

Short time kernel asymptotics for Young SDE driven by fBm by means of Watanabe distribution theory

Yuzuru Inahama (Nagoya University)

要約: ハースト指数 $H > 1/2$ のフラクショナル・ブラウン運動によって駆動されるヤング式 (確率) 微分方程式の解は、係数ベクトル場が例えば (準) 楕円性を持つ場合に密度関数 $p_t(a, a')$ を持つことが知られている。(例えば [1].) $t \searrow 0$ のとき、この $p_t(a, a')$ の漸近挙動を楕円性条件と悪くない追加条件のもとで、考察してみたい。既に対角の場合は [1] で、非対角の場合は [2] である程度は調べられているが、マリアヴァン解析における渡辺流の超関数の漸近理論 [3] を使えば、もっと手早く、もっと強い結果が示せる。なお [1, 2] とは違い、この論文では方程式 (1) にドリフト項をちゃんとつけている。意外かもしれないが、ドリフト項があると、漸近展開が格段に複雑になる。

Let $(w_t)_{t \geq 0} = (w_t^1, \dots, w_t^d)_{t \geq 0}$ be the d -dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$. Let $V_i : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be C_b^∞ , that is, V_i is a bounded smooth function with bounded derivatives of all order ($0 \leq i \leq d$). We consider the following stochastic ODE in the sense of Young;

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(y_t) dw_t^i + V_0(y_t) dt \quad \text{with} \quad y_0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (1)$$

We will sometimes write $y_t = y_t(a) = y_t(a, w)$ etc. to make explicit the dependence on a and w .

First, we assume the ellipticity of the coefficient of (1) at the starting point $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

(A1): The set of vectors $\{V_1(a), \dots, V_d(a)\}$ linearly spans \mathbf{R}^n .

Under Assumption **(A1)**, the law of the solution y_t has a density $p_t(a, a')$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n for any $t > 0$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^H$ be the Cameron-Martin space of fBm (w_t) . For $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\phi_t^0 = \phi_t^0(\gamma)$ be the solution of the following Young ODE;

$$d\phi_t^0 = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(\phi_t^0) d\gamma_t^i \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_0^0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Set, for $a \neq a'$,

$$K_a^{a'} = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \mid \phi_1^0(\gamma) = a'\}.$$

If we assume **(A1)** for all a , this set $K_a^{a'}$ is not empty. If $K_a^{a'}$ is not empty, it is a Hilbert submanifold of \mathcal{H} . It is known that $\inf\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\} = \min\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\}$. Now we introduce the following assumption;

(A2): $\bar{\gamma} \in K_a^{a'}$ which minimizes \mathcal{H} -norm exists uniquely.

In the sequel, $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the minimizer in Assumption **(A2)**. We also assume that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$ is not so degenerate at $\bar{\gamma}$ in the following sense.

(A3): At $\bar{\gamma}$, the Hessian of the functional $K_a^{a'} \ni \gamma \mapsto \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$ is strictly larger than $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}^H}/2$ in the form sense. More precisely, If $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \ni u \mapsto f(u) \in K_a^{a'}$ is a smooth curve in $K_a^{a'}$ such that $f(0) = \bar{\gamma}$ and $f'(0) \neq 0$, then $(d/du)^2|_{u=0} \|f(u)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2 > \|f'(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$.

Now, we introduce several index sets for the exponent of the small parameter $\varepsilon := t^H > 0$, which will be used in the asymptotic expansion. Unlike in the preceding papers, index sets in this paper are not (a constant multiple of) $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and are rather complicated. Set $\Lambda_1 = \{n_1 + \frac{n_2}{H} \mid n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}\}$. We denote by $0 = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \dots$ all the elements of Λ_1 in increasing order. Several smallest elements are explicitly given as follows; $\kappa_1 = 1$, $\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{H}$, $\kappa_3 = 2$, $\kappa_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{H}$, $\kappa_5 = 3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}, \dots$ As usual,

using the scale invariance (i.e., self-similarity) of fBm, we will study the scaled version of (1). From its explicit form, one can easily see why Λ_1 appears.

We also set $\Lambda_2 = \{\kappa - 1 \mid \kappa \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0\}\} = \{0, \frac{1}{H} - 1, 1, \frac{1}{H}, (3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}) - 1, \dots\}$ and $\Lambda'_2 = \{\kappa - 2 \mid \kappa \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0, 1, 1/H\}\} = \{0, \frac{1}{H} - 1, (3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}) - 2, \dots\}$. Next we set

$$\Lambda_3 = \{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m \mid m \in \mathbf{N}_+ \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_m \in \Lambda_2\}.$$

In the sequel, $\{0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots\}$ stands for all the elements of Λ_3 in increasing order. Similarly,

$$\Lambda'_3 = \{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m \mid m \in \mathbf{N}_+ \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_m \in \Lambda'_2\}.$$

In the sequel, $\{0 = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots\}$ stands for all the elements of Λ'_3 in increasing order. Finally, $\Lambda_4 = \Lambda_3 + \Lambda'_3 = \{\nu + \rho \mid \nu \in \Lambda_3, \rho \in \Lambda'_3\}$. We denote by $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots\}$ all the elements of Λ_4 in increasing order.

Below we state two main results of ours, which are basically analogous to the corresponding ones in Watanabe [3]. However, there are some differences. First, the exponents on the shoulder of t are not (a constant multiple of) natural numbers. Second, cancellation of "odd terms" as in p. 20 and p. 34, [3] does not happen in general in our case. (If the drift term in Young ODE (1) is zero, then this kind of cancellation takes place as in [1, 2]).

The following is a short time asymptotic expansion of the diagonal of the kernel function. This is much easier than the off-diagonal case.

Theorem 1 *Assume (A1). Then, the diagonal of the kernel $p(t, a, a)$ admits the following asymptotics as $t \searrow 0$;*

$$p(t, a, a) \sim \frac{1}{t^{nH}} (c_0 + c_{\nu_1} t^{\nu_1 H} + c_{\nu_2} t^{\nu_2 H} + \dots)$$

for certain real constants $c_0, c_{\nu_1}, c_{\nu_2}, \dots$. Here, $\{0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots\}$ are all the elements of Λ_3 in increasing order.

We also have off-diagonal short time asymptotics of the kernel function.

Theorem 2 *Assume (A1)–(A3). Then, we have the following asymptotic expansion as $t \searrow 0$;*

$$p(t, a, a') \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2t^{2H}} + \frac{\beta}{t^{2H-1}}\right) \frac{1}{t^{nH}} \{\alpha_{\lambda_0} + \alpha_{\lambda_1} t^{\lambda_1 H} + \alpha_{\lambda_2} t^{\lambda_2 H} + \dots\}$$

for certain real constants $\beta, \alpha_{\lambda_j}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Here, $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots\}$ are all the elements of Λ_4 in increasing order.

References

- [1] Baudoin, F.; Hairer, M.; Probab. Theory Related Fields 139 (2007), no. 3-4, 373–395.
- [2] Baudoin, F.; Ouyang, C.; Stochastic Process. Appl. 121 (2011), no. 4, 759–792.
- [3] Watanabe, S.; Ann. Probab. 15 (1987), no. 1, 1–39.