<u>Def 4</u> (Simple normal crossing pairs) (X, D): a simple normal crossing pair Locally, X C M
 Smooth variety
 S.n.c div
 S.n.c div B: reduced s.n.c div on M s.t Supp (B+X): s.n.c div on M B and X have no common components with $D = B|_X$



<u>Def5</u> (Stratum) (X.D): s.n.c pair W: closed subset of X W is a stratum of (X.D) ⇐ W is an irreducible component def of the intersection of some irreducible components of X and D.



$$\frac{\text{Theorem } 6}{\text{f: } X \to Y : \text{ projective}}$$

$$f: X \to Y : \text{ projective}$$

$$\pi: Y \to Z : \text{ projective}$$

$$X, Y, Z : \underline{algebraic}$$

$$\Rightarrow (i) (Strict support condition)$$

$$every \text{ associated prime of } \mathbb{R}^{i}f_{*}\mathcal{W}_{X}(D)$$

$$is the f-image of some stratum of (X, D)$$

$$(ii) (Vanishing theorem)$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*} (\mathbb{R}^{j}f_{*}\mathcal{W}_{X}(D)\otimes L) = 0$$

$$for \forall i>o, \forall j$$

$$L: \pi \text{- ample line bundle on } Y$$

$$\frac{\text{Remark } 7}{f: sujective} \text{ in Theorem } 6$$

$$\Rightarrow We can vecover Kollán's original ones.$$

§ Motivation X: singular variety U W : closed subvariety $\begin{array}{ccc} \chi \xleftarrow{f} & & f: resolution \\ U & & U \\ W \xleftarrow{} & V \end{array}$ X f (IV) Y: smooth V: s.n.c div In general, fW is not smooth. f"W is a s.n.c divisor. We consider s.n.c variety We want to apply Theorem 6 to f: V -> W.

Traditionally, if X has only mild singularities (for example, X: klt), then, by the perturbation technique, we can take a smooth irreducible V. (Kawamata, Shokurov, Reid, Kollár,... ···, BCHM, ···). If X has bad singularities (for example, X: lc), then we can not make V irreducible.

§ How to prove Theorem 6
For simplicity, we assume that
X: irreducible,
$$D \neq 0$$
.
Since X: algebraic, we can always take
a compactification. So we may assume
X: complete.
By MHS, we have
 $E_{1}^{p.g} = H^{g}(X, \Omega_{x}^{p}(log D) \otimes O_{x}(-D))$
 $\implies H_{c}^{p+g}(X \setminus D, \mathbb{C}),$
which degenerates at E_{1} .
 $\omega_{x}(D) = Hom(O_{x}(-D), \omega_{x})$
 $O_{x}(-D) = \Omega_{x}^{o}(log D) \otimes O_{x}(-D)$

Roughly speaking, by the above E1-degeneration, we first prove a generalization of Kollárs injectivity theorem. Then, we prove Theorem 6 (i) and (ii).

(o § How to use Theorem 8 By Theorem 8, we can translate many results in the MMP for projective morphisms of complex analytic spaces. Theorem 9 (Cone and contraction theorem) X, Y: complex analytic spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y:$ projective $(X \ \Delta) : lc$ W: compact subset of Y with some finiteness assumption $\Rightarrow \overline{NE}(X_{Y}: W) = \overline{NE}(X_{Y}: W)_{K_{x} \uparrow \Delta \geq 0}$ $+\sum_{i} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} [C_{i}],$ and so on.

 (\parallel) § Why MMP for analytic spaces? <u>Example 10</u> PEX : complex analytic germ $g: Z \rightarrow X: partial$ resolution of singularities with some good properties.

the study of (PEX).

§ Idea of Proof of Theorem 9 We assume Y: smooth. By using Saito's MHM, we have: $= \bigoplus_{S} R^{i} f_{*} \omega_{s_{i}} \rightarrow R^{i} f_{*} \omega_{s_{i}} (D)$ S runs over (-g)-dimensional strata of (X, D). (A) degenerates at E2 and its E1-differential d, splits. Thus, E2 is a direct summand of E, "

(3) By this spectral sequence D, we can reduce Theorem 7 to the simpler case where X: smooth, irreducible, and D=0. In this case, Theorem 7 is a special case of Takegoshi's theorem. <u>Remark II</u> After I obtained the above proof. I found an approach without using Saito's MHM. VMHS is sufficient. This is a joint work with Fujisawa.