

ON DEFORMATIONS OF TERMINAL AND CANONICAL SINGULARITIES

OSAMU FUJINO

1. INTRODUCTION

The following result is more or less well known to the experts (see [N, Chapter VI. §5. Deformation of singularities]). Here we give a geometric proof based on the minimal model program for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces (see [F]). For a treatment of this kind of problem by using extension theorems, we recommend that the interested reader looks at [K] and [N, Chapter VI] (see also Section 3 below). We note that the survey article [K] is one of the triggers for the subsequent great development of the theory of higher-dimensional complex algebraic varieties.

Theorem 1.1 (Deformations of terminal and canonical singularities). *Let X be a complex analytic space and let Y be a Cartier divisor on X . If Y has only terminal singularities (resp. canonical singularities), then X has only terminal singularities (resp. canonical singularities) in a neighborhood of Y .*

Note that X is not necessarily an algebraic variety in Theorem 1.1. It is only a complex analytic space. In this paper, we will freely use [F]. In Section 2, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by using [F]. In Section 3, we will show that Nakayama's extension theorems, by which he proved Theorem 1.1, easily follow from the minimal model program established in [F].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by using [F].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The problem is local. Hence we can take an arbitrary point $P \in Y$ and freely shrink X around P suitably throughout this proof. Therefore, we may assume that X is Stein. From now on, we will sometimes shrink X around P without mentioning it explicitly.

Step 1 (\mathbb{Q} -Gorensteinness). In this step, we will prove that X is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, that is, K_X is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

By assumption, Y has only canonical singularities. Therefore, Y is a Cohen–Macaulay normal complex variety. Hence, by shrinking X around P suitably, we may assume that X is also a Cohen–Macaulay normal complex variety. Since Y is Gorenstein in codimension two, we can find a closed analytic subset Z of X such that X and Y are Gorenstein outside Z , $\text{codim}_X Z \geq 3$, and $\text{codim}_Y(Z \cap Y) \geq 3$ after shrinking X around P suitably again. Here, we used the fact that canonical surface singularities are Gorenstein. Without loss

Date: 2023/3/17, version 0.06.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14E30; Secondary 32S30, 14B07.

Key words and phrases. deformations of complex analytic singularities, terminal singularities, canonical singularities, minimal model program, extension theorems.

This note will be contained in [F].

of generality, we may further assume that $Y = (s = 0)$ for some holomorphic function s on X . We take a positive integer m such that mK_Y is Cartier. By adjunction,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y) - Y) \xrightarrow{\times s} \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_Y}(mK_Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $U_X := X \setminus Z$ and $U_Y := Y \setminus (Z \cap Y)$. Let $\iota: U_X \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural open immersion. Then we have a long exact sequence:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y) - Y) \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y)) \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_Y}(mK_Y) \\ &\rightarrow R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y) - Y) \rightarrow R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y)) \rightarrow R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_Y}(mK_Y) \\ &\rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By [BS, Chapter II, Corollary 1.10] and [BS, Chapter II, Theorem 3.6], $R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_Y}(mK_Y) = 0$ since $\mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y)$ is locally free and Y is Cohen–Macaulay. By [BS, Chapter II, Corollary 1.10] and [BS, Chapter II, Corollary 4.4], $R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y))$ is coherent. By taking $\otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,P}/m_P$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,P}/m_P = 0,$$

where m_P is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$. Hence, by Nakayama’s lemma,

$$R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y)) = 0$$

holds in a neighborhood of P . Therefore,

$$R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y) - Y) = 0$$

in a neighborhood of P since

$$R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y) - Y) \simeq R^1 \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{U_X}(m(K_X + Y)).$$

Thus we obtain the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + Y) - Y) \xrightarrow{\times s} \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + Y)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

by (2.1) over some open neighborhood of P . Note that $\mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y)$ is invertible by assumption. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + Y))$ is invertible on some open neighborhood of P . This is what we wanted.

Step 2 (Canonical singularities). In this step, we will prove that X has only canonical singularities under the assumption that the singularities of Y are canonical. As mentioned above, we will freely shrink X around P suitably without mentioning it explicitly.

Since X is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein by Step 1, we can construct a projective bimeromorphic morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' has only canonical singularities, $K_{X'}$ is f -ample, $K_{X'} = f^*K_X - E$, and E is an effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X' with $\text{Supp } E = \text{Exc}(f)$, where $\text{Exc}(f)$ is the exceptional locus of f (see [F]). Since Y has only canonical singularities, the pair (X, Y) is purely log terminal in a neighborhood of Y by the well-known inversion of adjunction. Let Y' be the strict transform of Y on X' . Then we have

$$K_{X'} + f^*Y + E = f^*(K_X + Y)$$

with $f^*Y \geq Y'$. We note that $(X', f^*Y + E)$ is purely log terminal. Therefore, Y' is normal. If f is not an isomorphism around Y' , then we can easily get a contradiction by $Y' \cap \text{Supp } E \neq \emptyset$. Hence f is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of Y' . This implies that X has only canonical singularities in a neighborhood of Y . This is what we wanted.

Step 3 (Terminal singularities). In this step, we will prove that X has only terminal singularities under the assumption that the singularities of Y are terminal. Note that we will freely shrink X around P .

In Step 2, we have already proved that X has only canonical singularities. Therefore, we can construct a small projective bimeromorphic morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' is \mathbb{Q} -factorial over P (see [F]). Then $K_{X'} = f^*K_X$ and $K_{X'} + Y' = f^*(K_X + Y)$ hold, where Y' is the strict transform of Y on X' . As in Step 2, we see that (X', Y') is purely log terminal with the aid of the inversion of adjunction for (X, Y) . In particular, Y' is normal. We note that Y' is Cartier and has only terminal singularities by construction. It is sufficient to prove that X' has only terminal singularities. By [F, Theorem G], we can construct a projective bimeromorphic morphism $f': X'' \rightarrow X'$ such that X'' has only terminal singularities, X'' is \mathbb{Q} -factorial over P , and $K_{X''} = f'^*K_{X'}$ holds. Let F be the f' -exceptional divisor on X'' . Since X' is \mathbb{Q} -factorial over P , $\text{Supp } F = \text{Exc}(f')$ holds. By construction,

$$a(F_i, X', 0) = a(F_i, X, 0) = 0$$

holds for every i , where $F = \sum_i F_i$ is the irreducible decomposition of F . Let Y'' be the strict transform of Y on X'' . Then

$$(2.2) \quad K_{X''} + f'^*Y' = f'^*(K_{X'} + Y')$$

holds with $f'^*Y' \geq Y''$. Note that Y' has only terminal singularities, $\text{Exc}(f') = F$, and X'' is \mathbb{Q} -factorial over P . We also note that if $a(F_i, X', Y') = 0$ holds then we have $f'(F_i) \not\subset Y'$. Hence, if $a(F_i, X', Y') = 0$ with $F_i \cap Y'' \neq \emptyset$, then $F_i \cap Y''$ is a divisor which is exceptional over Y' . Thus, we see that $Y'' \cap F = \emptyset$ by (2.2) since Y' has only terminal singularities. This implies that X' has only terminal singularities in a neighborhood of Y' . Hence X has only terminal singularities in a neighborhood of P . Since P is an arbitrary point of Y , X is terminal in a neighborhood of Y .

We finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3. EXTENSION THEOREMS

In [N, Chapter VI. §5. Deformation of singularities], Nakayama uses the following extension theorem in order to prove Theorem 1.1 although he adopts a different formulation (see [N, Chapter VI. 5.2. Theorem and 5.3. Corollary]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a normal complex variety and let S be a prime divisor on X . Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective bimeromorphic morphism from a smooth complex variety Y such that the strict transform T of S on Y is smooth. Then the restriction homomorphism*

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T)) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_T(mK_T)$$

is surjective for every positive integer m . Furthermore, if A is a π -ample Cartier divisor on Y , then

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T) + A) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_T(mK_T + A)$$

is surjective for every positive integer m .

Theorem 3.1 is a special case of [N, Chapter VI. 3.7. Theorem and 3.9. Theorem]. Here we will show that Theorem 3.1 easily follows from the minimal model program discussed in [F].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since the problem is local, we take an arbitrary point $P \in S$ and will prove the restriction maps in (3.1) and (3.2) are surjective over some open neighborhood of P . From now on, we will freely replace X with a relatively compact Stein open neighborhood of P .

Step 1. In this step, we will prove that the restriction map in (3.1) is surjective.

We take a general π -ample Cartier divisor H on Y . Then we can find an effective Cartier divisor B on Y such that $H + B \sim 0$ and that $\text{Supp } B \not\supset T$ since π is bimeromorphic. We consider $(Y, T + \varepsilon H + \varepsilon B)$ for some $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Since $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, $(Y, T + \varepsilon H + \varepsilon B)$ is purely log terminal. By [F], after finitely many flips and divisorial contractions, we get a good log terminal model of $(Y, T + \varepsilon H + \varepsilon B)$ over X . Since $H + B \sim 0$, (Y, T) has a good log terminal model (Y', T') over X , where T' is the pushforward of T on Y' . We note that the pair (Y', T') has only canonical singularities since Y and T are both smooth. In particular, $(K_{Y'} + T')|_{T'} = K_{T'}$ holds by adjunction. By construction, we have the following natural isomorphisms

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T)) \simeq \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + T'))$$

and

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_T(mK_T) \simeq \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{T'}(mK_{T'})$$

for every positive integer m , where $\pi': Y' \rightarrow X$. Since $m(K_{Y'} + T') - T' - K_{Y'}$ is nef and big over X , $R^1 \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + T') - T') = 0$ for every positive integer m by the relative Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem. This implies that the restriction map

$$\pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + T')) \rightarrow \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{T'}(mK_{T'})$$

is surjective for every positive integer m . Thus we get the desired surjectivity of the restriction map in (3.1).

Step 2. In this step, we will prove the surjectivity of the restriction map in (3.2).

Let H and B be as in Step 1. We can take an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ on Y such that $T + \frac{1}{m}A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Delta$ and that $(Y, \Delta + \varepsilon H + \varepsilon B)$ is kawamata log terminal for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. By [F], after finitely many flips and divisorial contractions, we can obtain a good log terminal model (Y', Δ') of (Y, Δ) over X , where Δ' is the pushforward of Δ on Y' . Let E be any exceptional divisor over Y' . Then, by construction, we have

$$a\left(E, Y, T + \frac{1}{m}A\right) \leq a\left(E, Y', T' + \frac{1}{m}A'\right) \leq a(E, Y', T'),$$

where T' (resp. A') is the pushforward of T (resp. A) on Y' . Therefore, we see that

$$a(E, Y, T + C) \leq a(E, Y', T')$$

holds for any effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor C on Y with $C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{m}A$ by the above argument. Hence we obtain $a(E, Y', T') \geq 0$. This implies that (Y', T') has only canonical singularities. Therefore, $(K_{Y'} + T')|_{T'} = K_{T'}$ holds. As in Step 1, we have the following natural isomorphisms

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T) + A) \simeq \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + T') + A')$$

and

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_T(mK_T + A) \simeq \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{T'}(mK_{T'} + A')$$

for every positive integer m , where $\pi': Y' \rightarrow X$. We can take an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor C on Y such that $(Y, T + C)$ is purely log terminal with $C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{m}A$ because A is π -ample. In

this case, $(Y', T' + C')$ is also purely log terminal, where C' is the pushforward of C on Y' . Thus (Y', C') has only kawamata log terminal singularities. Note that

$$m(K_{Y'} + T') + A' - T' - (K_{Y'} + C') \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m-1)(K_{Y'} + \Delta')$$

is nef and big over X . Thus we have

$$R^1 \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + T') + A' - T') = 0$$

by the relative Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem. This implies the surjectivity of the restriction map

$$\pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + T') + A') \rightarrow \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{T'}(mK_{T'} + A').$$

This is what we wanted.

We finish the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

We recommend that the reader who is interested in the way how to use Theorem 3.1 looks [N, Chapter VI. §5. Deformation of singularities].

Remark 3.2. In the proof of the existence of flips, we used some more sophisticated extension theorems. Hence it does not look a correct way to prove Theorem 3.1 by using the minimal model program established in [F]. However, it seems to be important to point out that Theorem 3.1 easily follows from the minimal model program for projective bimeromorphic morphisms of complex analytic spaces in [F].

Here we prove the following theorem as an application of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 (Deformations of terminal and canonical singularities). *Let X be a complex analytic space and let S be a Cartier divisor on X . If S has only canonical singularities, then the pair (X, S) has only canonical singularities in a neighborhood of S . In particular, X has only canonical singularities. If we further assume that S has only terminal singularities, then X has only terminal singularities in a neighborhood of S .*

Proof. By Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may assume that X is a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein complex variety by shrinking X around S suitably. As usual, we will freely shrink X suitably without mentioning it explicitly throughout this proof since the problem is local.

We take a projective bimeromorphic morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ from a smooth complex variety Y such that π is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of X and that the exceptional locus $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ of π is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y . Let T be the strict transform of S on Y . We may assume that the union of $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ and T is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y and that there exists an effective π -exceptional divisor E on Y such that $-E$ is π -ample with $\text{Supp } E = \text{Exc}(\pi)$.

We take a positive integer m such that $m(K_X + S)$ and mK_S are both Cartier. Since S has only canonical singularities, we have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_T(mK_T) \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(mK_S)$. By Theorem 3.1, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + S)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_* \mathcal{O}_T(mK_T) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_S(mK_S), \end{array}$$

where the vertical homomorphisms are surjective. Hence the natural inclusion

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T)) \subset \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + S))$$

is an isomorphism on some open neighborhood of S . This implies that

$$m(K_Y + T) \geq \pi^*(m(K_X + S))$$

holds. Therefore, the pair (X, S) has only canonical singularities.

From now on, we will prove that X has only terminal singularities under the assumption that S is terminal. We note that S is smooth in codimension two. Hence X is smooth in codimension three since S is Cartier. Therefore, by construction, $E_T := E|_T$ is π_T -exceptional, where $\pi_T := \pi|_T: T \rightarrow S$. Let m be a sufficiently large and divisible positive integer. Then $m(K_X + S)$ and mK_S are Cartier and $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_T(mK_T - E_T) \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(mK_S)$ holds. By Theorem 3.1, the restriction map

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T) - E) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_T(mK_T - E_T)$$

is surjective. This implies that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + T) - E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + S))$ holds in a neighborhood of S as in the above argument. Hence we can check that $m(K_Y + T) - E \geq \pi^*(m(K_X + S))$ holds. Thus, we obtain

$$K_Y \geq \pi^*K_X + (\pi^*S - T) + \frac{1}{m}E.$$

Therefore, X has only terminal singularities. We finish the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [BS] C. Bănică, O. Stănăşilă, *Algebraic methods in the global theory of complex spaces*, Translated from the Romanian. Editura Academiei, Bucharest; John Wiley & Sons, London–New York–Sydney, 1976.
- [F] O. Fujino, Minimal model program for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces, preprint (2022). arXiv:2201.11315 [math.AG]
- [K] Y. Kawamata, Deformations of canonical singularities, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 85–92.
- [N] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs, **14**. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: fujino@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp