

Bakry-Émery 型微分評価と 関連する話題

桑田 和正
(お茶の水女子大学)

研究集会「確率解析とその周辺」@佐賀大学, 2011 年 11 月 11 日-13 日

1. Bakry-Émery の微分評価とは

P_t : 生成作用素 \mathcal{L} を持つ半群 ($P_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$)

Bakry-Émery の (L^p -) 微分評価:

$$|\nabla P_t f|(x) \leq e^{-Kt} P_t(|\nabla f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \quad (G_p)$$

$$(p \in [1, \infty), K \in \mathbb{R})$$

[D. Bakry & M. Émery, '84]

- P_t の定義される関数空間: 標準的には,
 P_t : 強連續縮小, 対称, Markov 的 on $L^2(\mu)$
 $\left(\Rightarrow \exists \text{symm. contr. extension on } L^p(\mu) \right)$
 $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$
- 微分 (の絶対値) $|\nabla f|$ の定義も必要

- P_t の定義される関数空間: 標準的には,
 P_t : 強連續縮小, 対称, Markov 的 on $L^2(\mu)$
 $\left(\Rightarrow \exists \text{symm. contr. extension on } L^p(\mu) \right)$
 $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$
 - 微分 (の絶対値) $|\nabla f|$ の定義も必要
- ★ もし P_t : Markovian
(i.e. $0 \leq f \leq 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq P_t f \leq 1$) なら,
 $p' < p$ のとき 「 $(G_{p'}) \Rightarrow (G_p)$ 」
(\because Hölder ineq. for $(f, g) \mapsto P_t(fg)$)

例 (\mathbb{R}^m の熱半群, i.e. $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$)

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$P_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

$$p_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right)$$

例 (\mathbb{R}^m の熱半群, i.e. $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$)

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$P_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

$$p_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_{x_i} P_t f(x) = P_t(\partial_{x_i} f)(x)$$

例 (\mathbb{R}^m の熱半群, i.e. $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$)

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$P_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

$$p_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_{x_i} P_t f(x) = P_t(\partial_{x_i} f)(x)$$

(\because (可換な) 变数变換 (平行移動))

例 (\mathbb{R}^m の熱半群, i.e. $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$)

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$P_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

$$p_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_{x_i} P_t f(x) = P_t(\partial_{x_i} f)(x)$$

(\because (可換な) 变数变換 (平行移動))

$$\Rightarrow |\nabla P_t f|(x) \leq P_t(|\nabla f|)(x),$$

i.e. (G_1) with $K = 0$

微分 $|\nabla f|$ について:

★ 平方場作用素 (carré du champ)

$$\Gamma(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}(fg) - g\mathcal{L}f - f\mathcal{L}g)$$

(例 : $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ on $\mathbb{R}^m \Rightarrow \Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2$)

- $\Gamma(f, f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (P_t(f^2) - (P_tf)^2) \geq 0$
- $P_t \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$: Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mu)$ なら,
$$\int h\Gamma(f, f)d\mu = \frac{1}{2} (2\mathcal{E}(hf, f) - \mathcal{E}(h, f^2))$$
$$(h, f \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty)$$

以下、この§では、以下を仮定：

- $\exists \Gamma$
- $|\nabla f| = \sqrt{\Gamma(f, f)}$



以下, この § では, 以下を仮定:

- $\exists \Gamma$
- $|\nabla f| = \sqrt{\Gamma(f, f)}$



\Rightarrow 例えば (G_2) は,

$$\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) \leq e^{-2Kt} P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$$

以下、この§では、以下を仮定：

- $\exists \Gamma$
- $|\nabla f| = \sqrt{\Gamma(f, f)}$



\Rightarrow 例えば (G_2) は、

$$\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) \leq e^{-2Kt} P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$$

★ 「“=” at $t = 0$ 」を利用して $t = 0$ で微分：

$$2\Gamma(f, \mathcal{L}f) \leq -2K\Gamma(f, f) + \mathcal{L}(\Gamma(f, f))$$

$$2\Gamma(f, \mathcal{L}f) \leq -2K\Gamma(f, f) + \mathcal{L}(\Gamma(f, f))$$

$$\Downarrow \Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}(\textcolor{teal}{\Gamma}(f, f)) - 2\textcolor{teal}{\Gamma}(f, \mathcal{L}f))$$

Γ_2 -条件:

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K\Gamma(f, f) \quad (\Gamma_2(K))$$

命題

$$(G_2) \Leftrightarrow (\Gamma_2(K))$$

命題

$$(G_2) \Leftrightarrow (\Gamma_2(K))$$

“証明”

「 $(\Gamma_2(K)) \Rightarrow (G_2)$ 」のみ示せば良い.

$$\Phi(s) := P_{\textcolor{blue}{s}}(\Gamma(P_{t-\textcolor{blue}{s}} f, P_{t-\textcolor{blue}{s}} f))$$

- $\Phi(0) = \Gamma(P_t f, P_t f), \Phi(t) = P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$
- $(\Gamma_2(K)) \Rightarrow \Phi'(s) \geq 2K\Phi(s)$
 $\Rightarrow \Phi(0) \leq e^{-2Kt}\Phi(t)$ ■

つまり、時間変数 t に関して、

$$(G_2) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\text{微分}} \\ \Leftarrow \\ \xleftarrow{\text{積分}} \end{matrix} (\Gamma_2(K))$$

注

- (G_2) の weak formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int g \Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) d\mu \\ & \leq e^{-2Kt} \int g P_t(\Gamma(f, f)) d\mu \\ & (\forall g \geq 0: \text{“nice” test function}) \end{aligned}$$

に依れば、弱い仮定で厳密に証明可能

[cf. Gigli-K.-Ohta '10, Theorem 4.8]

- この議論に、 Γ や \mathcal{L} の derivation property (or $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ の strong locality) は不要

Γ_2 の計算例

(i) $V : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \nabla V \cdot \nabla$ on \mathbb{R}^m

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2,$$

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \| \operatorname{Hess} f \|_{\text{HS}}$$

$$+ \operatorname{Hess} V(\nabla f, \nabla f)$$

Γ_2 の計算例

(i) $V : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \nabla V \cdot \nabla$ on \mathbb{R}^m

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2,$$

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{\text{HS}}$$

$$+ \operatorname{Hess} V(\nabla f, \nabla f)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Gamma_2(K) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Hess} V \geq K}$$

Γ_2 の計算例

(i) $V : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \nabla V \cdot \nabla$ on \mathbb{R}^m

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2,$$

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{\text{HS}}$$

$$+ \operatorname{Hess} V(\nabla f, \nabla f)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Gamma_2(K) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Hess} V \geq K}$$

特に, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck 過程の場合 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \Delta - Kx \cdot \nabla (\leftrightarrow V(x) = \frac{K}{2}|x|^2) \\ \Rightarrow & (\Gamma_2(K)) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ on a Riemannian manifold

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(|\nabla f|^2) - 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle) \\ &= \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}} + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f)\end{aligned}$$

(Bochner-Weitzenböck の公式)

(ii) $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ on a Riemannian manifold

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(|\nabla f|^2) - 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle) \\ &= \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}} + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f)\end{aligned}$$

(Bochner-Weitzenböck の公式)

$$\Rightarrow (\Gamma_2(K)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} \geq K$$

(ii) $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ on a Riemannian manifold

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(|\nabla f|^2) - 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle) \\ &= \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}} + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f)\end{aligned}$$

(Bochner-Weitzenböck の公式)

$$\Rightarrow (\Gamma_2(K)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} \geq K$$

★ 微分幾何で「 $\text{Ric} \geq K$ 」から従う事の多くは、 $(\Gamma_2(K))$ からも（抽象的な枠組で）従う

(G_2) の応用

- Poincaré inequality (for P_t)

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{K} P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$$

- Reversed Poincaré inequality (for P_t)

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \geq \frac{e^{2Kt} - 1}{K} \Gamma(P_t f, P_t f)$$

$$\left(\Rightarrow |\nabla P_t f| \leq \sqrt{\frac{K}{e^{2Kt} - 1}} \text{osc } f \right)$$

証明

$$\boxed{\Psi(s) := P_{\textcolor{blue}{s}}((P_{t-s}f)^2)}$$

- $\Psi(0) = (P_t f)^2, \Psi(t) = P_t(f^2)$

- $\Psi'(s) = 2P_s(\Gamma(P_{t-s}f, P_{t-s}f))$

By (G_2) ,

† $\Psi'(s) \leq 2e^{-2K(t-s)} P_s P_{t-s}(\Gamma(f, f))$

† $\Psi'(s) \geq 2e^{2Ks} \Gamma(P_s P_{t-s}f, P_s P_{t-s}f)$

\Rightarrow conclusion by integration in s ■

注

- 形式的には,

Poincaré or rev. Poincaré ineq. $\Rightarrow (\Gamma_2(K))$

(両辺を $t = 0$ で 2 次まで Taylor 展開)

- これらの議論には, Γ および \mathcal{L} の derivation property は不要

条件 (G_1) について

- 強い仮定 のもと, $(\Gamma_2(K)) \Rightarrow (G_1)$
 $\hookleftarrow \exists \mathcal{C} \subset \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ dense s.t.}$
 - \mathcal{C} は積で閉じている線形空間
 - $P_t \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$
 - $\forall \varphi: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), f \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \varphi(f) \in \mathcal{C}$
- $(G_1) \Rightarrow$ log-Sobolev ineq. (for P_t):
$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) - P_t(f^2) \log(P_t(f^2)) \leq \frac{2(1 - e^{-2Kt})}{K} P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$$

Derivation property

$\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), \varphi(0) = 0$

- $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(f) \in \text{Dom}(f),$

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi(f)) = \varphi'(f)\mathcal{L}f + \varphi''(f)\Gamma(f, f)$$

- $f \in \text{Dom}(\Gamma)$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(f) \in \text{Dom}(\Gamma),$

$$\Gamma(\varphi(f), g) = \varphi'(f)\Gamma(f, g)$$

Proof of 「 $(G_1) \Rightarrow \text{log-Sobolev}$ 」

$(P_t: \text{Markov は仮定})$

$$\boxed{\Psi(s) := P_{\textcolor{blue}{s}}(\varphi(P_{t-\textcolor{blue}{s}} f))} \quad (\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}))$$

\Rightarrow By the derivation property,

$$\Psi'(s) = P_s(\varphi''(P_{s-t} f) \Gamma(P_{s-t} f, P_{s-t} f))$$

- $\varphi(u) := u \log u \Rightarrow \varphi''(u) = \frac{1}{u}$

\Rightarrow Goal: For $f \geq 0$,

$$\Psi'(s) \leq 4e^{-2K(t-s)} P_t(\Gamma(\sqrt{f}, \sqrt{f}))$$

By (G_1) & Schwarz ineq. for $(g, h) \mapsto P_{t-s}(gh)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi'(s) &= P_s \left(\frac{\Gamma(P_{t-s}f, P_{t-s}f)}{P_{t-s}f} \right) \\
&\leq e^{-2K(t-s)} P_s \left(\frac{\left(P_{t-s}(\sqrt{\Gamma(f, f)}) \right)^2}{P_{t-s}f} \right) \\
&\leq e^{-2K(t-s)} P_s P_{t-s} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(f, f)^2}{f}} \right) \\
&= 4e^{-2K(t-s)} P_t \left(\Gamma(\sqrt{f}, \sqrt{f}) \right) \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

大域的 Poincaré/log-Sobolev

もし, $K > 0$ & $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t f \equiv \int f d\mu$ ならば,

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{K} P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$$



$$\int f^2 d\mu - \left(\int f d\mu \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{K} \int \Gamma(f, f) d\mu$$

大域的 Poincaré/log-Sobolev

もし, $K > 0$ & $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t f \equiv \int f d\mu$ ならば,

$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) - P_t(f^2) \log P_t(f^2)$$

$$\leq \frac{2(1 - e^{-2Kt})}{K} P_t(\Gamma(f, f))$$

↓

$$\int f^2 \log f^2 d\mu - \int f^2 d\mu \log \left(\int f^2 d\mu \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{K} \int \Gamma(f, f) d\mu$$

(G_1) への確率論的アプローチ … 結合法

例

$$\mathcal{L} := \Delta - \nabla V \cdot \nabla \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^m$$



$$dX_t^x = \sqrt{2}dW_t - \nabla V(X_t^x)dt, \quad X_0^x = x$$

仮定: $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$(\nabla V(x) - \nabla V(y)) \cdot (x - y) \geq \textcolor{blue}{K}|x - y|^2$$

($\uparrow \text{Hess } V \geq K$)

X_t^x, X_t^y : 前掲の SDE の (共通の W_t に対する) 解



$$d(X_t^x - X_t^y) = - (\nabla V(X_t^x) - \nabla V(X_t^y)) dt$$



$$d|X_t^x - X_t^y|^2 \leq -2K|X_t^x - X_t^y|^2 dt$$



$$|X_t^x - X_t^y|^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} |x - y|^2$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| = |\mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) - f(X_t^y)]| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}[|\nabla f|(X_t^x)|X_t^x - X_t^y| + o(|X_t^x - X_t^y|)] \\
& \stackrel{\textcolor{blue}{\leftarrow}}{\leq} e^{-Kt} \mathbb{E}[|\nabla f|(X_t^x)]|x - y| + o(|x - y|)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla P_t f|(x) &= \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)|}{|x - y|} \\
&\leq e^{-Kt} \mathbb{E}[|\nabla f|(X_t^x)] \\
&= e^{-Kt} P_t(|\nabla f|)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

注

- 結合法の方法は, Riemann 多様体上や無限次元空間上にも拡張されている.
- 設定によっては, 解析は単純ではない(拡散係数が定数でない場合の SDE 等).
- それらの拡張では, Bakry-Émery の議論 $「(\Gamma_2(K)) \Rightarrow (G_1)」$ で用いた, 強い仮定は要らない.

2. Wasserstein 距離の Lipschitz 型評価との 双対性

(X, d) : ポーランド空間, d : 測地距離

- \tilde{d} : X 上の連続な測地距離関数
(例: $\tilde{d} = e^{-Kt}d$)
- $(P(x, \cdot))_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$: Markov 核

$$Pf(x) := \int_X f(y) dP(x, dy),$$

$$P^*\mu(A) := \int_X P(x, A) \mu(dx)$$

(例: $P(x, dy) = p_t(x, dy)$ 推移確率)

L^p -Wasserstein 距離

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$W_{d,p}(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \|d\|_{L^p(\pi)} \in [0, \infty],$$

$\Pi(\mu, \nu) \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X),$

$$\Pi(\mu, \nu) := \left\{ \pi \mid \begin{array}{l} \pi(A \times X) = \mu(A), \\ \pi(X \times A) = \nu(A) \end{array} \right\}$$

((μ, ν) のカップリング全体)

“微分” $|\nabla f|$

$$|\nabla_d f|(x) := \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \left| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)} \right|,$$

$$\|\nabla_d f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in X} |\nabla_d f|(x)$$

- $|\nabla_d f|(x)$: x での d -局所 Lipschitz 定数
- $\|\nabla_d f\|_\infty$: d -Lipschitz 定数, i.e.
 $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|\nabla_d f\|_\infty d(x, y)$

$$W_{d,\textcolor{blue}{q}}(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq W_{\tilde{d},\textcolor{blue}{q}}(\mu, \nu) \quad (W_q)$$

$$|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} P f|(x) \leq P(|\nabla_d f|^{\textcolor{blue}{p}})(x)^{1/p} \quad (G'_p)$$

$$(\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X), f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X))$$

定理[cf. K. '10] —————

For $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

$$(W_q) \Leftrightarrow (G'_p)$$

注

- For $p' < p$,
 $(G'_{p'}) \Rightarrow (G'_{p})$ and $(W_{q'}) \Rightarrow (W_q)$
- $(W_1) \Leftrightarrow (G'_\infty)$ は既知
(Kantorovich-Rubinstein formula による)
- $(W_\infty) \Rightarrow (G'_1)$ は(本質的に)既知
(結合法)
- [K. '10] で課していた, 技術的な仮定は不要

証明の概略

(for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$)

[A] $(W_q) \Rightarrow (G'_p)$:

$$W_{d,q}(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq W_{\tilde{d},q}(\mu, \nu) \quad (W_q)$$

$$|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} Pf|(x) \leq P(|\nabla_d f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \quad (G'_p)$$

Goal:

$$|Pf(x) - Pf(y)|$$

$$\leq P(|\nabla_d f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \tilde{d}(x, y) + o(\tilde{d}(x, y))$$

π : $W_{d,p}(P^*\delta_x, P^*\delta_y)$ の minimizer とする

$$\begin{aligned}
& Pf(x) - Pf(y) \\
&= \int_X f dP^* \delta_x - \int_X f dP^* \delta_y \\
&= \int_{X \times X} (f(z) - f(w)) \textcolor{blue}{\pi}(dz dw) \\
&= \int_{X \times X} \mathbf{1}_{\{d \leq r\}} (\text{_____}) \pi(dz dw) \\
&\quad + \int_{X \times X} \mathbf{1}_{\{d > r\}} (\text{_____}) \pi(dz dw) \\
&= (I) + (II)
\end{aligned}$$

$$G_r f(z) := \sup_{d(w,z) \leq r} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{d(z,w)}$$

\Downarrow

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &\leq \int_{X \times X} G_r f(z) d(z, w) \pi(dz dw) \\ &\leq P(|G_r f|^p)(x)^{1/p} W_{d,q}(P^* \delta_x, P^* \delta_y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (W_q) \quad &\leq P(|G_r f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \tilde{d}(x, y) \\ &= P(|\nabla_d f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \tilde{d}(x, y) + o(\tilde{d}(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

if $r = o(1)$ as $\tilde{d}(x, y) \rightarrow 0$

$$(II) \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \pi(d > r)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r^q} W_{d,q}(P^*\delta_x, P^*\delta_y)^q$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)}{r} \right)^q = o(\tilde{d}(x, y))$$

for a suitable choice of r

(i.e. $\tilde{d}(x, y)^{1/p} = o(r)$) //

[B] $(G'_p) \Rightarrow (W_q)$:

$$|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} P f|(x) \leq P(|\nabla_d f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \quad (G'_p)$$

$$W_{d,q}(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq W_{\tilde{d},q}(\mu, \nu) \quad (W_q)$$

[B] $(G'_p) \Rightarrow (W_q)$:

$$|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} P f|(x) \leq P(|\nabla_d f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \quad (G'_p)$$

$$W_{d,q}(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq W_{\tilde{d},q}(\mu, \nu) \quad (W_q)$$

- (W_q) を $\mu = \delta_x, \nu = \delta_y$ の場合のみ示す

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\text{Goal}}: \frac{1}{q} W_{d,q}(P^*\delta_x, P^*\delta_y)^q \leq \frac{1}{q} \tilde{d}(x, y)^q$$

Kantorovich duality

$$\frac{W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)^q}{q} = \sup_{f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X)} \left[\int_X \hat{f} d\mu - \int_X f d\nu \right],$$

$$\hat{f}(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q} \right]$$

Kantorovich duality

$$\frac{W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)^q}{q} = \sup_{f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X)} \left[\int_X \hat{f} d\mu - \int_X f d\nu \right],$$

$$\hat{f}(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \star \frac{1}{q} W_{d,q}(P^* \delta_x, P^* \delta_y)^q \\ = \sup_f \left[P \hat{f}(x) - P f(y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Kantorovich duality

$$\frac{W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)^q}{q} = \sup_{f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X)} \left[\int_X \hat{f} d\mu - \int_X f d\nu \right],$$

$$\hat{f}(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \star \frac{1}{q} W_{d,q}(P^* \delta_x, P^* \delta_y)^q \\ &= \sup_f \left[P\hat{f}(x) - Pf(y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\text{Goal: } \sup_f \left[P\hat{f}(x) - Pf(y) \right] \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}}$$

Kantorovich duality の “ \geq ” の証明

$\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu),$

$$g, f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X), \boxed{g(x) - f(y) \leq \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q}}$$



$$\int_X g \, d\mu - \int_X f \, d\nu \leq \frac{1}{q} \|d\|_{L^q(\pi)}^q$$

↓ maximize

↓ minimize

$$\sup_f \left[\int_X \hat{f} d\mu - \int_X f d\nu \right] \leq \frac{W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)^q}{q} //$$

Hopf-Lax 半群 (Hamilton-Jacobi 半群)

$$Q_{\textcolor{blue}{t}} f(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q \textcolor{blue}{t}^{q-1}} \right],$$

$$Q_0 f(x) := f(x)$$

★ $Q_1 f = \hat{f}$

Hopf-Lax 半群 (Hamilton-Jacobi 半群)

$$Q_{\textcolor{blue}{t}} f(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q \textcolor{blue}{t}^{q-1}} \right],$$

$$Q_0 f(x) := f(x)$$

★ $Q_1 f = \hat{f}$

Goal: $\sup_f \left[P\hat{f}(x) - Pf(y) \right] \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}$

Hopf-Lax 半群 (Hamilton-Jacobi 半群)

$$Q_{\textcolor{blue}{t}} f(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q \textcolor{blue}{t}^{q-1}} \right],$$

$$Q_0 f(x) := f(x)$$

★ $Q_1 f = \hat{f}$

Goal: $\sup_f \left[P\hat{f}(x) - Pf(y) \right] \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}$

- $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$: \tilde{d} -測地線, $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = y$, $\tilde{\gamma}_1 := x$

Hopf-Lax 半群 (Hamilton-Jacobi 半群)

$$Q_{\textcolor{blue}{t}} f(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^q}{q^{\textcolor{blue}{t}^{q-1}}} \right],$$

$$Q_0 f(x) := f(x)$$

★ $Q_1 f = \hat{f}$

Goal: $\forall f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X),$

$$\Rightarrow PQ_1 f(\tilde{\gamma}_1) - PQ_0 f(\tilde{\gamma}_0) \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}$$

- $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$: \tilde{d} -測地線, $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = y, \tilde{\gamma}_1 := x$

Key idea:

$$PQ_1 f(\tilde{\gamma}_1) - PQ_0 f(\tilde{\gamma}_0)$$
$$= \int_0^1 \partial_t (PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)) dt$$

と变形 (補間)

Key idea:

$$PQ_1 f(\tilde{\gamma}_1) - PQ_0 f(\tilde{\gamma}_0)$$
$$= \int_0^1 \partial_t (PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)) dt$$

と变形(補間)

実現には、

$t \mapsto PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)$: Lipschitz

を示せば充分

補題

For $f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X)$,

$(t, z) \mapsto PQ_t f(z)$: Lipschitz w.r.t $|\cdot|$ & \tilde{d}

證明

[Balogh & Engoulatov & Hunziker & Maasalo '09]:

$$|Q_t f(z) - Q_t f(w)| \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} d(z, w),$$

$$|Q_t f(z) - Q_s f(z)| \leq \frac{\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^p}{p} |t - s|$$

$\therefore (G'_p) \Rightarrow \text{conclusion}$ ■



Goal: For $\forall f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X)$,

$$\int_0^1 \partial_t(PQ_tf(\tilde{\gamma}_t))dt \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}$$

★ 「 $\partial_t(PQ_tf(\tilde{\gamma}_t)) \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}$ for a.e. t 」
を示せば充分

By [Ambrosio & Gigli & Savaré '08, Lemma 4.3.4],

$$\partial_t PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \overline{\lim_{h \downarrow 0}} \frac{PQ_{t+h} f(\tilde{\gamma}_t) - PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)}{h} \\ &\quad + \overline{\lim_{h \downarrow 0}} \frac{PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t) - PQ_{t-h} f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)}{h} \\ &= (I) + (II) \end{aligned}$$

(Leibniz 則)

By the def. of $|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} \cdot|$,

$$(II) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t) - PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_{t-h})}{h}$$

$$\leq \tilde{d}(x, y) |\nabla_{\tilde{d}} PQ_t f|(\tilde{\gamma}_t)$$

$$(G'_p) \quad \boxed{\leq} \quad \tilde{d}(x, y) P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^p) (\tilde{\gamma}_t)^{1/p}$$

$(\tilde{d}(x, y)$: speed of $\tilde{\gamma}$)

★ By [Ambrosio & Gigli & Savaré '11],

For $\forall t > 0$, $\forall z \in X$, $\exists \partial_t^+ Q_t f(z)$,

$$\partial_t^+ Q_t f(z) + \frac{1}{p} |\nabla_d Q_t f|(z)^p \leq 0 \quad (*)$$

(*) が正しい (intuitive な) 理由

$$\text{「}\exists y_0 \text{ s.t. } Q_s f(z) = f(y_0) + \frac{d(z, y_0)^q}{qs^{q-1}}\text{」}$$

for $\forall s \approx t, \forall z \approx x$ と仮定



$$\partial_t Q_t f(x) = -\frac{q-1}{q} \left(\frac{d(x, y_0)}{t} \right)^q$$

$$\frac{1}{p} |\nabla_d Q_t f|^p = \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d(x, y_0)}{t} \right)^{p(q-1)}$$

★ By [Ambrosio & Gigli & Savaré '11],

For $\forall t > 0, \forall z \in X, \exists \partial_t^+ Q_t f(z),$

$$\partial_t^+ Q_t f(z) + \frac{1}{p} |\nabla_d Q_t f|(z)^p \leq 0 \quad (*)$$



$$(I) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{PQ_{t+h} f(\tilde{\gamma}_t) - PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)}{h}$$

Fatou $\boxed{\leq} P(\partial_t^+ Q_t f)(\tilde{\gamma}_t)$

(*) $\boxed{\leq} - \frac{1}{p} P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^p)(\tilde{\gamma}_t)$

$$(I) \leq \tilde{d}(x, y) P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^p) (\tilde{\gamma}_t)^{1/p}$$

$$(II) \leq -\frac{1}{p} P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^p) (\tilde{\gamma}_t)$$



$\sigma := P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^p) (\tilde{\gamma}_t)^{1/p}$ とおくと,

$$\partial_t (PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)) \leq \tilde{d}(x, y) \sigma - \frac{1}{p} \sigma^p$$

$$\leq \sup_{u \geq 0} \left[\tilde{d}(x, y) u - \frac{1}{p} u^p \right] = \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q} \quad \blacksquare$$

証明の概観

$$\underline{W_{d,q}(P^*\delta_x, P^*\delta_y)^q}$$

q

Kant. duality $\boxed{=}$ $\sup_f [PQ_1 f(x) - PQ_0 f(y)]$

補間 $\boxed{=}$ $\sup_f \left[\int_0^1 \partial_t (PQ_t f(\tilde{\gamma}_t)) dt \right]$

Leibniz 則,
 $(G'_p), (*)$ $\boxed{\leq} \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^q}{q}$

まとめると、空間変数に関して、

$$(W_q) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\text{微分}} \\ \Leftarrow \\ \xleftarrow{\text{積分}} \end{matrix} (G'_p)$$

注

$(G'_p) \Rightarrow (W_q)$ の証明では、以下を要請：

(G'_p) が $\forall x \in X$ (or “on a. e. curves”) で成立。

しかし、関数解析的手法では、「 (G_p) a.e.」が普通。

一方、以下が成立すれば (G'_p) は v -a.e. で充分；

(i) $W_{d,p}$ が $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ 上の測地距離

(ii) $\mu_0, \mu_1 \ll v \Rightarrow \forall$ geod. $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$, $\mu_t \ll v$

($p = 2$ & MCP \neq Sturm-Lott-Villani 条件下で該当)

[cf. Gigli-K.-Ohta '10, Theorem 4.8(ii) \Rightarrow (i)]

应用

[A] 直積不变性

(X_i, d_i) : ポーランド空間, d_i : 測地距離,
 \tilde{d}_i : 連続な測地距離関数,

P_i : X_i 上の Markov 核,

$$W_{d_i,2}(P_i^*\mu, P_i^*\nu) \leq W_{\tilde{d}_i,2}(\mu, \nu)$$



$P := P_1 \times P_2$: $X_1 \times X_2$ 上の Markov 核,
 $d := \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2}$: 直積距離 (\tilde{d} も同様) で,

$$W_{d,2}(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq W_{\tilde{d},2}(\mu, \nu)$$

証明

$$x^{(j)} = (x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)}) \in X \times X,$$

$\mu = \delta_{x^{(1)}}, \nu = \delta_{x^{(2)}}$ で示せば充分

- π_i : minimizer of $W_{d_i, 2}(P^* \delta_{x_i^{(1)}}, P^* \delta_{x_i^{(2)}})$



$$\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \in \Pi(P^* \delta_{x^{(1)}}, P^* \delta_{x^{(2)}})$$

$$W_{d,2}(P^*\delta_{x^{(1)}}, P^*\delta_{x^{(2)}})^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{X_1 \times X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2} d^2 \, d(\pi_1 \times \pi_2) \\ &= \|d_1\|_{L^2(\pi_1)}^2 + \|d_2\|_{L^2(\pi_2)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

仮定 $\leq d_1(x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)})^2 + d_2(x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)})^2$

$$= d(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})^2 \quad \blacksquare$$

[B] 徒屬操作

ξ_t : subordinator, i.e. $\xi_t \in \mathcal{P}([0, \infty))$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \xi_t(ds) = e^{-t\phi(\lambda)}$$

P_t : X 上の推移確率族, $K \geq 0$,

$$W_{d,q}(P_t^*\mu, P_t^*\nu) \leq e^{-Kt} W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)$$

と仮定. このとき $\hat{P}_t = \int_0^\infty P_s \xi_t(ds)$ について,

$$W_{d,q}(\hat{P}_t^*\mu, \hat{P}_t^*\nu) \leq e^{-\phi(qK)t/q} W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)$$

証明

$\pi_t \in \Pi(P_t^* \mu, P_t^* \nu)$: min. of $W_{d,q}(P_t^* \mu, P_t^* \nu)$

$$\downarrow$$
$$\int_0^\infty \pi_s \xi_t(ds) \in \Pi(\hat{P}_t^* \mu, \hat{P}_t^* \nu)$$

$$W_{d,q}(\hat{P}_t^*\mu, \hat{P}_t^*\nu)^q$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{X \times X} d(z,w)^q \pi_s(dzdw) \xi_t(ds)$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-qs} W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)^q \xi_t(ds)$$

仮定 = $e^{-\phi(qK)t} W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu)^q$ ■

[C] 熱半群での、 (W_q) or (G'_p) の導出例

- Lie 群上, Hörmander 型作用素が生成する半群
 - ▶ (G'_p) ($\forall p > 1$) or (G'_1)
 ((G_p) と異質な評価／解析的手法で導出)
 - $\Rightarrow (W_q)$ ($1 \leq \forall q < \infty$) or (W_∞) [K. '10]
- (コンパクト)Alexandrov 空間
 - ▶ (W_2) , $\tilde{d} = e^{-Kt}d$
 (特異空間での評価／最適輸送理論と融合)
 - $\Rightarrow (G_2)$ [Gigli-K.-Ohta '10]

3. 関連する話題・課題

(1) “微分”について

- Bakry-Émery の元々の議論 (と結果)

$$\rightsquigarrow |\nabla f| = \sqrt{\Gamma(f, f)}$$

- (W_q) との双対性

$$\rightsquigarrow |\nabla f|: \text{局所 Lipschitz 定数}$$

Q.

d が intrinsic distance, i.e.

$$d(x, y) = \sup_f \{f(x) - f(y); \Gamma(f, f) \leq 1 \text{ a.e.}\}$$

ならば、2つの微分は一致するか？

A. [Koskela & Zhou '11]

- 一般には, NO.
- (1,2)-local Poincaré ineq. および
volume doubling property の下,

[Newtonian property] \Leftrightarrow YES.

(2) $(G_p) \Rightarrow (G_{p'})$ for $p > p'$

- $p' < p$ のとき, $(G_{p'}) \Rightarrow (G_p)$

Q.

逆はいつ成り立つか？

A.

- Bakry-Émery's argument:
 $(G_2) \Rightarrow (\Gamma_2(K)) \Rightarrow (G_1)$
- [von Renesse & Sturm '05]
 X : 完備 Riemann 多様体, P_t : 熱半群なら OK:
 $(G_\infty) \Rightarrow \text{Ric} \geq K \Rightarrow (W_\infty) \Rightarrow (G_1)$
- [Wang '05]
一般には, $(G_2) \not\Rightarrow (G_1)$
(\mathbb{R}^m 上の SDE の解で反例が構成可?)

(3) 異質な評価

X : Lie 群

$\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$: 左不变線型独立ベクトル場,
Hörmander 条件成立

- $P_t := e^{t\mathcal{L}}, \quad \mathcal{L} := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$
- $\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i f|^2, |\nabla f| = \sqrt{\Gamma(f, f)}$

Bakry-Émery 型 (L^{p_-}) 微分評価

$$|\nabla P_t f|(x) \leq C_p(t) P_t(|\nabla f|^p)(x)^{1/p} \quad (\tilde{G}_p)$$

既知の結果

- 3-dim. Heisenberg 群, $C_p(t) \equiv C_p > 1$
 - $p > 1$: [Driver & Melcher '05]
 - $p = 1$: [H.-Q. Li '06] /
[Bakry, Baudoin, Bonnefont & Chafaï '08]
- X : 一般, $p > 1$: [Melcher '08]
(X : 幂零 $\Rightarrow C_q(t) \equiv C_p$)
- X : group of type H, $p = 1$, $C_p(t) \equiv C_p$:
[Eldredge '10]
- $X = SU(2)$, $p > 1$, $C_p(t) = C_p e^{-t}$:
[Baudoin & Bonnefont '09]

注

★ \mathcal{L} に付随する距離 (Carnot-Carathéodory 距離)
について,

$$\begin{array}{c} (\tilde{G}_p) \\ \Updownarrow \\ W_{d,q}(P_t^*\mu, P_t^*\nu) \leq C_p(t) W_{d,q}(\mu, \nu) \\ [K.'10] \end{array}$$

!! 定数が $C_p(t) = C_p e^{-Kt}$ with $C_p > 1$
 \Rightarrow 「 (\tilde{G}_p) \Rightarrow “ Γ_2 -条件”」 にはならない
微分

Q.

\tilde{G}_p の (確認が容易な) 十分条件は?

- 結合法は困難 (Heisenberg 群の場合でも未知)
 - [Kendall '09]
Heisenberg 群上, 違う種類のカップリング
- 結合法による (W_q) の証明自体, 興味の対象
- [Baudoin, Garofalo, Bonnefont]
 Γ_2 -条件の一般化
ただし, (\tilde{G}_p) は導出できていない