Tunneling for spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$ -Hamiltonians*

Shigeki Aida Tohoku University

Let $-L + V_{\lambda}$ be a spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$ -Hamiltonian, where $\lambda = 1/\hbar$ is a large positive parameter. The operator -L is the free Hamiltonian, that is the second quantization operator of $\sqrt{m^2 - \Delta}$, where *m* is a positive number. The potential function V_{λ} is given by a Wick polynomial

$$V_{\lambda}(w) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} : P\left(\frac{w(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) : g(x)dx, \tag{1}$$

where g is a smooth cut-off function and $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2M} a_k x^k$ is a polynomial bounded from below. Formally, $-L_A + V_{\lambda}$ is unitarily equivalent to the infinite dimensional Schrödinger operator:

$$-\Delta_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \lambda U(w/\sqrt{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(m^2 - \Delta)^{1/2} \quad \text{on } L^2(L^2(\mathbb{R}), dw)$$
(2)

where dw is an infinite dimensional Lebesgue measure. The function U is a potential function such that

$$U(w) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w'(x)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^2}{4} w(x)^2 + :P(w(x)) : g(x) \right) dx$$

and $\Delta_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ denotes the "Laplacian" on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$. Hence, by the analogy of Schrödinger operators in finite dimensions, it is natural to expect that asymptotic behavior of lowlying eigenvalues of the spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$ -Hamiltonian in the semiclassical limit $\lambda \to \infty$ is related with the global minimum points of U. In view of this, we consider the following assumptions.

Assumption 1. Let P be the polynomial in (1) and U be the function on H^1 which is given by

$$U(h) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h'(x)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^2}{4} h(x)^2 + P(h(x))g(x) \right) dx \quad \text{for } h \in H^1.$$
(3)

(A1) The function U is non-negative and the zero point set

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{h \in H^1 \mid U(h) = 0\} = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$$
(4)

is a finite set.

(A2) For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, the Hessian $\nabla^2 U(h_i)$ is non-degenerate. That is, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ for each *i* such that

$$\nabla^{2} U(h_{i})(h,h) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h'(x)^{2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{m^{2}}{2} h(x)^{2} + P''(h_{i}(x))g(x)h(x)^{2} \right) dx$$

$$\geq \delta_{i} \|h\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \quad \text{for all } h \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}).$$
(5)

(A3) For all x, P(x) = P(-x) and $\mathcal{Z} = \{h_0, -h_0\}$, where $h_0 \neq 0$.

^{*}This talk is based on the paper, Tunneling for spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$ Hamiltonians, Journal of Functional Analysis Vol. 263 no.9 (2012) 2689-2753.

Let $E_1(\lambda)$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $-L + V_{\lambda}$. The first main result is as follows.

Theorem 2. Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. Let $E_1(\lambda) = \inf \sigma(-L + V_\lambda)$. Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} E_1(\lambda) = \min_{1 \le i \le n} E_i,\tag{6}$$

where

$$E_i = \inf \sigma(-L + Q_{v_i}) \tag{7}$$

and Q_{v_i} is given by

$$Q_{v_i}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} : w(x)^2 : v_i(x)dx, \quad v_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}P''(h_i(x))g(x).$$
(8)

Remark 3. In the case of finite dimensional Schrödinger operators, there exist eigenvalues near the approximate eigenvalues E_i when λ is large. In Theorem 2, if $E_i < m + \min_{1 \le i \le n} E_i$, then the same results hold by the result of Hoegh-Krohn and Simon. However, if it is not the case, it is not clear and they may be embedded eigenvalues in the essential spectrum. Under the assumptions in Theorem 5, $E_2(\lambda)$ is an eigenvalue for large λ .

Let

$$E_2(\lambda) = \inf \left\{ \sigma(-L + V_\lambda) \setminus \{E_1(\lambda)\} \right\}.$$

We can prove that $E_2(\lambda) - E_1(\lambda)$ is exponentially small when U is a symmetric double well potential function. The exponential decay rate is given by the Agmon distance which is defined below.

Definition 4. Let $0 < T < \infty$ and $h, k \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $AC_{T,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ be the all absolutely continuous paths $c : [0,T] \to H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying c(0) = h, c(T) = k. Let U be the potential function in (3). Assume U is non-negative. We define the Agmon distance between h, k by

$$d_U^{Ag}(h,k) = \inf \left\{ \ell_U(c) \mid c \in AC_{T,h,k}(H^1(\mathbb{R})) \right\},$$
(9)

where

$$\ell_U(c) = \int_0^T \sqrt{U(c(t))} \|c'(t)\|_{L^2} dt.$$
(10)

The following estimate is the second main result.

Theorem 5. Assume that U satisfies (A1), (A2), (A3). Then it holds that

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{\log \left(E_2(\lambda) - E_1(\lambda) \right)}{\lambda} \le -d_U^{Ag}(h_0, -h_0).$$
(11)