

Hodge-Kodaira operators in infinite dimensional spaces

Ichiro SHIGEKAWA

KYOTO UNIVERSITY

November 21, 2005

Universität Bielefeld

URL: <http://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~ichiro/>

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Abstract Wiener space with weighted measure
3. Unbounded lattice spin system

1. Introduction

(B, H, μ) : an **abstract Wiener space**

- B : a Banach space
- H : a Hilbert space $\hookrightarrow B$
- μ : the Wiener measure with

$$\int_B e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \varphi \rangle} \mu(dx) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}|\varphi|_{H^*}^2\right\},$$

$$\varphi \in B^* \subset H^*.$$

p -forms: $\wedge^p H^*$ -valued functions.

We consider the following operators acting on differential forms $L^2(\mu; \wedge^p H^*)$.

- d : the exterior differentiation
- δ : the dual operator of d
- $\square_p = -(d\delta + \delta d)$: the **Hodge-Kodaira operator**

Then

$$\sigma(-\square_p) = \{p, p+1, p+2, \dots\}$$

In particular, setting

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \{\omega \in L^2(\mu; \bigwedge^p H^*); \square_p \omega = 0\}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \begin{cases} \{\text{constant functions}\}, & p = 0, \\ \{0\}, & p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Aim : To show a similar kind of vanishing theorem in
infinite dimensional space.

2. Abstract Wiener space with weighted measure

Set

$$\mu_F = e^{-2F} \mu, \quad \mu_F(B) = 1$$

and consider

- $d_F = d$: the exterior differentiation
- δ_F : the dual operator of d_F w.r.t. μ_F
- $\square_F = -(d_F \delta_F + \delta_F d_F)$: the Hodge-Kodaira operator

Hino [1997] proved the following:

$$e^{|\nabla F|^2} \in L^{2+} \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \begin{cases} \{\text{constant functions}\}, & p = 0, \\ \{0\}, & p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$e^{|\nabla F|^2} \in L^{4+} \Rightarrow \lambda_p = \inf \sigma(-\square_{F,p}) > 0, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Hino [1997] proved the following:

$$e^{|\nabla F|^2} \in L^{2+} \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \begin{cases} \{\text{constant functions}\}, & p = 0, \\ \{0\}, & p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$e^{|\nabla F|^2} \in L^{4+} \Rightarrow \lambda_p = \inf \sigma(-\square_{F,p}) > 0, \quad p \geq 1.$$



$$e^{|\nabla F|^2} \in L^{2+} \Rightarrow \lambda_p = \inf \sigma(-\square_{F,p}) > 0, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Define $I: L^2(\mu; \wedge^p H^*) \longrightarrow L^2(\mu_F; \wedge^p H^*)$ by

$$I\omega = e^F \omega$$

Under this isomorphism, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2(\mu; \wedge^p H^*) & \xrightarrow{I} & L^2(\mu_F; \wedge^p H^*) \\ \hat{d}_F \downarrow & & \downarrow d_F \\ L^2(\mu; \wedge^{p+1} H^*) & \xrightarrow{I} & L^2(\mu_F; \wedge^{p+1} H^*) \end{array}$$

where

$$\hat{d}_F \omega = I^{-1} \circ d_F \circ I\omega = e^{-F} d_F(e^F \omega) = d\omega + \text{ext}(dF)\omega$$

Similarly

$$\hat{\delta}_F \omega = I^{-1} \circ \delta_F \circ I\omega = e^{-F} \delta_F(e^F \omega) = \delta\omega + \text{int}(dF)\omega$$

The associated **Hodge-Kodaira operator** $\hat{\square}_{F,p}$ is given by

$$\hat{\square}_{F,p} = -(\hat{\delta}_F \hat{d}_F + \hat{d}_F \hat{\delta}_F)$$

and the associated **bilinear form** $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{F,p}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{F,p}(\omega, \eta) &= E[(d\omega + \text{ext}(dF)\omega | d\eta + \text{ext}(dF)\eta) \\ &\quad + (\delta\omega + \text{int}(dF)\omega | \delta\eta + \text{int}(dF)\eta)] \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}[|\nabla \omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \\
& \leq \frac{p! a}{(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{2})^2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{F,p}(\omega, \omega) \\
& + \frac{p!}{\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{2})} (\log E[e^{a|dF|^2}] - p\sqrt{2a}) \|\omega\|_2^2 \\
& \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{F,p}(\omega, \omega) \leq \frac{1}{p!} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\right)^2 \mathbf{E}[|\nabla \omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \\
& + \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\right) \left(p + \frac{\log E[e^{a|dF|^2}]}{\sqrt{2a}}\right) \|\omega\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

To show $\lambda_p > 0$, we take an approximation method.

Define an approximating sequence $\{F_n\}$ as follows.

- $\{\varphi_i\} \subset B^* \subset H^*$: c.o.n.s of H^*
- $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{\varphi_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}$
- $F_n = E[F | \mathcal{F}_n]$

Then we have $F_n \rightarrow F$ a.e. and

$$E[\exp(a|dF_n|^2)] \leq E[\exp(a|dF|^2)]$$

We show $\hat{\square}_{F_n,p}$ converges to $\hat{\square}_{F,p}$ **in norm resolvent sense**,
i.e.,

$$G^{(n)} = (1 - \hat{\square}_{F_n,p})^{-1}, \quad G = (1 - \hat{\square}_{F,p})^{-1}$$

and

$$\|G^{(n)} - G\|_{\text{op}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proposition 2.2. There exists a constant C so that

$$E[|\nabla G\omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \leq C\|\omega\|_2^2$$

$$E[|\nabla \hat{d}_F G\omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \leq C\|\omega\|_2^2$$

$$E[|\nabla \hat{\delta}_F G\omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \leq C\|\omega\|_2^2$$

$$E[|\nabla G^{(n)}\omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \leq C\|\omega\|_2^2$$

$$E[|\nabla \hat{d}_{F_n} G^{(n)}\omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \leq C\|\omega\|_2^2$$

$$E[|\nabla \hat{\delta}_{F_n} G^{(n)}\omega|_{\text{HS}}^2] \leq C\|\omega\|_2^2$$

Proof of $\|G^{(n)} - G\|_{\text{op}} \rightarrow 0$

$$(G\omega - G^{(n)}\omega | \eta)$$

$$= (\text{ext}(dF - dF_n)G^{(n)}\omega, \hat{d}_F G\eta)$$

$$+ (\hat{d}_{F_n} G^{(n)}\omega, \text{ext}(dF - dF_n)G\eta) + \text{terms of } \delta$$

$$= \left(\frac{\text{ext}(dF - dF_n)}{\sqrt{|dF|^2 + |dF_n|^2 + 1}} G^{(n)}\omega, \sqrt{|dF|^2 + |dF_n|^2 + 1} \hat{d}_F G\eta \right)$$

$$+ \left(\sqrt{|dF|^2 + |dF_n|^2 + 1} \hat{d}_{F_n} G^{(n)}\omega, \frac{\text{ext}(dF - dF_n)}{\sqrt{|dF|^2 + |dF_n|^2 + 1}} G\eta \right)$$

$$+ \text{terms of } \delta$$

3. Unbounded lattice spin system

Setting

- Configuration space: $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$
- Gibbs measure:

$$\nu = Z^{-1} \exp \left\{ -2\mathcal{J} \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ i \sim j}} (x^i - x^j)^2 - 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} U(x^i) \right\} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} dx^i.$$

- Tangent space: $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$

Known results:

- B. Zegarlinski [1996] : logarithmic Sobolev inequality for $d = 1$
- N. Yoshida [1999] : logarithmic Sobolev inequality for general d
- T. Bodineau and B. Helffer [1999] : spectral gap for 1-forms

Instead of infinite volume Gibbs state, we consider finite volume Gibbs state:

Take a finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and a boundary condition η and define an Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\Lambda, \eta}(x) = & \sum_{\substack{i, j \in \Lambda \\ i \sim j}} \mathcal{J}(x^i - x^j)^2 + \sum_{i \in Z^d} U(x^i) \\ & + 2 \sum_{\substack{i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda^c \\ i \sim j}} \mathcal{J}(x^i - \eta^j)^2\end{aligned}$$

and a measure on \mathbb{R}^Λ

$$\nu_{\Lambda, \eta} = Z^{-1} e^{-2\Phi_{\Lambda, \eta}(x)} dx_\Lambda$$

The Hodge-Kodaira operator is defined by

$$\square_p = -(d\delta + \delta d)$$

and set

$$\lambda_p = \inf \sigma(-\square_p).$$

We are interested in the **positivity** of λ_p .

Theorem 3.1. Assume

- $U = V + W, V'' \geq c > 0, W$ is bounded

- $W_{\sup} = \sup W, W_{\inf} = \inf W$

- $2(c + 8d\mathcal{J})e^{-2(W_{\sup} - W_{\inf})} - 16d\mathcal{J} > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_p \geq \{2(c + 8d\mathcal{J})e^{-2(W_{\sup} - W_{\inf})} - 16d\mathcal{J}\}p.$$

We also have the Hodge-Kodaira decomposition:

$$L^2(\nu_{\Lambda, \eta}; \wedge^p(\mathbb{R}^\Lambda)^*) = \text{Ran}(d) \oplus \text{Ran}(\delta), \quad p \geq 1$$

Theorem 3.2. Assume

- $U(t) = at^4 - bt^2$
- $\sqrt{3a} - b - 4d\mathcal{J} > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_p \geq 2(\sqrt{3a} - b - 4d\mathcal{J})p.$$

We also have the Hodge-Kodaira decomposition.

Thanks!