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#### Abstract

In this short note, we treat the log MMP without the assumption that the variety is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.


This short note is an answer to Takagi's question. We will work over $\mathbb{C}$ throughout this note. For simplicity, we treat only klt pairs and $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors in this note.

Theorem 1. Assume that the log MMP holds for $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial klt paris in dimension $n$. Then the following modified version of the $\log$ MMP works for (not necessarily $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial) klt pairs in dimension $n$.
Proof and explanation of the log MMP without $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality. We start with a projective morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$, where $X_{0}:=X$ is a (not necessarily $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial) normal variety, and a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_{0}:=D$ on $X$ such that $(X, D)$ is klt. The aim is to set up a recursive procedure which creates intermediate $f_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow Y$ and $D_{i}$. After finitely many steps, we obtain a finial objects $\widetilde{f}: \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow Y$ and $\widetilde{D}$. Assume that we already constructed $f_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow Y$ and $D_{i}$ with the following properties:
(i) $f_{i}$ is projective,
(ii) $D_{i}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X_{i}$,
(iii) $\left(X_{i}, D_{i}\right)$ is klt.

If $K_{X_{i}}+D_{i}$ is $f_{i}$-nef, then we set $\widetilde{X}:=X_{i}$ and $\widetilde{D}:=D_{i}$. Assume that $K_{X_{i}}+D_{i}$ is not $f_{i}$-nef. Then we can take a $\left(K_{X_{i}}+D_{i}\right)$-negative extremal ray $R$ (or, more generally, a ( $K_{X_{i}}+D_{i}$ )-negative extremal face $F$ ) of $\overline{N E}\left(X_{i} / Y\right)$. Thus we have a contraction morphism $\varphi_{R}$ : $X_{i} \longrightarrow W_{i}$ over $Y$. If $\operatorname{dim} W_{i}<\operatorname{dim} X_{i}$, then we set $\widetilde{X}:=X_{i}$ and $\widetilde{D}:=D_{i}$ and stop the process. If $\varphi_{R}$ is birational, then we put $X_{i+1}:=$ $\operatorname{Proj}_{W_{i}} \bigoplus_{m>0} \varphi_{R *} \mathcal{O}_{X_{i}}\left(m\left(K_{X_{i}}+D_{i}\right)\right), D_{i+1}:=$ the proper transform of $\varphi_{R *} D_{i}$ on $\bar{X}_{i+1}$ and repeat this process. We note that $\left(X_{i+1}, D_{i+1}\right)$ is the $\log$ canonical model of $\left(X_{i}, D_{i}\right)$ over $W_{i}$. If $K_{W_{i}}+\varphi_{R *} D_{i}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ Cartier, then $X_{i+1} \simeq W_{i}$. So, this process coincides with the usual one if the varieties $X_{i}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. It is not difficult to see that

[^0]$X_{i} \longrightarrow W_{i} \longleftarrow X_{i+1}$ is of type $(D S)$ or ( $S S$ ) (for the definitions of $(D S)$ and $(S S)$, see Definition 6 in $[\mathrm{F}])$. Then, this process always terminates by the same proof as in $[\mathrm{F}]$. For the details, see the final part of Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 1 in $[F]$.
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