

ON FINITE GENERATION OF ADJOINT RINGS

OSAMU FUJINO

ABSTRACT. We prove that adjoint rings are finitely generated in the complex analytic setting.

CONTENTS

1. Finite generation of adjoint rings	1
2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2	2
References	6

1. FINITE GENERATION OF ADJOINT RINGS

The following theorem was first obtained in [DHP], whose argument is a complex analytic generalization of [CL]. When $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a projective morphism between algebraic varieties, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below are well known (see [BCHM, Corollary 1.1.9]). In this paper, we show that they follow easily from [F1]. In [F1, Definition 2.23], we defined *locally finitely generated graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras* on a complex analytic space X . Similarly, we can define *locally finitely generated \mathbb{N}^k -graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras*.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth complex variety, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Let B_1, \dots, B_k be \mathbb{Q} -divisors on X with $[B_i] = 0$ for all i such that the support of $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on X . Let A be a π -nef and π -big \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . Set $D_i = K_X + A + B_i$ for every i . Then the relative adjoint ring*

$$R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k) := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k m_i D_i \right] \right)$$

is a locally finitely generated \mathbb{N}^k -graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra.

Although Theorem 1.2 is essentially equivalent to Theorem 1.1, the following formulation may be useful for some applications.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a normal complex variety, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Let B_1, \dots, B_k be \mathbb{Q} -divisors on X such that (X, B_i) is divisorial log terminal for every i . Let A be a π -ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . Set $D_i =$*

Date: 2026/5/7, version 0.08.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14E30; Secondary 32C15.

Key words and phrases. minimal model program, complex analytic spaces, adjoint rings, finite generation.

$K_X + A + B_i$ for every i . Then the relative adjoint ring

$$R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k) := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k m_i D_i \right] \right)$$

is a locally finitely generated \mathbb{N}^k -graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra.

We make the following easy remark.

Remark 1.3. If (X, B_i) is kawamata log terminal for every i in Theorem 1.2, then it is sufficient to assume that A is π -nef and π -big. This follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments. The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H01787, JP20H00111, JP21H00974, JP21H04994, and JP23K20787. The author is grateful to Nao Moriyama for valuable comments.

In this paper, we freely use [F1]. We use \mathbb{N} to denote the set of non-negative integers.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We begin with an easy lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a smooth complex variety, and let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_k$ be line bundles on X . Set $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_k$, and consider the projective bundle $f: Z := \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ associated to \mathcal{E} . Note that if $k = 1$ then $Z \simeq X$ and we set $T := 0$. Assume $k \geq 2$. For each i , let T_i be the divisor on Z associated to the quotient*

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_k.$$

Then $T := \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z such that

$$\mathcal{O}_Z \left(K_Z + \sum_{i=1}^k T_i \right) \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X).$$

In particular, (Z, T) is a divisorial log terminal pair.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We may assume that $k \geq 2$. For each i , set

$$\mathcal{E}_i := \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_k.$$

Then $T_i = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}_i)$ by definition. It is straightforward to see that $\sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z . Set $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{T_i}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}_i)}(1)$. Consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T_i}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By applying f_* , we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that

$$f_*(\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i)) \simeq \mathcal{L}_i, \quad \text{and hence} \quad \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i) \simeq f^* \mathcal{L}_i.$$

We note that

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z) \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes f^*(\det \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-k)$$

since $Z = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z) \simeq f^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z\left(-\sum_{i=1}^k T_i\right).$$

Since Z is smooth and T is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on Z , (Z, T) is divisorial log terminal. \square

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a normal complex variety and let D_1, \dots, D_k be \mathbb{Q} -divisors on X . Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Let d be any positive integer. Then the relative adjoint ring*

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k m_i D_i \right] \right)$$

is locally finitely generated \mathbb{N}^k -graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra if and only if so is the truncation

$$\mathcal{A}^{(d)} := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k m_i d D_i \right] \right).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. ¹ Although [F1, Lemma 2.26] only treats \mathbb{N} -graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebras, the proof of [F1, Lemma 2.26] works for this lemma. \square

Let us prove Theorem 1.1. The proof given below is essentially the same as **Aliter** in the proof of [BCHM, Corollary 1.1.9].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The problem is local on Y . Hence, we fix an arbitrary point $P \in Y$ and freely replace Y with a sufficiently small open neighborhood of P . Let U be a relatively compact open neighborhood of P in Y .

By [KM, Proposition 2.36 (1)], we take a suitable finite composite of blow-ups $f: X' \rightarrow X$. Then, over some open neighborhood of \bar{U} , we can write

$$K_{X'} + B'_i = f^*(K_X + B_i) + E_i$$

such that B'_i and E_i have no common irreducible components, $f_* B'_i = B_i$, $f_* E_i = 0$, and $\text{Supp } B'_i$ is smooth for every i . We may further assume that the support of $\sum_{i=1}^k B'_i$ is a smooth divisor. Then we have

$$R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k) \simeq R(X'/Y, D'_1, \dots, D'_k)$$

over some open neighborhood of \bar{U} , where we put $D'_i := K_{X'} + f^*A + B'_i$ for every i . Therefore, after shrinking Y suitably and replacing X , B_i , A , and $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ with X' , B'_i , f^*A , and $\pi \circ f: X' \rightarrow Y$, respectively, we may assume that the support of $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$ is a smooth divisor.

We take a positive integer $d \geq 2$ such that dB_i is integral for every i and that dA is also integral. We put

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_X(dB_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_k)$$

¹It may be better to add some explanations

as in Lemma 2.1. We consider the projective bundle $f: Z := \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ associated to \mathcal{E} . We take a global section σ_i of $\mathcal{O}_X(dB_i)$ such that $(\sigma_i = 0) = dB_i$ for every i . Then $\sigma := (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is a global section of \mathcal{E} . By the natural surjection

$$f^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})}(1) =: \mathcal{O}_Z(1),$$

we obtain a global section σ_Z of $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$, which is the image of $f^*\sigma$. Let S be the divisor on Z defined by σ_Z . Let T_i be the divisor on Z associated to the quotient

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(dB_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_{i-1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_{i+1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_k)$$

as in Lemma 2.1. It is easy to see that $T := \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z . We note that if $k = 0$, then $Z \simeq X$ and we put $T := 0$.

Claim. *After shrinking Y around P , the pair $(Z, T + S/d)$ is divisorial log terminal. Moreover, it is kawamata log terminal outside T .*

Proof of Claim. Throughout the proof, we freely shrink Y around P without explicit mention. By the definition of S , we can directly check that the support of S is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z and that all coefficients of S/d are less than one. We note that although the support of $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$ is smooth, the divisors B_i may share common irreducible components. Hence, $(Z, T + S/d)$ is kawamata log terminal outside T . Let ε be a sufficiently small positive rational number such that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S \right\rfloor = 0.$$

It is sufficient to prove that

$$\left(Z, T + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S \right)$$

is log canonical, since Z is smooth and T is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on Z . We prove this by induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is obvious. By adjunction and the induction hypothesis, for every j we have

$$\left(K_Z + T + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S \right) |_{T_j} = K_{T_j} + (T - T_j) |_{T_j} + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S |_{T_j},$$

and the pair

$$\left(T_j, (T - T_j) |_{T_j} + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S |_{T_j} \right)$$

is log canonical. By inversion of adjunction (see, for example, [F2, Theorem 1.1]), it follows that

$$\left(Z, T + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S \right)$$

is log canonical around T_j for every j . Since the pair is kawamata log terminal outside T by the above argument, it is log canonical there. Therefore,

$$\left(Z, T + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d} S \right)$$

is log canonical on Z . This completes the proof. \square

Let us return to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We put

$$\Gamma := \sum_{i=1}^k T_i + f^*A + \frac{1}{d}S.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_Z(\text{md}(K_Z + \Gamma)) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(\text{md}f^*(K_X + A) + mS) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(m) \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}_X(\text{md}(K_X + A)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\text{md}(K_Z + \Gamma)) \simeq S^m(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\text{md}(K_X + A)),$$

where $S^m(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the m -th symmetric product of \mathcal{E} . Hence,

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi \circ f)_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\text{md}(K_Z + \Gamma)) \simeq \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\sum_{i=1}^k m_i d(K_X + A + B_i) \right).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2, it suffices to prove that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi \circ f)_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\text{md}(K_Z + \Gamma))$$

is a locally finitely generated graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra.

Claim. *We can find an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ on Z such that $K_Z + \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Z + \Delta$ and (Z, Δ) is kawamata log terminal after shrinking Y around P .*

Proof of Claim. Throughout the proof, we freely shrink Y around P without explicit mention. We first note that the non-klt locus of $(Z, T + S/d)$ is T , and every log canonical center of $(Z, T + S/d)$ dominates X by construction. Since A is π -nef and π -big, we can take a sufficiently small effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor E on X such that $A - E$ is π -ample, $(Z, T + S/d + f^*E)$ is divisorial log terminal, and the non-klt locus of $(Z, T + S/d + f^*E)$ is still T . Since T is f -ample, for any sufficiently small positive rational number $\varepsilon > 0$, the divisor

$$\varepsilon T + f^*(A - E)$$

is $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample. We now write

$$\Gamma = T + f^*A + \frac{1}{d}S = (1 - \varepsilon)T + \frac{1}{d}S + f^*E + (\varepsilon T + f^*(A - E)).$$

Since $(Z, T + S/d + f^*E)$ is divisorial log terminal and its non-klt locus is T , it follows that $(Z, (1 - \varepsilon)T + S/d + f^*E)$ is kawamata log terminal for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, by using the $\pi \circ f$ -ampleness of $\varepsilon T + f^*(A - E)$, we obtain an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ such that

$$K_Z + \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Z + \Delta$$

and (Z, Δ) is kawamata log terminal after possibly shrinking Y around P . This completes the proof of Claim. \square

Therefore,

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi \circ f)_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\text{md}(K_Z + \Gamma))$$

is a locally finitely generated graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra by [F1, Theorem 1.18] and Lemma 2.2 (see also [F1, Lemma 2.26]). Hence we obtain the desired finite generation. \square

We see that Theorem 1.2 follows easily from Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $P \in Y$ be an arbitrary point. Throughout the proof, we freely shrink Y around P without explicit mention.

By [KM, Proposition 2.43], we can take an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor \tilde{B}_i such that (X, \tilde{B}_i) is kawamata log terminal and

$$B_i + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{B}_i + (1 - \varepsilon)A$$

for every i , where ε is a sufficiently small positive rational number. Set

$$\tilde{D}_i := K_X + (1 - \varepsilon)A + \tilde{B}_i,$$

and consider the relative adjoint ring $R(X/Y, \tilde{D}_1, \dots, \tilde{D}_k)$. Then $R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k)$ and $R(X/Y, \tilde{D}_1, \dots, \tilde{D}_k)$ have isomorphic truncations. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, it suffices to prove the finite generation of $R(X/Y, \tilde{D}_1, \dots, \tilde{D}_k)$. Therefore, replacing B_i and A with \tilde{B}_i and $(1 - \varepsilon)A$, respectively, we may assume that (X, B_i) is kawamata log terminal for every i . Take a resolution $f: X' \rightarrow X$ such that

$$K_{X'} + B'_i = f^*(K_X + B_i) + E_i,$$

where B'_i and E_i have no common irreducible components, $f_*B'_i = B_i$, and $f_*E_i = 0$. We may further assume that the support of $\sum_{i=1}^k B'_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on X' . Since (X, B_i) is kawamata log terminal, we have $[B'_i] = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, by replacing (X, B_i) , A , and $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ with (X', B'_i) , f^*A , and $\pi \circ f: X' \rightarrow Y$, respectively, we may assume that $[B_i] = 0$, the support of $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, and A is π -nef and π -big. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the desired finite generation of $R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468.
- [CL] P. Cascini, V. Lazić, New outlook on the minimal model program, I, *Duke Math. J.* **161** (2012), no. 12, 2415–2467.
- [DHP] O. Das, C. Hacon, M. Păun, On the 4-dimensional minimal model program for Kähler varieties, *Adv. Math.* **443** (2024), Paper No. 109615, 68 pp.
- [F1] O. Fujino, Minimal model program for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces, preprint (2022). arXiv:2201.11315 [math.AG]
- [F2] O. Fujino, Log canonical inversion of adjunction, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **100** (2024), no. 2, 7–11.
- [KM] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: fujino@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp