where (recall)

$$\eta_{a}[y] = \int_{0}^{1} \left(y(u) + \gamma + \frac{2\gamma}{a}(u-1)y'(u) \right)^{2} \mathrm{d}u,$$

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -2 < x \le 1, \\ \frac{4}{3} \frac{(x+2)^{2}(x-1)}{x^{3}(4-x)} & \text{if } 1 < x < 2, \\ \frac{1}{12}(x+2)^{2} & \text{if } |x| \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof for the case $-2 < a/\gamma < 1$: we shall prove $\inf \eta_a[y] = 0$. The key observation here is that

$$y_0(u) = \gamma (1-u)^{-a/2\gamma} - \gamma$$

solves

$$y_0(u) + \gamma + \frac{2\gamma}{a}(u-1)y'_0(u) = 0, \ y_0(0) = 0, \ y'_0(0) = \frac{a}{2}$$

and so, $\eta_a[y_0] = 0$. Note also that y_0 is convex iff $a/\gamma \ge -2$. The only problem is that $y_0(1) = \infty$ when $a/\gamma > 0$ and so, $y_0 \notin \mathcal{Y}_a$.

A solution is to chop $y_0(u)$ at $u = 1 - \epsilon$ and linearly extrapolate it. Then

$$\eta_a[y_\epsilon] = q(1-\epsilon, y_0(1-\epsilon), y_0'(1-\epsilon), 2\gamma/a) = O(\epsilon^{1-a/\gamma}),$$

where

$$q(v, w, z, \theta) = \int_{v}^{1} (w + z(u - v) + \gamma + \theta(u - 1)z)^{2} \mathrm{d}u.$$



u

 An important idea for the case $1 < a/\gamma < 2$: For $y \in \mathcal{Y}_a$, let

$$\varphi(u)=(1-u)^{a/2\gamma}(y(u)+\gamma).$$

Then, $\varphi(0) = \gamma$, $\varphi(1) = 0$ and

$$y(u) + \gamma + \frac{2\gamma}{a}(u-1)y'(u) = -\frac{2\gamma}{a}(1-u)^{1-a/2\gamma}\varphi'(u).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz, for $u_0 \in [0, 1]$,

$$\varphi(u_0)^2 = \left| \int_{u_0}^1 \varphi'(u) \mathrm{d} u \right|^2 \le \int_{u_0}^1 (1-u)^{2-a/\gamma} \varphi'(u)^2 \mathrm{d} u \int_{u_0}^1 (1-u)^{a/\gamma-2} \mathrm{d} u.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{u_0}^1 \left(y(u) + \gamma + \frac{2\gamma}{a}(u-1)y'(u) \right)^2 \mathrm{d}u \geq \frac{4\gamma^2}{a^2} \left(\frac{a}{\gamma} - 1 \right) (1-u_0)^{1-a/\gamma} \varphi(u_0)^2.$$

In particular, $\inf \eta_a[y] > 0$.

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日 うらく

Proof for the case $a/\gamma \ge 2$: Let $\hat{y}(u) = au/2$.

Then,
$$\hat{y} \in \mathcal{Y}_a$$
 and $\eta_a[y] = \gamma^2 \eta(a/\gamma)$.

Suppose there exists $y \in \mathcal{Y}_a$ such that $\eta_a[y] < \eta_a[\hat{y}]$. Since a convex function is approximated by piecewise linear convex functions arbitrarily close, we can and do assume y itself is piecewise linear without loss of generality.

Let $u_0 \in (0, 1)$ be the last point where y' jumps. Denote $y'_- = \lim_{u \uparrow u_0} y'(u)$ and $y'_+ = \lim_{u \downarrow u_0} y'(u)$. For $z \ge y'_-$, define $y(\cdot, z)$ as

$$y(u,z) = \begin{cases} y(u) & \text{if } 0 \le u \le u_0 \\ y(u_0) + z(u - u_0) & \text{if } u_0 < u \le 1 \end{cases}$$

(we define a family of piecewise linear convex functions)

Note that $y(\cdot, y'_+) = y$.

$$\int_{u_0}^1 \left(y(u,z) + \gamma + \frac{2\gamma}{a}(u-1)y'(y,z) \right)^2 \mathrm{d}u = q(u_0, y(u_0), z, 2\gamma/a)$$

and *q* is quadratic in *z*. Therefore easy to minimize in *z*. It turns out that it is minimized by $z = y'_{-}$. In particular

$$\eta_{a}[y(\cdot, y'_{-})] < \eta_{a}[y(\cdot, y'_{+})] = \eta_{a}[y].$$

This means that by removing the last jump we get a smaller value.

We can repeat the same argument with $y(\cdot, y'_{-})$ instead of y to get an even smaller value. Continue, and eventually all jumps are removed. The final product coincides with \hat{y} , which contradicts how y was chosen.

Optimal strategy

We can give an explicit sequence of controls (b_n, c_n) with $\delta^{b_n, c_n} = 0$ such that Q^{b_n, c_n} converges to the infimum.

In fact,
$$b_n = \gamma^2/2\beta$$
 and $c_n = 0$ when $|\gamma| \ge 2\beta$.

When $|\gamma| < 2\beta$ on the other hand, $b_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and the pointwise limit of $c_n(x)$ is given by

$$c_{\infty}(x)=rac{\gamma+2eta}{2(\gamma-2eta l)}rac{1}{\gamma+|x|}\mathbf{1}_{\{|x|\geq l\}}, \ \ l=rac{2(\gamma-eta)_+}{4eta-\gamma}$$

ション 小田 マイビット ビー シックション

$$-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$$
 : $\gamma = -1.9, \beta = 1$



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

$$-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$$
 : $\gamma = -1.5, \beta = 1$



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

 $-\operatorname{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x): \gamma = -1, \beta = 1$



х

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで

 $-\operatorname{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$: $\gamma = 0.3, \beta = 1$



х

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 二臣 … のへの

 $-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$: $\gamma = 0.5, \beta = 1$



х

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● 回 ● の Q @

$$-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$$
 : $\gamma = 1, \beta = 1$



▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のQ@

$$-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$$
 : $\gamma = 1.1, \beta = 1$



▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のQ@

$$-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x)$$
 : $\gamma = 1.9, \beta = 1$



A D P 4 目 P 4 目 P 4 日 P 4 0 P 4 0 P

 $-\mathrm{sgn}(x)c_{\infty}(x): \gamma = 1.97, \beta = 1$



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● 回 ● の Q @

Phase transitions

$x = a/\gamma$	min. limit var.	limit optimal strategy
<i>x</i> ≤ −2	$(a + 2\gamma)^2/12$	singular control
-2 < x < 0	0	regular control with natural boundary
0 < <i>x</i> < 1	0	regular control with no boundary
1 ≤ <i>x</i> < 2	*	regular control with no boundary
<i>x</i> ≥ 2	$(a + 2\gamma)^2/12$	singular control

The phase transition at $a/\gamma = 1$ results from the break of an integrability property.

Ichihara (2015) for phase transitions in controlled Markov chains.

- コン・1日・1日・1日・1日・1日・

See Cai and Fukasawa (to appear in F&S) for more details.