

# MOROMORO

OSAMU FUJINO

The next lemma is well known as the negativity lemma.

**lem211** **Lemma 0.1** (Negativity lemma). *Let  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  be a proper birational morphism between normal varieties. Let  $-B$  be an  $h$ -nef  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Z$ . Then we have the following statements.*

- (1)  $B$  is effective if and only if  $h_*B$  is.
- (2) Assume that  $B$  is effective. Then for every  $y \in Y$ , either  $h^{-1}(y) \subset \text{Supp}B$  or  $h^{-1}(y) \cap \text{Supp}B = \emptyset$ .

*Sketch of the proof.* By Chow's lemma, we can assume that  $h$  is projective. We can also assume that  $Y$  is affine. By taking general hypersurfaces, we can reduce the problem to the case when  $\dim Y = 2$ . Then we use the Hodge index theorem on  $Z$ . For the details, see [KM97, Lemma 3.39].  $\square$

## 1. SEMI-AMPLE $\mathbb{R}$ -DIVISORS

**defn4949** **Definition 1.1** (Semi-ample  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors). An  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $D$  on  $X$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample if  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_i a_i D_i$ , where  $D_i$  is a  $\pi$ -semi-ample Cartier divisor on  $X$  and  $a_i$  is a positive real number for every  $i$ .

**Remark 1.2.** In Definition [1.1](#), we can replace  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_i a_i D_i$  with  $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$  since every principal Cartier divisor on  $X$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample.

The following two lemmas seem to be missing in the literature.

**49-1** **Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $D$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $D$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample.
- (2) There exists a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  over  $S$  such that  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} f^*A$ , where  $A$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Y$  which is ample over  $S$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that (1) follows from (2). If  $D$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample, then we can write  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_i a_i D_i$  as in Definition [1.1](#). By replacing  $D_i$  with its multiple, we can assume that  $\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(D_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$  is

*Date:* 2010/1/15, Version 1.01.

surjective for every  $i$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism over  $S$  obtained by the surjection  $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i D_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i D_i)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  has the desired property.  $\square$

**49-2** **Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . If  $D$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample in the sense of Definition 1.1, then  $D$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample in the usual sense, that is,  $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD)$  is surjective for some positive integer  $m$ . In particular, Definition 1.1 is well-defined.*

*Proof.* We write  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_i a_i D_i$  as in Definition 1.1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in Lemma 1.3(2). By taking the Stein factorization, we can assume that  $f$  has connected fibers. By the construction,  $D_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q},f} 0$  for every  $i$ . By replacing  $D_i$  with its multiple, we can assume that  $D_i \sim f^*D'_i$  for some Cartier divisor  $D'_i$  on  $Y$  for every  $i$ . Let  $U$  be any Zariski open set of  $Y$  on which  $D'_i \sim 0$  for every  $i$ . On  $f^{-1}(U)$ , we have  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ . This implies  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  on  $f^{-1}(U)$  since  $D$  is Cartier. Therefore, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD)$  is surjective. By this surjection, we have  $mD \sim f^*A$  for a Cartier divisor  $A$  on  $Y$  which is ample over  $S$ . This means that  $D$  is  $\pi$ -semi-ample in the usual sense.  $\square$

2

We recommend the reader to see Cutkosky's interesting example in [Cu, Theorem 6], which is a cone over a generic Enriques surface. Our example seems to be slightly simpler.

**Remark 2.1.** In Example 1.7, we have  $H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$  since  $S$  is rational. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 1.7(2), the cone singularity of  $X$  in Example 1.7 is a rational singularity.

**weakdel** **Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth cubic curve and  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  the blow-up of nine general points on  $E$ . Then*

$$H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S(A)) = 0$$

for every  $i > 0$ , where  $A$  is an ample Cartier divisor on  $S$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $-K_S \sim E_S$ , where  $E_S$  is the strict transform of  $E$  on  $S$ . Since  $(E_S)^2 = 0$ , we see that  $-K_S$  is nef. Therefore,  $-K_S + A$  is ample. Thus,  $H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S(A)) = H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K_S - K_S + A)) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$  by the Kodaira vanishing theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- cutkosky** [Cu] S. Cutkosky, Weil divisors and symbolic algebras, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), no. 1, 175–183.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,  
KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* `fujino@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`