Moduli of Lagrangian immersions in pair-of-pants decompositions and mirror symmetry

Siu-Cheong Lau
Boston University

Decemeber 2017

Joint work with Cheol-Hyun Cho and Hansol Hong

Outline

- Overview.
- Construction for pair-of-pants decompositions.
- An application to wall-crossing.

Section 1

Overview and background

Moduli theory in the B-side

- Moduli theory for vector bundles was well established.

Theorem (**Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau**)

A slope-semistable holomorphic vector bundle admits a Hermitian Yang-Mills metric.

- GIT and stability conditions were essential to the construction.
- **Bridgeland** developed a general mathematical theory of stability conditions for triangulated categories.
- **Toda** developed foundational techniques to construct Bridgeland stability conditions for derived categories of coherent sheaves.
- Moduli spaces undergo birational changes (such as flops) in a variation of stability conditions.
- **How about moduli of Lagrangians in the mirror A-side?**

Moduli theory in the B-side

- Moduli theory for vector bundles was well established.

Theorem (**Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau**)

A slope-semistable holomorphic vector bundle admits a Hermitian Yang-Mills metric.

- GIT and stability conditions were essential to the construction.
- **Bridgeland** developed a general mathematical theory of stability conditions for triangulated categories.
- **Toda** developed foundational techniques to construct Bridgeland stability conditions for derived categories of coherent sheaves.
- Moduli spaces undergo birational changes (such as flops) in a variation of stability conditions.
- **How about moduli of Lagrangians in the mirror A-side?**

Moduli theory in the B-side

- Moduli theory for vector bundles was well established.

Theorem (**Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau**)

A slope-semistable holomorphic vector bundle admits a Hermitian Yang-Mills metric.

- GIT and stability conditions were essential to the construction.
- **Bridgeland** developed a general mathematical theory of stability conditions for triangulated categories.
- **Toda** developed foundational techniques to construct Bridgeland stability conditions for derived categories of coherent sheaves.
- Moduli spaces undergo birational changes (such as flops) in a variation of stability conditions.
- **How about moduli of Lagrangians in the mirror A-side?**

Ingredients for moduli theory of Lagrangians

- *Complexification.* The naive moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities. Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- *Quantum correction.* The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [**Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono**]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by **Kontsevich-Soibelman** and **Gross-Siebert**.
- *Landau-Ginzburg model.* The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions.
- *Singular Lagrangians.* **Kontsevich** proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. **Nadler** is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

Ingredients for moduli theory of Lagrangians

- *Complexification.* The naive moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities. Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- *Quantum correction.* The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [**Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono**]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by **Kontsevich-Soibelman** and **Gross-Siebert**.
- *Landau-Ginzburg model.* The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions.
- *Singular Lagrangians.* **Kontsevich** proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. **Nadler** is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

Ingredients for moduli theory of Lagrangians

- *Complexification.* The naive moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities. Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- *Quantum correction.* The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [**Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono**]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by **Kontsevich-Soibelman** and **Gross-Siebert**.
- *Landau-Ginzburg model.* The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions.
- *Singular Lagrangians.* **Kontsevich** proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. **Nadler** is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

Ingredients for moduli theory of Lagrangians

- *Complexification.* The naive moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities. Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- *Quantum correction.* The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [**Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono**]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by **Kontsevich-Soibelman** and **Gross-Siebert**.
- *Landau-Ginzburg model.* The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions.
- *Singular Lagrangians.* **Kontsevich** proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. **Nadler** is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

Why care about Lagrangian immersions



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by **Akaho-Joyce**.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- A Lagrangian immersion in the pair-of-pants was used by **Seidel** and **Sheridan** to prove homological mirror symmetry for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.

Why care about Lagrangian immersions



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by **Akaho-Joyce**.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- A Lagrangian immersion in the pair-of-pants was used by **Seidel** and **Sheridan** to prove homological mirror symmetry for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.

Why care about Lagrangian immersions



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by **Akaho-Joyce**.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- A Lagrangian immersion in the pair-of-pants was used by **Seidel** and **Sheridan** to prove homological mirror symmetry for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.

Why care about Lagrangian immersions



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by **Akaho-Joyce**.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- A Lagrangian immersion in the pair-of-pants was used by **Seidel** and **Sheridan** to prove homological mirror symmetry for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.

Family Floer theory

- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $\mathrm{CF}(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- **Abouzaid** constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We consider moduli of Lagrangians which are not necessarily tori.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, **we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions which intersect with each other in a certain manner, and obtain the gluing information from cocyle conditions**. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)
- Lagrangian immersions help in gluing. The immersed sectors provide Λ_+ (or even Λ_0) space of deformations, which is much 'bigger' than the deformation space of flat $\mathbf{C}^\times$-connections.

Family Floer theory

- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $\mathrm{CF}(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- **Abouzaid** constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We consider moduli of Lagrangians which are not necessarily tori.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, **we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions which intersect with each other in a certain manner, and obtain the gluing information from cocyle conditions**. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)
- Lagrangian immersions help in gluing. The immersed sectors provide Λ_+ (or even Λ_0) space of deformations, which is much 'bigger' than the deformation space of flat $\mathbf{C}^\times$-connections.

Section 2

Pair-of-pants decompositions

Punctured Riemann surfaces



- Consider a pair-of-pants decomposition of a punctured Riemann surface.
- Homological mirror symmetry was proved for punctured Riemann surface by **Abouzaid-Auroux-Efimov-Katzarkov-Orlov, Bocklandt, and Heather Lee**.
- Our focus is on the construction of moduli rather than HMS. The construction comes with a natural functor which derives HMS.
- We have a family of Lagrangian immersions as shown in the figure. **We will take finitely many representing Lagrangians and glue their deformation spaces together.**
- dim $= 1$ contains the essential ingredients. In higher dimensions, Seidel's Lagrangians are replaced by Sheridan's Lagrangians.

Punctured Riemann surfaces



- Consider a pair-of-pants decomposition of a punctured Riemann surface.
- Homological mirror symmetry was proved for punctured Riemann surface by **Abouzaid-Auroux-Efimov-Katzarkov-Orlov, Bocklandt, and Heather Lee**.
- Our focus is on the construction of moduli rather than HMS. The construction comes with a natural functor which derives HMS.
- We have a family of Lagrangian immersions as shown in the figure. **We will take finitely many representing Lagrangians and glue their deformation spaces together.**
- dim = 1 contains the essential ingredients. In higher dimensions, Seidel's Lagrangians are replaced by Sheridan's Lagrangians.

Review on local moduli construction



- First consider a pair-of-pants, with the Lagrangian immersion constructed by Seidel.
- $\mathrm{CF}(L, L) = \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, X, Y, Z, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \mathrm{pt}\}$.
- We proved that $xX + yY + zZ$ is weakly unobstructed for $x, y, z \in \mathbf{C}$. It is important that the areas of the two triangles are the same.
- Thus the local moduli is $(\mathbf{C}^3, W)$, where $W = xyz$.
- The pair-of-pants can be compactified to $\mathbb{P}^1_{a,b,c}$. We used this to construct and compute the mirror, and derived homological mirror symmetry. In an ongoing work with **Amorim** we prove closed-string mirror symmetry. For elliptic orbifolds the coefficients of W are modular forms [**L.-Zhou**].

Review on local moduli construction



- First consider a pair-of-pants, with the Lagrangian immersion constructed by Seidel.
- $\mathrm{CF}(L, L) = \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, X, Y, Z, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \mathrm{pt}\}$.
- We proved that $xX + yY + zZ$ is weakly unobstructed for $x, y, z \in \mathbf{C}$. It is important that the areas of the two triangles are the same.
- Thus the local moduli is $(\mathbf{C}^3, W)$, where $W = xyz$.
- The pair-of-pants can be compactified to $\mathbb{P}^1_{a,b,c}$. We used this to construct and compute the mirror, and derived homological mirror symmetry. In an ongoing work with **Amorim** we prove closed-string mirror symmetry. For elliptic orbifolds the coefficients of W are modular forms [**L.-Zhou**].

Gluing



- Consider the four-punctured sphere as shown above.
- We need to glue the deformation spaces of the two Seidel Lagrangians S_1 and S_2.
- There are two main processes: smoothing and gauge change.
- Let's pretend to work over $\mathbf{C}$ at this stage. The gluing we need is

$$(\mathbf{C}^3, W) \overset{\text{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{C}^2) \overset{\text{gauge change}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{C}^2) \overset{\text{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}^3.$$

Gluing



- Consider the four-punctured sphere as shown above.
- We need to glue the deformation spaces of the two Seidel Lagrangians S_1 and S_2.
- There are two main processes: smoothing and gauge change.
- Let's pretend to work over $\mathbf{C}$ at this stage. The gluing we need is

$$(\mathbf{C}^3, W) \overset{\text{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{C}^2) \overset{\text{gauge change}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{C}^2) \overset{\text{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}^3.$$

Choice of gauge change



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- Put a flat $\mathbf{C}^\times$ connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_∞ algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with $a + b = 2$.

Choice of gauge change



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- Put a flat $\mathbf{C}^\times$ connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_∞ algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with $a + b = 2$.

Choice of gauge change



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- Put a flat $\mathbf{C}^\times$ connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_∞ algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with $a + b = 2$.

Choice of gauge change



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- Put a flat $\mathbf{C}^\times$ connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_∞ algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with $a + b = 2$.

Smoothing

- We need to glue the deformation spaces of S and C.
- They are given by $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{C}^3$ and $(t, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{C}^2$ respectively.
- Intuitively to match the superpotentials xyz and ty_0z_0, we simply put $x = t, y = y_0, z = z_0$.
- We take S_1 to be the deformed Seidel Lagrangian which intersects C as in the figure.
- Then we use cocycle conditions to deduce the gluing between S and C.

Smoothing

- We need to glue the deformation spaces of S and C.
- They are given by $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{C}^3$ and $(t, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{C}^2$ respectively.
- Intuitively to match the superpotentials xyz and ty_0z_0, we simply put $x = t, y = y_0, z = z_0$.
- We take S_1 to be the deformed Seidel Lagrangian which intersects C as in the figure.
- Then we use cocycle conditions to deduce the gluing between S and C.



Isomorphisms in smoothing

- We consider $a_1 + b_1 \in \mathrm{CF}((C, \nabla_t), (S, xX))$ and $c_2 + d_2 \in \mathrm{CF}((S, xX), (C, \nabla_t))$.
- Consider cocycle conditions on $a_1 + b_1$ and $c_2 + d_2$. Once the cocycle conditions are satisfied, they give isomorphisms between the two objects.



A paradox



- S is isomorphic to S_1, and (S_1, xX) is isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) for $t = x \neq 0$. Thus (S, xX) is isomorphic to C.
- But S and C are disjoint, and hence there is no morphism between them!
- We need to take a closer look at areas of holomorphic discs.
- Following **Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono**, we shall use the Novikov ring $\Lambda_0 = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : 0 \leq A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \ldots\}$ to filter deformations into different energy levels.

A paradox



- S is isomorphic to S_1, and (S_1, xX) is isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) for $t = x \neq 0$. Thus (S, xX) is isomorphic to C.
- But S and C are disjoint, and hence there is no morphism between them!
- We need to take a closer look at areas of holomorphic discs.
- Following **Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono**, we shall use the Novikov ring $\Lambda_0 = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : 0 \leq A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \ldots\}$ to filter deformations into different energy levels.

Area constraints for isomorphisms



- For the cocycle conditions on $(S_1, x_1 X_1) \to (C, \nabla_t)$, indeed we have $t = T^{A_1 + \ldots + A_5 - A_7} x_1$.
- Note that $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ while $x_1 \in \Lambda_0$. When $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 > A_7$, the condition is never satisfied.
- Hence $(S_1, x_1 X_1)$ can never be isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) if $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 > A_7$.
- When $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 = A_7$, the change of coordinates $x_1 = t$ does not involve Novikov parameters.
- For the cocycle conditions on $(S, xX) \to (S_1, x_1 X_1)$, we have $x_1 = T^A x$ for certain $A > 0$. Thus $\mathrm{val}(x_1) \geq A > 0$ in order to have them to be isomorphic.
- The two regions $\mathrm{val}(x_1) = 0$ and $\mathrm{val}(x_1) \geq A > 0$ are disjoint. This solves the paradox.

Area constraints for isomorphisms



- For the cocycle conditions on $(S_1, x_1 X_1) \to (C, \nabla_t)$, indeed we have $t = T^{A_1 + \ldots + A_5 - A_7} x_1$.
- Note that $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ while $x_1 \in \Lambda_0$. When $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 > A_7$, the condition is never satisfied.
- Hence $(S_1, x_1 X_1)$ can never be isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) if $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 > A_7$.
- When $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 = A_7$, the change of coordinates $x_1 = t$ does not involve Novikov parameters.
- For the cocycle conditions on $(S, xX) \to (S_1, x_1 X_1)$, we have $x_1 = T^A x$ for certain $A > 0$. Thus $\mathrm{val}(x_1) \geq A > 0$ in order to have them to be isomorphic.
- The two regions $\mathrm{val}(x_1) = 0$ and $\mathrm{val}(x_1) \geq A > 0$ are disjoint. This solves the paradox.

Area constraints for isomorphisms



- For the cocycle conditions on $(S_1, x_1X_1) \to (C, \nabla_t)$, indeed we have $t = T^{A_1+\ldots+A_5-A_7}x_1$.
- Note that $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ while $x_1 \in \Lambda_0$. When $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 > A_7$, the condition is never satisfied.
- Hence (S_1, x_1X_1) can never be isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) if $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 > A_7$.
- When $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 = A_7$, the change of coordinates $x_1 = t$ does not involve Novikov parameters.
- For the cocycle conditions on $(S, xX) \to (S_1, x_1X_1)$, we have $x_1 = T^Ax$ for certain $A > 0$. Thus $\mathrm{val}(x_1) \geq A > 0$ in order to have them to be isomorphic.
- The two regions $\mathrm{val}(x_1) = 0$ and $\mathrm{val}(x_1) \geq A > 0$ are disjoint. This solves the paradox.

More pair-of-pants

- For the four-punctured sphere with two pants in the decomposition, we can choose S_1 as above in each pants such that the gluing $x = t$ does not involve the Novikov parameter.
- Then the $\mathbf{C}$-valued mirror $(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1), W)$ embeds well in the Λ-valued mirror $(\Lambda_0^3 \cup \Lambda_0^3, W)$.
- However suppose there is one more pants in the decomposition. Then we need to consider another Seidel Lagrangian S_1'.
- The gluing between S_1 and S_1' is $x_1 = T^A x_1'$ for certain $A > 0$. Unavoidably the gluing involves the Novikov parameter.

More pair-of-pants



- For the four-punctured sphere with two pants in the decomposition, we can choose S_1 as above in each pants such that the gluing $x = t$ does not involve the Novikov parameter.
- Then the **C**-valued mirror $(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1), W)$ embeds well in the Λ-valued mirror $(\Lambda_0^3 \cup \Lambda_0^3, W)$.
- However suppose there is one more pants in the decomposition. Then we need to consider another Seidel Lagrangian S_1'.
- The gluing between S_1 and S_1' is $x_1 = T^A x_1'$ for certain $A > 0$. Unavoidably the gluing involves the Novikov parameter.

More pair-of-pants



- For the four-punctured sphere with two pants in the decomposition, we can choose S_1 as above in each pants such that the gluing $x = t$ does not involve the Novikov parameter.
- Then the **C**-valued mirror $(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1), W)$ embeds well in the Λ-valued mirror $(\Lambda_0^3 \cup \Lambda_0^3, W)$.
- However suppose there is one more pants in the decomposition. Then we need to consider another Seidel Lagrangian S_1'.
- The gluing between S_1 and S_1' is $x_1 = T^A x_1'$ for certain $A > 0$. Unavoidably the gluing involves the Novikov parameter.

Moduli over Λ



- The moduli over Λ is depicted above.
- The $\mathbf{C}$-valued toric Calabi-Yau is not embedded, since the gluing $x_1 = T^A x_1'$ does not preserve $\mathbf{C}$.
- On the other hand, the $\mathbf{C}$-valued critical locus of W is embedded in the Λ-valued moduli. Exact Lagrangians are transformed to matrix factorizations supported on the $\mathbf{C}$-valued critical locus.

A compactification



- The gluing $x = t$, $y = y_0$, $z = z_0$ looks pretty trivial. Let's compactify to get more interesting gluing.
- As an example, compactify the four-punctured sphere to a sphere. we still consider the moduli of double-circles.
- The gluing is further quantum-corrected by discs emanated from infinite divisors.
- The new gluing is $t = x$, $y_0 = y_1 + t_1^{-1}$, $z_0 = z_1 - t_1^{-1}$. $W = xyz - y_1 + x_1 + z_1$. (The Novikov parameter is suppressed for simplicity.)
- Unlike the case for anti-canonical divisors, gluing needs to be corrected upon compactification.

A compactification





- The gluing $x = t$, $y = y_0$, $z = z_0$ looks pretty trivial. Let's compactify to get more interesting gluing.
- As an example, compactify the four-punctured sphere to a sphere. we still consider the moduli of double-circles.
- The gluing is further quantum-corrected by discs emanated from infinite divisors.
- The new gluing is $t = x$, $y_0 = y_1 + t_1^{-1}$, $z_0 = z_1 - t_1^{-1}$. $W = xyz - y_1 + x_1 + z_1$. (The Novikov parameter is suppressed for simplicity.)
- Unlike the case for anti-canonical divisors, gluing needs to be corrected upon compactification.

A compactification





- The gluing $x = t$, $y = y_0$, $z = z_0$ looks pretty trivial. Let's compactify to get more interesting gluing.
- As an example, compactify the four-punctured sphere to a sphere. we still consider the moduli of double-circles.
- The gluing is further quantum-corrected by discs emanated from infinite divisors.
- The new gluing is $t = x$, $y_0 = y_1 + t_1^{-1}$, $z_0 = z_1 - t_1^{-1}$. $W = xyz - y_1 + x_1 + z_1$. (The Novikov parameter is suppressed for simplicity.)
- Unlike the case for anti-canonical divisors, gluing needs to be corrected upon compactification.

Changing stability and flop



- Let's go back to the four-punctured sphere. We can take another pair-of-pants decomposition.
- It corresponds to another choice of a quadratic differential (stability condition [**Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich**]).
- This results in a flop of the moduli space $(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1), W)$.
- We can also consider a quadratic differential with double zeros. Then the moduli is the non-commutative resolution of the conifold corresponding to a quiver ([**Cho-Hong-L. 15**]).
- In [**Fan-Hong-L.-Yau**] we studied a 3d version of this (deformed conifold).

Changing stability and flop



- Let's go back to the four-punctured sphere. We can take another pair-of-pants decomposition.
- It corresponds to another choice of a quadratic differential (stability condition [**Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich**]).
- This results in a flop of the moduli space $(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1), W)$.
- We can also consider a quadratic differential with double zeros. Then the moduli is the non-commutative resolution of the conifold corresponding to a quiver ([**Cho-Hong-L. 15**]).
- In [**Fan-Hong-L.-Yau**] we studied a 3d version of this (deformed conifold).

Section 3

Wall-crossing

Immersed sphere



- The two-dimensional immersed sphere leads to wall-crossing phenomenons for SYZ Lagrangian fibrations.
- **Fukaya** studied this immersion and studied the relation with the mirror equation. Here we realize it from cocycle conditions.
- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations $b = uU + vV$ where $(u, v) \in (\Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+) \cup (\Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0)$.
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners $U, V, \ldots, U, V$. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.

Immersed sphere



- The two-dimensional immersed sphere leads to wall-crossing phenomenons for SYZ Lagrangian fibrations.
- **Fukaya** studied this immersion and studied the relation with the mirror equation. Here we realize it from cocycle conditions.
- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations $b = uU + vV$ where $(u, v) \in (\Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+) \cup (\Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0)$.
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners $U, V, \ldots, U, V$. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.

Immersed sphere



- The two-dimensional immersed sphere leads to wall-crossing phenomenons for SYZ Lagrangian fibrations.
- **Fukaya** studied this immersion and studied the relation with the mirror equation. Here we realize it from cocycle conditions.
- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations $b = uU + vV$ where $(u, v) \in (\Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+) \cup (\Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0)$.
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners $U, V, \ldots, U, V$. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.

Immersed sphere



- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations $b = uU + vV$ where $(u, v) \in (\Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+) \cup (\Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0)$.
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners $U, V, \ldots, U, V$. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.
- The constant discs with corners $U, V, \ldots, U, V$ contributing to pt of m_0^b cancel with that with corners $V, U, \ldots, V, U$. Thus $b = uU + vV$ is weakly unobstructed.

Gluing



- Consider the immersed sphere S and a Chekanov torus T. S is made by deforming the immersed fiber.
- We glue the deformations $(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+$ with the deformations $(x, y) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times \oplus \Lambda_+)^2$ of T.

Gluing



- We glue the deformations $(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+$ with the deformations $(x, y) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times \oplus \Lambda_+)^2$ of T.
- The cocycle conditions give $u = y$ and $x = uv - 1$. Note that the second equation is on the region $x = -1 + \Lambda_+$.
- In other words, $\mathrm{CF}(T, T)$ gives an extension of $\mathrm{CF}(S, S)$ from $v \in \Lambda_+$ to $v \in \Lambda_0$ with $uv \neq 1$.
- Similarly we can glue the immersed sphere S (with $(u, v) \in \Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0$) with a Clifford torus T'. It is $v = y'$ and $x' = uv - 1$.
- The resulting moduli is $\{(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_0 : uv \neq 1\}$.

Gluing



- We glue the deformations $(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_+$ with the deformations $(x, y) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times \oplus \Lambda_+)^2$ of T.
- The cocycle conditions give $u = y$ and $x = uv - 1$. Note that the second equation is on the region $x = -1 + \Lambda_+$.
- In other words, $\mathrm{CF}(T, T)$ gives an extension of $\mathrm{CF}(S, S)$ from $v \in \Lambda_+$ to $v \in \Lambda_0$ with $uv \neq 1$.
- Similarly we can glue the immersed sphere S (with $(u, v) \in \Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0$) with a Clifford torus T'. It is $v = y'$ and $x' = uv - 1$.
- The resulting moduli is $\{(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_0 : uv \neq 1\}$.

Grassmannians



- With **Hansol Hong and Yoosik Kim**, we are applying this to construct the compactified mirror of $\mathrm{Gr}(2, n)$.
- Flag manifolds have Gelfand-Cetlin systems serving as Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- Immersed spheres are important in that case because they appear as critical fibers of the superpotential.
- For instance, by **Nohara-Ueda** for $\mathrm{Gr}(2, 4)$ there is a critical point $(0, 0)$ which corresponds to a certain fiber $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ of the Gelfand-Cetlin system.
- The trouble is $\mathbf{S}^3$ is rigid!

Grassmannians



- Consider the symplectic reduction picture on $\mathbf{S}^2$. We push in one singular point and consider the corresponding moduli.
- There is one monotone Lagrangian torus above and below the wall respectively. We glue the deformation spaces of an immersed sphere (times T^2) with that of the two monotone tori like in the last slide.
- This recovers the **Rietsch** Lie theoretical mirror for $\mathrm{Gr}(2, 4)$.