

# $P$ -adic Gamma classes and overconvergent Frobenius structures for quantum connections

Daniel Pomerleano

Based on joint work with Shaoyun Bai and Paul Seidel  
Dec. 2025 Kyoto

## Quantum connection

Let  $M$  be a closed symplectic manifold which is monotone,

$$[\omega_M] = c_1(M) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

The quantum connection on  $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})[q^{\pm 1}]$  differentiates with respect to the variable  $q$ :

$$\nabla_q x = \partial_q x + q^{-1}([\omega_M] *_q x),$$

where  $*_q$  is the small quantum product,

$$x *_q y = x *_q^{(0)} y + q x *_q^{(1)} y + q^2 x *_q^{(2)} y + \dots$$

## Local monodromy theorem

Let  $\bar{S}$  be a complex, smooth projective curve and let

$$S = \bar{S} - \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$$

be the complement of a finite number of points. Suppose we have a proper smooth algebraic family  $W : Y \rightarrow S$  with fibers of complex dimension  $n$ .

### Theorem (Griffiths, Grothendieck, Katz)

- *The local monodromy about each missing point  $p_i$  has eigenvalues which are roots of unity and Jordan blocks of size at most  $n + 1$ .*
- *The underlying algebraic vector bundle equipped with its Gauss-Manin connection has regular singularities.*

# Monodromies of the quantum connection

## Theorem (P.-Seidel, Chen)

*Suppose  $M$  is a monotone symplectic manifold.*

- The Fourier transform  $FT(QH^*(M))$  has only regular singularities at precisely the eigenvalues of  $c_1(M)_{q=1}$  (and  $\infty$ ).*
- The monodromies about each singular point are quasi-unipotent (have eigenvalues which are roots of unity).*

## Theorem (P.-Seidel)

*Suppose  $M$  is a monotone symplectic manifold which carries a smooth “anti-canonical divisor”  $D$ . Any Jordan block for the monodromy about any singular point of  $FT(QH^*(M))$  is size  $\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ .*

## Questions about the monodromy

From a symplectic geometry context, a natural question is:

### Question

*Is there a monotone symplectic manifold for which  $QH^*(M)$  is “undeformed”?*

### Question

*Is the representation*

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{\lambda_i\}) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{V}_x) \quad (1)$$

*coming from  $FT(QH^*(M))$  semi-simple?*

### Remark

*The last question should be compared to Deligne’s well-known semi-simplicity result.*

## Setup

To study arithmetic properties, we switch to coefficients in a  $p$ -adic field. Let  $p$  be an odd prime. The field is  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. The  $p$ -adic valuation on  $K$ , normalized so that  $\text{val}(p) = 1$ , takes values in  $\frac{1}{p-1}\mathbb{Z}$ . The  $p$ -adic norm is

$$|x| = p^{-\text{val}(x)}. \quad (2)$$

Consider formal power series connections in one variable  $t$ , of rank  $r$ , with a nilpotent simple pole, and having coefficients in the field  $K$ . This means

$$\nabla_{t\partial_t} = t\partial_t + A(t), \quad A(t) = A_0 + tA_1 + t^2A_2 + \cdots, \quad A_0 \text{ nilpotent}, \quad (3)$$

where the  $A_m$  are  $r \times r$  matrices over  $K$ .

# Classification

## Lemma

There is a unique isomorphism  $\Theta(t) = \Theta_0 + t\Theta_1 + \dots$ ,  $\Theta_0 = I$ , between  $\nabla_{t\partial_t}^{\text{pole}} = t\partial_t + A_0$  and the original connection. Concretely, this means that

$$t\partial_t\Theta + A(t)\Theta(t) - \Theta(t)A_0 = 0. \quad (4)$$

Write out (4) order by order:

$$m\Theta_m + [A_0, \Theta_m] = - \sum_{0 \leq i < m} A_{m-i}\Theta_i, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

Let  $R_m$  be the right hand side of (5). Since  $A_0$  is nilpotent, we can solve for  $\Theta_m$  by the formula

$$\Theta_m = R_m/m - [A_0, R_m]/m^2 + [A_0, [A_0, R_m]]/m^3 + \dots, \quad (6)$$

where the last term is divided by  $m^{2N}$ .

# Frobenius

A Frobenius structure is an isomorphism between the original equation and its pullback under the coordinate change  $t \mapsto t^p$ . Explicitly, the Frobenius pullback of a connection (3) is

$$\nabla_{t\partial_t}^{(p)} = t\partial_t + pA(t^p). \quad (7)$$

## Definition

A Frobenius structure for  $\nabla_{t\partial_t}$  is an isomorphism

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi_0 + t\Phi_1 + \cdots, \quad \Phi_0 \text{ invertible}, \quad (8)$$

intertwining (7) and the original connection. Explicitly, this satisfies

$$t\partial_t\Phi + A(t)\Phi(t) - p\Phi(t)A(t^p) = 0. \quad (9)$$

## Lemma

(i) *The constant term  $\Phi_0$  of any Frobenius structure satisfies*

$$A_0\Phi_0 = p\Phi_0A_0. \quad (10)$$

*Conversely, every invertible  $\Phi_0$  with (10) is the constant term of a unique Frobenius structure.*

(ii) *Suppose that the map  $\Theta(t)$  from the Lemma converges on an open disc of radius  $\rho$ . Then any Frobenius structure converges on the open disc of radius  $\min(\rho, \rho^{1/p})$ .*

(iii) *Suppose that our equation admits a Frobenius structure  $\Phi$  which converges on the open disc of radius 1. Then, if the map  $\Theta$  from the previous Lemma converges on some open disc around 0, it must converge on the open disc of radius 1 (and by (ii), the same will then hold for all Frobenius structures).*

## Example

### Example

Consider the equation

$$df/dt = f, \quad (11)$$

The function  $\exp(t)$  has radius of conv.  $p^{-1}/(p-1)$  (Legendre's formula). The Frobenius pullback is  $df^{(p)}/dt = pt^{p-1}f^{(p)}$ . The relation between the two equations is that

$$f(t) = \Phi(t)f^{(p)}(t), \quad \Phi(t) = e^{(t-t^p)}, \quad (12)$$

so multiplication by  $\Phi(t)$  is a Frobenius structure (and the unique one, up to multiplying by a nonzero constant). The function  $\Phi(t)$ , defined by the usual power series, has  $p$ -adic convergence radius  $< 1$ .

# Overconvergent Frobenius

## Definition

A Frobenius structure  $\Phi$  is called overconvergent if it converges on a disc of radius  $> 1$ .

## Example

Our field  $K$  contains a unique element  $\pi$  (known as Dwork's constant) with

$$\pi^{p-1} = -p, \quad |(1 + \pi) - \mu| \leq p^{-2/(p-1)}. \quad (13)$$

Consider the equation

$$df/dt = \pi f, \quad (14)$$

$D(\pi t) = \exp(\pi(t - t^p))$  has radius of convergence  $p^{(p-1)/p^2} > 1$ .

Thus, (14) has an overconvergent Frobenius structure.

## Key example: Bessel equation

### Example

Dwork constructed an overconvergent Frobenius  $\Phi(t)$  on the Bessel equation. This is the following 2x2 connection

$$t\partial_t + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^2 t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Over zero, the Frobenius  $\Phi(0)$  is given by

$$\Phi(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\Gamma'_p(0) & 1/p \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\Gamma_p$  is the p-adic  $\Gamma$  function recalled below.

## Rescaling $QH^*$

Returning to the quantum connection, now with  $K$ -coefficients, we rescale the variable to

$$t = q/\pi \tag{17}$$

For simplicity, we also restrict to the even degree part of cohomology (one could carry out a parallel discussion for the odd degree part). The outcome is

$$\nabla_{t\partial_t} : H^{\text{even}}(M; K)[t] \longrightarrow H^{\text{even}}(M; K)[t],$$

$$\nabla_{t\partial_t} x = t\partial_t x + c_1(M) *_{\pi t} x = t\partial_t x + c_1(M) \smile x + \pi t c_1(M) *^{(1)} x + \dots \tag{18}$$

## $p$ -adic Gamma function

The Morita  $p$ -adic Gamma function  $\Gamma_p(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is a continuous function of  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . One way to define it is by the collection of Mahler expansions:

$$\Gamma_p(-j - pz) = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-p)^m d_{mp+j} z(z-1) \cdots (z-m+1), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \quad (19)$$

where the coefficients are Taylor coefficients of  $D(z) = e^{z+z^p/p}$ . Note that the formulae (19) for different  $j$  compute  $\Gamma_p$  on disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Nevertheless, they are related by:

$$\Gamma_p(-j - pz) = \frac{\Gamma_p(-pz)}{(pz+1) \cdots (pz+j)}, \quad j, z \text{ as before.} \quad (20)$$

## $p$ -adic Gamma class

Let

$$\Gamma_p(E) \in H^{\text{even}}(M; \mathbb{Q}_p) \quad (21)$$

be the multiplicative characteristic class associated to the  $p$ -adic Gamma function, applied to a complex vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ . In terms of Chern roots  $c(E) = 1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + \cdots = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + r_i)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_p(E) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma_p(r_i) = & 1 + \Gamma'_p(0)c_1(E) + \Gamma'_p(0)^2(c_1(E)^2/2) + \\ & + \Gamma'''_p(0)(c_3(E)/2 - c_1(E)c_2(E)/2 + c_1(E)^3/6) \\ & + \Gamma'^3_p(0)(-c_3(E)/2 + c_1(E)c_2(E)/2) + \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

## Candidate Frobenius

We now return to the quantum connection. The map  $\Theta$  from the Lemma above is essentially Givental's fundamental solution:

$$\int_M x \smile \Theta(y) = \int_M x \smile y + \sum_{m>0} q^\dagger (-1)^{m+1} \langle x, \psi^m(y) \rangle, \quad (23)$$

### Conjecture (Conjecture A)

*For the quantum connection on any monotone symplectic manifold, the Frobenius structure with constant term*

$$\Phi_0(x) = p^{-\deg(x)/2} \Gamma_p(TM) \smile x \quad (24)$$

*is overconvergent.*

### Theorem (Theorem A)

*Conjecture A holds for all Fano toric varieties and Grassmannians.*

# Convergence of fundamental solution

- When overconvergence holds, we get that:

## Claim

*The fundamental solution  $\Theta$  converges on an open  $p$ -adic disc of radius one.*

- This would follow if the denominators of  $\langle x, \psi^m(y) \rangle$  divide  $m!$  (which they seem to do in examples).
- For  $\langle 1, \psi^m(pt) \rangle$  and when  $M$  contains a monotone torus, this follows from Tonkonog's formula.
- General case can be studied by Ionel-Parker type arguments? On the other hand, if you want to disprove overconvergence (or even convergence along a disc of radius one) that would be one way.

# Eigenvalues of Frobenius

Dwork's interest in overconvergent Frobenius structures on the Bessel equation was to relate their eigenvalues to arithmetic quantities.

## Conjecture (Conjecture B)

*Take the Frobenius structure from Conjecture A and consider  $\Phi(\theta)$  for some  $(p - 1)$ -st root of unity  $\theta$ . Then, the valuations of the eigenvalues of  $\Phi(\theta)$  are nonpositive integers; and among them (listed with multiplicities), the number of times that each  $k$  appears equals the Betti number  $b_{-2k}(M)$ . Note that for elementary reasons, the valuations under consideration are independent of  $\theta$ .*

## Theorem (Theorem B)

*Conjecture B holds for all Grassmannians.*

## Toric mirror symmetry

Take a fan in  $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$  giving rise to a smooth projective Fano toric variety  $M$ , on which  $T$  acts. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_r \in N$  be the primitive generators of the rays of the fan. Our mirror superpotential is:

$$W_t = \sum_{i=1}^r \pi t z^{e_i} \in K[t^\pm, z_j^\pm]. \quad (25)$$

We consider differential forms  $\Omega^* := \Omega^*_{K[t^\pm, z_i^\pm]/K[t^\pm]}$ . These carry operations:

$$\begin{aligned} d + dW_t &: \Omega^* \longrightarrow \Omega^{*+1}, \\ t\nabla_{\partial_t} = t\partial_t + (W_t) &: \Omega^* \longrightarrow \Omega^*. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

### Theorem

*There is an isomorphism compatible with connections:*

$$QH^*(M; K[t^\pm]) \cong H^*(\Omega^*, d + dW_t), \quad (27)$$

## Lattice in differential forms

We are concerned with the behavior at  $t = 0$ , so we need a refinement of the above mirror symmetry. We consider differential forms with coefficients in the “positive action” ring

$$\theta = \sum_{i \geq w(\mathbf{n})} a_{i,\mathbf{n}} t^i z^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (28)$$

where  $w(\mathbf{n})$  is the piecewise linear function on the toric fan defined by  $-\mathcal{K}_M$ .

### Theorem

*There is an isomorphism of  $D$ -modules:*

$$H^*(\Omega_{\geq 0}^*, d + dW_t) \cong QH^*(M) \quad (29)$$

# Dwork inverse Frobenius

The definition of Dwork's inverse Frobenius comes in two parts.

- 1 The first one is given by multiplication with an invertible power series constructed from the Dwork exponential:

$$\theta \longmapsto \prod D(\pi t z^{e_i}) \theta. \quad (30)$$

This relates the differential  $d + d(W_t)$  to a modified  $d + d(W_t^{(p)})$ .

- 2 The second step is to make the substitution  $z_i \mapsto z_i^{1/p}$  and throw away non-integer powers of  $z_i$ . Explicitly, in one variable

$$z^k \longmapsto \begin{cases} z^{k/p} & p|k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad z^k \frac{dz}{z} \longmapsto \begin{cases} (1/p) z^{k/p} \frac{dz}{z} & p|k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

This relates modified differential  $d + d(W_t^{(p)})$  back to  $d + d(W_{t^p})$ .

## **P-adic Banach space**

The main task is to find a p-adic analytic space of functions where the Dwork exponential converges, but the usual exponential does not.

### **Definition**

Fix a constant  $b > 0$ . Let

$$R_{L(b)} := \left\{ \sum a_{i,\mathbf{n}} t^i z^{\mathbf{n}}, w(\mathbf{n}) \leq i, \exists c \quad \text{val}(a_{i,\mathbf{n}}) \geq b \cdot i + c. \right\}, \quad (32)$$

Let  $L(b)$  denote the functions with  $\mathbf{n} = 0$  (convergent on the disc where  $\text{val}(t) > -b$ ):

### **Key Theorem**

Suppose  $b > \frac{1}{p-1}$ . The inclusion  $\Omega_{\geq 0}^* \subset \Omega_{L(b)}^*$  induces an isomorphism

$$H^n(\Omega_{\geq 0}^*, d + dW_t) \otimes_{K[t]} L(b) \cong H^n(\Omega_{R_{L(b)}}^*, d + dW_t). \quad (33)$$

# One ingredient in proof

Let  $R_{K\langle t \rangle}$  be the space of series

$$\sum_{\substack{k, \mathbf{n} \\ k \geq w(\mathbf{n})}} a_{k, \mathbf{n}} \pi^{w(\mathbf{n})} t^k z^{\mathbf{n}} \quad (34)$$

which satisfy the condition that for any  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ , there are only finitely many  $(k, \mathbf{n})$  with  $\text{val}(a_{k, \mathbf{n}}) \leq C$ .

There is also an integral version  $R_{\mathcal{O}\langle t \rangle}$  which satisfies:

$$R_{\mathcal{O}\langle t \rangle} / (\pi) \cong SR_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Delta), \quad (35)$$

where  $SR_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Delta)$  is the Stanley-Reisner ring with product structure:

$$t^v z^{\mathbf{m}} \cdot t^w z^{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} t^{v+w} z^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} & \text{if } \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \text{ lie in the same cone of the fan,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

## Leading order term

$$\Psi : \frac{dz}{z} \rightarrow p^{-1} \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 0 \\ k \equiv l \pmod{p}}} d_k d_l \pi^{k+l} t^{k+l} z^{(k-l)/p} \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (37)$$

- For the right hand side of (37), all terms with both  $k > 0$  and  $l > 0$  represent classes in  $t^p \bar{L}$ . Abbreviate  $\bar{x}_0 = dz/z$ ,  $\bar{x}_1 = (\pi t)zdz$ .

$$[(\pi \cdot t)^{pk} z^k (dz/z)] \equiv [(\pi \cdot t)^{pk} z^{-k} (dz/z)] \equiv -(k-1)! p^k \bar{x}_1 \pmod{t^p \bar{L}}. \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x_0) &= p^{-1} \left[ \frac{dz}{z} \right] + \sum_{k>0} p^{-1} d_{pk} [(\pi t)^{pk} z^k \frac{dz}{z}] + \sum_{l>0} p^{-1} d_{pl} [(\pi t)^{pl} z^{-l} \frac{dz}{z}] \\ &= p^{-1} \bar{x}_0 - 2 \sum_{l>0} (l-1)! p^{l-1} d_{pl} \bar{x}_1 = p^{-1} \bar{x}_0 - 2\Gamma'_p(0) \bar{x}_1 \pmod{t^p \bar{L}}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

# Grassmannians

Let  $M = Gr(k, N)$ . There is a Satake isomorphism:

$$S : \Lambda^k H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{*-k(k-1)}(M; \mathbb{Q}). \quad (40)$$

In terms of Schur polynomials:

$$S(x^{d_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x^{d_k}) = \frac{\det((r_i^{d_j})_{ij})}{\det((r_i^{k-j})_{ij})}. \quad (41)$$

Here,  $x \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{N-1})$  is the hyperplane class. On the right hand side, both numerator and denominator are determinants of  $k \times k$  matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[r_1, \dots, r_k]$ ; the quotient is a symmetric polynomial.

## Lemma

*The map (40) induces an isomorphism of quantum  $D$ -modules.*

## Grassmannians (cont)

We apply this to the  $p$ -adic Gamma classes:

### Lemma

Let  $\Phi_{\mathbb{C}P^{N-1},0}$  and  $\Phi_{M,0}$  be the endomorphisms (24) for those two manifolds. They fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^k H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}; \mathbb{Q}_p) & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{R}]{S} & H^{*-k(k-1)}(M; \mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \Lambda_{\text{Group}}^k(\Phi_{\mathbb{C}P^{N-1},0}) \downarrow & & \downarrow p^{-k(k+1)/2} \Phi_{M,0} \\ \Lambda^k H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}; \mathbb{Q}_p) & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{R}]{S} & H^{*-k(k-1)}(M; \mathbb{Q}_p) \end{array} \quad (42)$$

Here  $\Lambda_{\text{Group}}^k(\Phi_{\mathbb{C}P^{N-1},0})$  is the restriction of  $\Phi_{\mathbb{C}P^{N-1},0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_{\mathbb{C}P^{N-1},0}$  to the antisymmetric part.

# Symplectic cohomology

Suppose for simplicity that  $M$  carries a snc anti-canonical divisor  $D$ .

- Then using a standard model for a tubular neighborhood of  $D$ , the complement  $X := M \setminus D$  is a convex symplectic manifold. One can therefore associate to  $X$  its symplectic cohomology  $SC^*(X)$ .
- $SC^*(X)$  carries the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra (in fact  $E_2$  algebra).
- Roughly speaking, in the case  $D$  is smooth, the generators of this complex look like

$$C^*(X) \bigoplus_{w \geq 1} C^*(SD)z^w$$

- We can arrange that  $SC^*(X)$  is concentrated in non-negative degrees and carries an “approximate action” filtration by the winding number  $w(x_0)$  of an orbit around the divisor  $D$ .

# Deformed symplectic cohomology

- The symplectic cohomology carries a certain class  $\theta \in SH^0(X)$  which measures how compactifying  $X \subset M$  deforms its Floer theory. More precisely,  $\theta$  gives a Maurer-Cartan element  $q\theta \in MC^\bullet(SC^*(X)[q])$  (just for degree reasons).
- The  $S^1$ -equivariant symplectic cohomology  $SC_{S^1}^*(X)$  is an  $L_\infty$  module over  $SC^*(X)$ .
- One can use the class  $\theta$  to deform the  $S^1$ -equivariant symplectic cohomology,  $SC_{S^1}^*(M, D)$ . For general reasons, this acquires a connection  $u\nabla_q$ , where  $u$  is the distinguished generator of  $H^2(BS^1)$ .

## Theorem (P-Seidel)

Suppose for simplicity  $D$  is smooth. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$G : H^*(M)[q, u] \oplus \bigoplus_{w \geq 1} H^*(D)[u]z^w \cong H^*(SC_{S^1}^*(M, D)),$$

The map is  $q$ -linear on the  $H^*(M)[q, u]$  component.

## Corollary

After inverting  $q$ , we obtain an isomorphism

$$QH^*(M)[u, q^\pm] \cong H^*(SC_{S^1}^*(M, D)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[q]} \mathbb{C}[q^\pm],$$

taking the quantum connection to  $u\nabla_q$ .

# Dwork symplectic cohomology

Fix a constant  $b > 0$ . We can consider a version of symplectic cohomology,  $SH_{S^1, L(b)}^*(M, D)$  which roughly speaking includes cochains of the form:

$$\left\{ \sum a_{i,n} t^i x_0, \quad i - w(x_0) \geq 0, \quad \exists c \quad \text{val}(a_{i,n}) \geq b \cdot i + c. \right\}, \quad (43)$$

## Theorem

*Suppose  $b > \frac{1}{p-1}$ . The above map gives an isomorphism compatible with connections:*

$$G : H^*(M; L(b)) \longrightarrow SH_{S^1, L(b)}^*(M, D) \quad (44)$$

## Dwork exponential on symplectic cohomology?

There is an isomorphism:

$$SC_{S^1}^*(X)((u)) \cong H^*(X)((u)). \quad (45)$$

We can integrate the Gauss-Manin connection to give an isomorphism:

$$(SH_{S^1}^*(X)((u))[[q]], d_q) \longrightarrow (SH_{S^1}^*(X)((u))[[q]], d_q^{(p)}). \quad (46)$$

### Question

Does this “converge” to an isomorphism:

$$SH_{S^1, L(p-1/p)}^*(M, D)((u)) \longrightarrow SH_{S^1, L(\frac{p-1}{p^2})}^*(M, D)((u))^{(p)}, \quad (47)$$

*compatible with connections on both sides?*