

Termination of 4-fold Canonical Flips

By

Osamu FUJINO*

Abstract

There does not exist an infinite sequence of 4-fold canonical flips.

§1. Introduction

One of the most important conjectures in the minimal model program is *(log) Flip Conjecture II*. It claims that any sequence of (log) flips:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (X_0, B_0) & \dashrightarrow & (X_1, B_1) & \dashrightarrow & (X_2, B_2) & \dashrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & \searrow & & \swarrow \searrow & & \swarrow & \\
 & & Z_0 & & Z_1 & & ,
 \end{array}$$

has to terminate after finitely many steps. In this paper, we prove it for 4-dimensional canonical pairs. For the details of the log minimal model program, see [KMM, Introduction] or [KM, §3.7]. The following is the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 1.1 (Termination of 4-fold canonical flips). *Let X be a normal projective 4-fold and B an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that (X, B) is canonical. Consider a sequence of log flips (see Definition 2.2) starting from $(X, B) = (X_0, B_0)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (X_0, B_0) & \dashrightarrow & (X_1, B_1) & \dashrightarrow & (X_2, B_2) & \dashrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & \searrow & & \swarrow \searrow & & \swarrow & \\
 & & Z_0 & & Z_1 & & ,
 \end{array}$$

Communicated by S. Mori. Received March 4, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 14E05; Secondary 14J35.

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

e-mail: fujino@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

where $\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ is a contraction and $\phi_i^+ : X_i^+ = X_{i+1} \rightarrow Z_i$ is the log flip. Then this sequence terminates after finitely many steps.

It is a slight generalization of [KMM, Theorem 5-1-15] and contains [M, Main Theorem 2.1]. We note that a D -flop is a log flip with respect to $K_X + \varepsilon D$ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

Corollary 1.1 ([M, Main Theorem 2.1]). *Let X be a projective 4-fold with only terminal singularities and D an effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Then any sequence of D -flops is finite.*

The author believes that the main theorem is a first step to attack log Flip Conjecture II in dimension 4. In [Fj], we treat log Flip Conjecture II for 4-dimensional semi-stable log flips.

We will review several basic results and define a *weighted version of difficulty* in Section 2. The proof of the main theorem: Theorem 1.1, will be given in Section 3.

§2. Preliminaries

We will work over \mathbb{C} , the complex number field, throughout this paper. First, let us recall the definitions of *discrepancies* and *singularities of pairs*. For the details, see [KM, §2.3].

Definition 2.1 (Discrepancies and singularities of pairs). Let X be a normal variety and $\Delta = \sum \delta_i \Delta_i$ a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X , where Δ_i is a prime divisor for every i and $\Delta_i \neq \Delta_j$ for $i \neq j$. We assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism from a normal variety Y . Then we can write

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum a(E, X, \Delta)E,$$

where the sum runs over all the distinct prime divisors $E \subset Y$, and $a(E, X, \Delta) \in \mathbb{Q}$. This $a(E, X, \Delta)$ is called the *discrepancy* of E with respect to (X, Δ) . We define

$$\text{discrep}(X, \Delta) := \inf_E \{a(E, X, \Delta) \mid E \text{ is exceptional over } X\}.$$

From now on, we assume that Δ is effective. We say that (X, Δ) is

$$\begin{cases} \text{terminal} \\ \text{canonical} \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \text{discrep}(X, \Delta) \begin{cases} > 0 \\ \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $a(E, X, \Delta) > -1$ for every E , then we say that (X, Δ) is a *klt* pair, where *klt* is short for *Kawamata log terminal* (or *log terminal* in the terminology of [KMM]).

Next, let us recall the definition of *canonical flips*, which is slightly different from the usual one (cf. [S, (2.11) Adjoint Diagram]).

Definition 2.2 (Canonical flip). Let X be a normal projective variety and B an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that the pair (X, B) is canonical. Let $\phi : (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a small contraction corresponding to a $(K_X + B)$ -negative extremal face. If there exists a normal projective variety X^+ and a projective morphism $\phi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ such that

1. ϕ^+ is small;
2. $K_{X^+} + B^+$ is ϕ^+ -ample, where B^+ is the strict transform of B ,

then we call ϕ^+ the *canonical flip* or *log flip* of ϕ . We call the following diagram a *flipping diagram*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, B) & \dashrightarrow & (X^+, B^+) \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi^+ \\ & Z & \end{array} .$$

We introduce a variant of *difficulty*. This is slightly different from [K⁺, Chapter 4]. It was inspired by [K⁺, 4.14 Remark]. Note that the notion of difficulty was first introduced by Shokurov in [S, (2.15) Definition].

Definition 2.3 (A weighted version of difficulty). Let (X, B) be a pair with only canonical singularities, where $B = \sum_{j=1}^l b_j B^j$ with $0 < b_1 < \dots < b_l \leq 1$ and B^j is a reduced divisor for every j . We note that B^j is not necessarily irreducible. We put $b_0 = 0$, and $S := \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Note that $S = 0$ if $B = 0$.

We call a divisor E over X *essential* if E is exceptional over X and is not obtained from blowing up the generic point of a subvariety $W \subset B \subset X$ such that B and X are generically smooth along W (and thus only one of the irreducible components of $\sum_{j \geq 1} B^j$ contains W) and $\dim W = \dim X - 2$. We set

$$d_{S,b}(X, B) := \sum_{\xi \in S, \xi \geq b} \#\{E \mid E \text{ is essential and } a(E, X, B) < 1 - \xi\}.$$

Then $d_{S,b_j}(X, B)$ is finite by Lemma 2.1 below. We note that the pair (X, B) is canonical if and only if $\text{discrep}(X, B) \geq 0$ (see Definition 2.1).

Lemma 2.1 ([K⁺, (4.12.2.1)]). *Let (X, B) be a klt pair. Then*

$$\#\{E|E \text{ is essential and } a(E, X, B) < \min\{1, 1 + \text{discrep}(X, B)\}\}$$

is finite.

Definition 2.4. Let $\varphi : (X, B) \dashrightarrow (X^+, B^+)$ be a canonical flip. We say that this flip is *of type* $(\dim A, \dim A^+)$, where A (resp. A^+) is the exceptional locus of $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ (resp. $\phi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$). We call A (resp. A^+) the *flipping* (resp. *flipped*) locus of φ . When $\dim X = 4$, the log flip is either of type $(1, 2)$, $(2, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$ by [KMM, Lemma 5-1-17].

Remark. In [KMM, §5-1], the variety is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and every flipping contraction corresponds to a negative extremal ray. However, the above properties were not used in the proof of [KMM, Lemma 5-1-17]. Note that [KMM, Lemma 5-1-17] holds in more general setting. For the details, see the original article [KMM].

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [KM, Lemma 6.21]). *Let $\varphi : (X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X^+, \Delta^+)$ be a canonical flip of n -folds. Let $\Delta := \sum \delta_i \Delta_i$ be the irreducible decomposition and Δ^+ (resp. Δ_i^+) the strict transform of Δ (resp. Δ_i). Let F be an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional irreducible component of A^+ , and E_F the exceptional divisor obtained by blowing up F near the generic point of F . Then X^+ is generically smooth along F and*

$$0 \leq a(E_F, X, \Delta) < a(E_F, X^+, \Delta^+) = 1 - \sum \delta_i \text{mult}_F(\Delta_i^+),$$

where $\text{mult}_F(\Delta_i^+)$ is the multiplicity of Δ_i^+ along F .

Proof. The pair (X^+, Δ^+) is terminal near the generic point of F by the negativity lemma. Therefore, X^+ is generically smooth along F , and the rest is an obvious computation. □

2.1. Let X be a projective variety and X^{an} the underlying analytic space of X . Let $H_k^{BM}(X^{an})$ be the *Borel-Moore* homology. For the details, see [Fl, 19.1 Cycle Map]. Then there exists a cycle map;

$$cl : A_k(X) \longrightarrow H_{2k}^{BM}(X^{an}),$$

where $A_k(X)$ is the group generated by rational equivalence classes of k -dimensional cycles on X . For the details about $A_k(X)$, see [Fl, Chapter 1].

We note that the cycle map cl commutes with push-forward for proper morphisms, and with restriction to open subschemes. From now on, we omit the superscript an for simplicity.

The following is in the proof of [KMM, Theorem 5-1-15].

Lemma 2.3 (Log flips of type (2, 1)). *When the 4-dimensional log flip*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, B) & \dashrightarrow & (X^+, B^+) \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi^+ \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

is of type (2, 1), we study the rank of the \mathbb{Z} -module $cl(A_2(X))$, where $cl(A_2(X))$ is the image of the cycle map $cl : A_2(X) \rightarrow H_4^{BM}(X)$. By the following commutative diagrams;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_2(A) & \longrightarrow & A_2(X) & \longrightarrow & A_2(X \setminus A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow cl & & \downarrow cl & & \downarrow cl & & \\ H_4^{BM}(A) & \longrightarrow & H_4^{BM}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_4^{BM}(X \setminus A), & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_2(Z) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A_2(Z \setminus \phi(A)) \\ \downarrow cl & & \downarrow cl \\ H_4^{BM}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_4^{BM}(Z \setminus \phi(A)), \end{array}$$

we have the surjective homomorphism;

$$cl(A_2(X)) \rightarrow cl(A_2(Z)).$$

We note that $X \setminus A \simeq Z \setminus \phi(A)$ and [Fl, p. 371 (6) and Lemma 19.1.1]. For any closed algebraic subvariety V on X of complex dimension 2, V is not numerically trivial since X is projective. Therefore, $cl(V) \neq 0$ in $cl(A_2(X))$ (see [Fl, Definition 19.1]). Thus the kernel of the surjection above is not zero. By the similar arguments, we obtain that

$$cl(A_2(X^+)) \simeq cl(A_2(Z)).$$

We note that A^+ is one-dimensional and $X^+ \setminus A^+ \simeq Z \setminus \phi^+(A^+)$. Therefore, since the rank $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}cl(A_2(X))$ is finite, we finally have the result

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}cl(A_2(X)) > \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}cl(A_2(X^+)).$$

§3. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let us start the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the definition of the weighted version of difficulty and the negativity lemma, $d_{S,b_l}(X_i, B_i)$ does not increase. We note that if E is exceptional over X_i and $a(E, X_i, B_i) < 1 - b_l$, then E is essential. If B_i^l , which is the strict transform of B^l on X_i , contains 2-dimensional flipped locus, then $d_{S,b_l}(X_i, B_i)$ decreases by easy computations (see Lemma 2.2). So, after finitely many flips, B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipped locus. Thus, we can assume that B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipped locus for every $i > 0$ by shifting the index i . Let \overline{B}_i^l be the normalization of B_i^l . We consider a sequence of birational maps

$$\overline{B}_0^l \dashrightarrow \overline{B}_1^l \dashrightarrow \cdots .$$

By [M, Lemma 2.11], after finitely many flips, B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipping locus. Thus, we can assume that B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipping locus for every $i \geq 0$. In particular, $B_i^l \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^l$ is an isomorphism in codimension one for every $i \geq 0$.

Next, we look at $d_{S,b_{l-1}}(X_i, B_i)$. We note that if E is essential over X_{i+1} and $a(E, X_{i+1}, B_{i+1}) < 1 - b_{l-1}$, then E is essential over X_i and $a(E, X_i, B_i) \leq a(E, X_{i+1}, B_{i+1})$. It is because $B_i^l \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^l$ is an isomorphism in codimension one. So, $d_{S,b_{l-1}}(X_i, B_i)$ does not increase by log flips. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, B_i^{l-1} does not contain 2-dimensional flipped locus after finitely many flips. By using [M, Lemma 2.11] again, we see that B_i^{l-1} does not contain 2-dimensional flipping locus after finitely many steps. Therefore, we can assume that $B_i^{l-1} \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^{l-1}$ is an isomorphism in codimension one for every $i \geq 0$ by shifting the index i .

By repeating this argument, we can assume that $B_i^j \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^j$ is an isomorphism in codimension one for every i, j .

If the log flip is of type $(1, 2)$ or $(2, 2)$, then $d_{S,b_0}(X_i, B_i) = d_{S,0}(X_i, B_i)$ decreases by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, we can assume that all the flips are of type $(2, 1)$ after finitely many steps. This sequence terminates by Lemma 2.3.

So we complete the proof of the main theorem. \square

Remark (3-fold case). By using the weighted version of difficulty, we can easily prove the termination of 3-fold canonical flips without using \mathbb{Q} -factoriality. This is [K⁺, 4.14 Remark].

Acknowledgements

I was partially supported by the Inoue Foundation for Science. I talked about this paper at RIMS in May 2002. I would like to thank Professors Shigefumi Mori, Shigeru Mukai, and Noboru Nakayama, who gave me some comments. I am also grateful to Mr. Kazushi Ueda, who answered my question about Borel-Moore homology.

References

- [Fj] Fujino, O., On termination of 4-fold semi-stable log flips, *Preprint* 2003.
- [F] Fulton, W., *Intersection theory*, Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [KMM] Kawamata, Y., Matsuda, K. and Matsuki, K., *Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem*, in *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **10** (1987) Kinokuniya and North-Holland, 283-360.
- [KM] Kollár, J. and Mori, S., *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., **134**, 1998.
- [K⁺] Kollár, J. et al., Flips and Abundance for algebraic threefolds, *Astérisque*, **211** (1992).
- [M] Matsuki, K., Termination of flops for 4-folds, *Amer. J. Math.*, **113** (1991), 835-859.
- [S] Shokurov, V., A nonvanishing theorem, (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **49** (1985), 635-651.