

Homotopy nilpotency in localized $SU(n)$

Daisuke Kishimoto

April 10, 2008

Abstract

We determine homotopy nilpotency of the p -localized $SU(n)$ when p is a quasi-regular prime in the sense of [9]. As a consequence, we see that it is not a monotonic decreasing function in p .

1 Introduction

Let G be a compact Lie group and let $-_{(p)}$ stand for the p -localization in the sense of [2]. In [7], McGibbon asked:

Question 1.1. *For which primes p is $G_{(p)}$ homotopy commutative?*

He answered this question when G is simply connected. For example, he showed that $SU(n)_{(p)}$ is homotopy commutative if and only if $p > 2n$. Later, in [8], he studied higher homotopy commutativity of p -local finite loop spaces and, motivated by this work, Saumell [11] considered the above question by replacing homotopy commutativity with higher homotopy commutativity in the sense of Williams [14]. For example, she showed that if $p > kn$, then $SU(n)_{(p)}$ is a C_k -space in the sense of Williams [14].

On the contrary, one can ask:

Question 1.2. *How far from homotopy commutative is $G_{(p)}$ for a given prime p ?*

In [5], Kaji and the author approached this question by considering homotopy nilpotency which is defined as follows, where we treat only group-like spaces (See [15] for a general definition). Let X be a group-like space, that is, X satisfies all the axioms of groups up to homotopy, and let $\gamma : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the commutator map of X . We write the n -iterated commutator map $\gamma \circ (1 \times \gamma) \circ \cdots \circ (1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times \gamma) : X^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ by γ_n , where X^{n+1} is the direct product of $(n+1)$ -copies of X . We say that X is homotopy nilpotent of class n , denoted $\text{nil } X = n$, if $\gamma_n \simeq *$ and $\gamma_{n-1} \not\simeq *$. Namely, $\text{nil } X = n$ means that X is a nilpotent group of class n up

to homotopy. Then one can say that $\text{nil } X$ tells how far from homotopy commutative X is. Note that we normalize homotopy nilpotency such that $\text{nil } X = 1$ if and only if X is homotopy commutative. Then, rewriting the above result of McGibbon, we have

$$\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = 1 \text{ if and only if } p > 2n. \quad (1.1)$$

In [5], Kaji and the author determined $\text{nil } X$ for a p -compact group X when p is a regular prime, that is, X has the homotopy type of the direct product of localized spheres. For example, they showed

$$\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = \begin{cases} 2 & \frac{3}{2}n < p < 2n \\ 3 & n \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}n \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

when p is odd, and $\text{nil } \text{SU}(2)_{(2)} = 2$.

The aim of this article is to determine $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ when p is a quasi-regular prime in the sense of [9], that is, $\text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ has the homotopy type of the p -localization of the direct product of spheres and sphere bundles over spheres. The result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime greater than 5. Then we have:*

1. $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = 3$ if $p = n + 1$ or $\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \frac{2n+1}{3}$.
2. $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = 2$ if $\frac{2n+1}{3} < p \leq n - 2$.

Since the homotopy type of $\text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ gets easier as p increases, it is natural to expect that $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ is a monotonic decreasing function in p . Actually, (1.1) and (1.2) give some evidence for this expectation. However, Theorem 1.1 shows this is false in almost all cases as follows. In [10], it is shown that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} < \pi(x) < 1.25506 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for $x \geq 17$, where $\pi(x)$ is the prime counting function. This implies that there is a prime in $(\frac{2n+1}{3}, n]$ if $n \geq 81$. Then, together with a case by case analysis for $n \geq 80$, we obtain:

Corollary 1.1. *For $n = 9$ or $n \geq 13$, $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ is not a monotonic decreasing function in p .*

In what follows, we will make the conventions: For a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $f_* : [A, X] \rightarrow [A, Y]$ and $f^* : [Y, B] \rightarrow [X, B]$ mean the induced maps. If a map $f : X \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ satisfies $\pi_1 \circ f \simeq *$, then we say that f falls into Y_2 , where π_1 is the first projection. We often assume that the above f is a map from X into Y_2 . We denote the adjoint congruence $[X, \Omega Y] \xrightarrow{\cong} [\Sigma X, Y]$ by ad . When X is group-like, we always assume that the homotopy set $[A, X]$ is a group by the pointwise multiplication and we denote by 0 unity of this group which is the constant map. We denote the order of an element x of a group by $\text{ord}(x)$.

2 Homotopy groups of B_n

Hereafter, let p denote an odd prime and put $2 \leq t \leq p$. Each space and map is always assumed to be localized at the prime p .

Let us first recall basic results on the p -component of the homotopy groups of spheres.

Theorem 2.1 ([12, Chapter XIII]). 1.

$$\pi_{2n-1+k}(S^{2n-1}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & k = 2i(p-1) - 1, i = 1, \dots, p-1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/p & k = 2i(p-1) - 2, i = n, \dots, p-1 \\ 0 & \text{other } 1 \leq k \leq 2p(p-1) - 3 \end{cases}$$

2. Let $\alpha_1(\mathfrak{S})$ be a generator of $\pi_{2p}(S^3)$ and let $\alpha_i(\mathfrak{S}) = \{\alpha_{i-1}(\mathfrak{S}), p, \alpha_1(2i(p-1) + 2)\}_1 \in \pi_{2i(p-1)+2}(S^3)$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$. Then $\pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-2}(S^{2n-1})$ is generated by $\alpha_i(2n-1) = \Sigma^{2n-4}\alpha_i(\mathfrak{S})$.
3. $\pi_{2i(p-1)+1}(S^3)$ is generated by $\alpha_1(\mathfrak{S}) \circ \alpha_{i-1}(2p)$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$.
4. $\Sigma^2 : \pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-3}(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-1}(S^{2n+1})$ is the zero map for $i = n, \dots, p-1$. In particular, $\alpha_i(n) \circ \alpha_j(n + 2i(p-1) - 1) = 0$ for $i + j < p$ and $n \geq 5$.

Let B_n be the S^{2n-1} -bundle over $S^{2n+2p-3}$ such that

$$H^*(B_n; \mathbf{Z}/p) = \Lambda(\bar{x}_{2n-1}, \mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_{2n-1}),$$

where $|x_{2n-1}| = 2n-1$. Namely, B_n is induced from the sphere bundle $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(2n+1)/\mathrm{O}(2n-1) \rightarrow S^{2n}$ by $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1(2n)$ as in [9]. Recall that we have a cell decomposition

$$B_n(p) = S^{2n-1} \cup_{\alpha_1(2n+1)} e^{2n+2p-3} \cup e^{4n+2p-4}.$$

Let A_n denote the $(4n+2p-5)$ -skeleton of B_n , that is, $A_n = S^{2n-1} \cup_{\alpha_1(2n-1)} e^{2n+2p-3}$. In particular, we have

$$A_n = \Sigma^{2n-4} A_2. \tag{2.1}$$

It follows from a result of McGibbon [6] that the cofiber sequence $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ splits after a suspension, that is,

$$\Sigma B_n \simeq \Sigma A_n \vee S^{4n+2p-3}. \tag{2.2}$$

Mimura and Toda [9] showed that $SU(n)$ has the homotopy type of the direct product of odd spheres and B_k 's if and only if $p > \frac{n}{2}$. We shall be concerned with $SU(n)$ for $\frac{n}{2} < p < n$, equivalently, $SU(p+t-1)$ since $2 \leq t \leq p$. In this case, we have a homotopy equivalence

$$SU(p+t-1) \simeq B_2 \times \cdots \times B_t \times S^{2t+1} \times \cdots \times S^{2p-1}.$$

We compute the homotopy groups of B_n following Mimura and Toda [9] in a slightly larger range than [9]. Consider the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$. Then the connecting homomorphism $\delta : \pi_*(S^{2n+2p-3}) \rightarrow \pi_{*-1}(S^{2n-1})$ is given by

$$\delta(\Sigma x) = \alpha_1(2n-1) \circ x. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, we obtain $\pi_*(B_2)$ for $* \leq 2p(p-1)$. In particular, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_2$ for $2p+2 \leq m \leq 2p(p-1)$ lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$. It also follows from Theorem 2.1 that, for $n \geq 3$ and $i = 2, \dots, p-1$, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_*(B_n) \rightarrow \pi_*(S^{2n+2p-3}) \rightarrow 0$$

for $2n+2p-2 \leq * \leq 2n+2p(p-1)-4$. Then we have only to consider the case that $* = 2n+2i(p-1)-2$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$. Let $i_n : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow A_n$ and $j_n : A_n \rightarrow B_n$ be the inclusions and let $q_n : A_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ be the pinch map. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the lower horizontal sequence is the exact sequence (2) and we put $k = 2n+2i(p-1)-2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_k(S^{2n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_{n*}} & \pi_k(A_n) & \xrightarrow{q_{n*}} & \pi_k(S^{2n+2p-3}) & & \\ & & \downarrow j_{n*} & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(S^{2n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(B_n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(S^{2n+2p-3}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Note that a coextension $\underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)} : S^{2n+2i(p-1)-2} \rightarrow A_n = S^{2n-1} \cup_{\alpha_1(2n-1)} e^{2n+2p-3}$ satisfies

$$q_{n*}(\underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)}) = -\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)} \circ p &= -i_{n*}(\{\alpha_1(2n-1), \alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4), p\}_1) \\ &= i_{n*}(\frac{1}{i}\{\alpha_{i-1}(2n-1), p, \alpha_1(2n+2p-4)\}_1) \\ &= -i_{n*}(\frac{1}{i}\alpha_i(2n-1)) \end{aligned}$$

(See [12, p.179]). Then (2) does not split for $* = 2n+2i(p-1)-2$ and hence we have obtained that $\pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-2}(B_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^2$. Moreover, it is generated by $j_{n*}(\underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)})$.

In particular, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_n$ which is of order p for $2n + 2p - 2 \leq m \leq 2n + 2p(p - 1) - 4$ lifts to $S^{2n-1} \subset B_n$. Summarizing, we have calculated:

Proposition 2.1. 1. $\pi_{3+k}(B_2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & k = 2i(p - 1) - 1, i = 2, \dots, p - 1 \\ \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} & k = 2p - 2 \\ 0 & \text{other } 1 \leq k \leq 2p(p - 1) - 3 \end{cases}$

2. For $n \geq 3$, $\pi_{2n-1+k}(B_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & k = 2i(p - 1) - 1, i = 2, \dots, p - 1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/p & k = 2i(p - 1) - 2, i = n, \dots, p - 1 \\ \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} & k = 2p - 2 \\ 0 & \text{other } 1 \leq k \leq 2p(p - 1) - 3 \end{cases}$

3. For $2p + 2 \leq m \leq 2p(p - 1)$, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_2$ lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$.

4. For $n \geq 3$ and $2n + 2p - 2 \leq m \leq 2n + 2p(p - 1) - 4$, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_n$ of order p lifts to $S^{2n-1} \subset B_n$.

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 we can see the homotopy groups of $\mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1)$ in a range. It will be useful to list up the non-trivial odd homotopy groups of $\mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1)$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $p \geq 7$ and $2(p + t) - 1 \leq k \leq 12p - 1$. Then $\pi_k(\mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1)) = 0$ unless k is odd and not in the following table. Moreover, each element of $\pi_{2k-1}(\mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1))$ can be compressed into $S^n \subset \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1)$ for n in the following table.*

	$6p - 3$			
k	$8p - 5$	$8p - 3$		
	$10p - 7$	$10p - 5$	$10p - 3$	
	$12p - 9$	$12p - 7$	$12p - 5$	$12p - 3$
n	5	7	9	11

3 Homotopy nilpotency and Samelson products

Let X be a group-like space. For a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ we write by $-f$ the composition $A \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{\iota} X$, where $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ is the homotopy inversion.

Since the pinch map $X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^{(n+1)}$ induces a monomorphism $[X^{(n+1)}, X] \rightarrow [X^{n+1}, X]$ as in [15, Lemma 1.3.5], the n -iterated commutator map of X vanishes if and only if so does the n -iterated Samelson product $\langle 1_X, \langle \dots \langle 1_X, 1_X \rangle \dots \rangle \rangle$, where $X^{(n+1)}$ is the smash product of $(n + 1)$ -copies of X .

Suppose that $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ as spaces, not as group-like spaces. We denote the inclusion $X_k \rightarrow X$ and the projection $X \rightarrow X_k$ by i_k and p_k respectively for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Note that $1_X = (i_1 \circ p_1) \cdots (i_n \circ p_n)$, the pointwise multiplication. Kaji and the author [5] showed that the n -iterated commutator map of X lies in the commutator subgroup of $[X^{n+1}, X]$ and, by an easy commutator calculus and the above observation, it was obtained:

Proposition 3.1. *nil $X < k$ if and only if $\langle \theta_1, \langle \cdots \langle \theta_k, \theta_{k+1} \rangle \cdots \rangle \rangle = 0$ for each $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k+1} \in \{\pm i_1, \dots, \pm i_n\}$.*

We produce formulae for Samelson products which will be useful for our purpose.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a group-like space and let $\theta_i : V_i \rightarrow X$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

1. *If $\langle \pm \theta_1, \langle \pm \theta_2, \pm \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm \theta_2, \langle \pm \theta_3, \pm \theta_1 \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \pm \theta_3, \langle \pm \theta_1, \pm \theta_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$.*
2. *$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle = 0$ implies $\langle \theta_1, -\theta_2 \rangle = 0$.*
3. *Let $\theta'_3 : V_3 \rightarrow X$. If $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta'_3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_3, \langle \theta_2, \theta'_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \theta'_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$.*
4. *Suppose that $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ as spaces and denote by i_k and p_k the inclusion $X_k \rightarrow X$ and the projection $X \rightarrow X_k$ respectively for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\langle \theta_1, i_k \circ p_k \circ \theta_2 \rangle = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ implies $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. 1. Recall first the Hall-Witt formula of groups. Let G be a group and let $[-, -]$ denote the commutator of G . Then we have the Hall-Witt formula:

$$[y, [z, x^{-1}]]^x [x, [y, z^{-1}]]^z [z, [x, y^{-1}]]^y = 1$$

for $x, y, z \in G$, where $x^y = yxy^{-1}$.

Let $q_i : V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3 \rightarrow V_i$ be the i -th projection for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Put $\bar{\theta}_i = \theta_i \circ q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_3$, we define $\sigma : V_1 \wedge V_2 \wedge V_3 \rightarrow V_{\sigma(1)} \wedge V_{\sigma(2)} \wedge V_{\sigma(3)}$ by $\sigma(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, v_{\sigma(3)})$. Then we have

$$[\bar{\theta}_{\sigma(1)}, [\bar{\theta}_{\sigma(2)}, \bar{\theta}_{\sigma(3)}]] = \sigma^{-1} \circ q^*(\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle),$$

where $[-, -]$ denotes the commutator in the group $[V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3, X]$ and $q : X^3 \rightarrow X^{(3)}$ is the pinch map. Hence, by hypothesis, we have $[\pm \bar{\theta}_1, [\pm \bar{\theta}_2, \pm \bar{\theta}_3]] = [\pm \bar{\theta}_2, [\pm \bar{\theta}_3, \pm \bar{\theta}_1]] = 0$ and it follows from the Hall-Witt formula that $[\pm \bar{\theta}_3, [\pm \bar{\theta}_1, \pm \bar{\theta}_2]] = 0$. Since σ^{-1} and q^* are monic, we have $\langle \pm \theta_3, \langle \pm \theta_1, \pm \theta_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$.

2. This follows from the fact $1_X = (i_1 \circ p_1) \cdots (i_n \circ p_n)$ and the formula

$$[x, yz] = [x, y][x, z]^y$$

for $x, y \in G$.

3. This also follows from the above formula.

4. This follow from the formulae

$$[x, [y, zw]] = [x, [y, z]][x, [z, [y, w]]]^{[y, z]}[x, [y, w]]^{[y, z][z, [y, w]]}$$

for $x, y, z, w \in G$ respectively. □

We denote the inclusions $S^{2i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$, $A_j \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$ and $B_j \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$ by ϵ_i , λ_j and $\bar{\lambda}_j$ respectively for $2 \leq i \leq p$ and $2 \leq j \leq t$. We also denote by π_i the projections $\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow B_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq t$ and $\mathrm{SU}(p+t) \rightarrow S^{2i-1}$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq p$.

Let $W = A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_t \vee S^{2t+1} \vee \cdots \vee S^{2p-1}$ and let $j = \lambda_2 \vee \cdots \vee \lambda_t \vee \epsilon_{t+1} \vee \cdots \vee \epsilon_p : W \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$. By (2.2) there is a homotopy retraction $r : \Sigma\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow \Sigma W$ of j and as in [7] we can see that there is a self-homotopy equivalence $f : \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$ such that the following square diagram is homotopy commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \\ r \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{ad}1 \\ \Sigma W & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{adj}} & \mathrm{BSU}(p+t-1) \end{array}$$

Then, for any map $g : \Sigma A \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$, the Whitehead product $[\pm \mathrm{ad} \bar{\lambda}_i, g] = 0$ if and only if $[\pm \mathrm{ad} \lambda_i, g] = 0$. By adjointness of Whitehead products and Samelson products, we have established:

Proposition 3.3. *For any map $f : X \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t)$ and each $i = 1, \dots, t$ the Samelson product $\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_i, f \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle \pm \lambda_i, f \rangle = 0$. In particular, $\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_k, \pm \bar{\lambda}_l \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle \pm \lambda_k, \pm \lambda_l \rangle = 0$.*

4 Computing the Samelson products

Let $\Lambda = \{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_p, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t\}$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = \{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_p, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_t\}$, and let $\pm\Lambda = \{\pm\epsilon_2, \dots, \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\lambda_2, \dots, \pm\lambda_t\}$ and $\pm\bar{\Lambda} = \{\pm\epsilon_2, \dots, \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \pm\bar{\lambda}_t\}$. We write the domain of $\theta \in \pm\Lambda$ or $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$ by $X(\theta)$. For example, if $\theta = \lambda_i$, then $X(\theta) = A_i$. For $\theta \in \pm\Lambda$ or $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$, we write $|\theta| = i$ if $\theta = \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\lambda_i$ or $\pm\bar{\lambda}_i$.

By Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to calculate the iterated Samelson products $\langle \theta_1, \langle \cdots \langle \theta_n, \theta_{n+1} \rangle \cdots \rangle \rangle$ for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n+1} \in \pm \bar{\Lambda}$ in determining $\text{nilSU}(p+t-1)$. To do so, we will use the following result of Hamanaka [3].

Theorem 4.1 (Hamanaka [3]). *Let X be a CW-complex with $\dim X \leq 2n+2p-4$. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\tilde{K}^0(X)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} H^{2n+2i}(X, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow [X, \mathbf{U}(n)]_{(p)} \rightarrow \tilde{K}^1(X)_{(p)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-3} H^{2n+2i+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$$

such that:

1. $\Theta(x) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} (n+i)! ch_{n+i}(x)_{(p)}$ for $x \in \tilde{K}^0(X)$, where ch_k is the $2k$ -dimensional part of the Chern character.
2. For $f, g \in [X, \mathbf{U}(n)]_{(p)}$, the commutator $[f, g]$ lies in $\mathbf{Coker} \Theta$ and represented by

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-2} \sum_{i+j-1=n+k} f^*(x_{2i-1}) \cup g^*(x_{2j-1}),$$

where $x_{2i-1} \in H^{2i-1}(\mathbf{U}(n); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ is the suspension of the Chern class $c_i \in H^{2i}(BU(n); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$.

As an easy consequence of Theorem 4.1, Hamanaka [3] showed:

Proposition 4.1. $\text{ord}(\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \pm \epsilon_j \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & i+j \leq p+t-1 \\ p & i+j \geq p+t \end{cases}$

Now let us calculate other Samelson products of $\pm \epsilon_i$ and $\pm \lambda_j$ by applying Theorem 4.1. We have that $H^*(B_n; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) = \Lambda(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+2p-3})$ such that the mod p reduction of x_{2n-1} and $x_{2n+2p-3}$ are \bar{x}_{2n-1} and $\mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_{2n-1}$ respectively. Then $H^*(A_n; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \langle a_{2n-1}, a_{2n+2p-3} \rangle$ such that $j_n^*(x_i) = a_i$ for $i = 2n-1, 2n+2p-3$, where $R \langle e_1, e_2, \dots \rangle$ stands for the free R -module with a basis e_1, e_2, \dots and $j_n : A_n \rightarrow B_n$ is the inclusion.

Lemma 4.1. *For $n \leq p$, $\tilde{K}(\Sigma A_n)_{(p)} = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \langle \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle$ such that*

$$ch(\xi_n) = \Sigma a_{2n-1} + \frac{1}{p!} \Sigma a_{2n+2p-3}, \quad ch(\eta_n) = \Sigma a_{2n+2p-3}.$$

Proof. Let γ be the canonical line bundle of $\mathbf{C}P^p$ and let $\epsilon \in \tilde{K}(\mathbf{C}P^p) = [\mathbf{C}P^p, BU(\infty)]$ be the composite $\mathbf{C}P^p \xrightarrow{q} S^{2p} \xrightarrow{u} BU(\infty)$ for the pinch map $q : \mathbf{C}P^p \rightarrow S^{2p}$ and a generator u of $\pi_{2p}(BU(\infty))$. Note that $\Sigma \mathbf{C}P^p \simeq A_2 \vee S^5 \vee \cdots \vee S^{2p-1}$. By using (2.1), we put ξ_n and η_n to be the pullback of $\Sigma^{2n-2} \gamma$ and $\Sigma^{2n-2} \epsilon$ by the inclusion $\Sigma A_n \rightarrow \Sigma^{2n-2} \mathbf{C}P^p$. Then Lemma 4.1 follows from an easy calculation of the Chern character of γ and ϵ . \square

Proposition 4.2. 1. For $(i, j) \neq (p, t)$, $\text{ord}(\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle) = \text{ord}(\langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_i \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & i + j \leq p + 1 \\ p & i + j \geq p + 2. \end{cases}$

2. For $i + j \leq t$, $\text{ord}(\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle) = 0$.

3. Let $X(i, j)$ be the $(2i + 2j + 4p - 5)$ -skeleton of $A_i \wedge A_j$, that is, $A_i \wedge A_j$ minus the top cell. For $(i, j) \neq (p, p)$, $\text{ord}(\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle|_{X(i, j)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & i + j \leq p + 1 \\ p & i + j \geq p + 2. \end{cases}$

Proof. Let $p_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ be the i -th projection for $i = 1, 2$ and let $q : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \wedge X_2$ be the pinch map. For $f_i : X_i \rightarrow U(n)$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$[f_1 \circ p_1, f_2 \circ p_2] = q^*(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle) \in [X_1 \times X_2, U(n)]$$

as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Since q^* is monic, $\text{ord}([f_1 \circ p_1, f_2 \circ p_2]) = \text{ord}(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle)$. Now if the subcomplex $Y \subset X_1 \times X_2$ satisfies $\dim Y \leq 2n + 2p - 4$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $[f_1 \circ p_1, f_2 \circ p_2]|_{q(Y)}$ lies in $\mathbf{Coker}\Theta$ which is represented by $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-2} \sum_{i+j-1=n+k} g^*(f_1^*(x_{2i-1}) \times f_2^*(x_{2j-1}))$, where $g : Y \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ is the inclusion.

Now we calculate $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$. Note that $U(n) \simeq SU(n) \times S^1$ as H-spaces, here we localize at the odd prime p . Then we have $\text{ord}(\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle) = \text{ord}(\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle)$, where ϵ'_i and λ'_j is the compositions $S^{2i-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} SU(p+t-1) \hookrightarrow U(p+t-1)$ and $A_i \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} SU(p+t-1) \hookrightarrow U(p+t-1)$ respectively. Hence we calculate $\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle$. Apply Theorem 4.1 to $X = S^{2i-1} \times A_j$. Then, by Lemma 4.1, the $2(i+j+p-2)$ -dimensional part of $\mathbf{Coker}\Theta$ is

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \langle s_{2i-1} \times a_{2j+2p-3} \rangle / \left(\frac{(i+j+p-2)!}{p!} s_{2i-1} \times a_{2j+2p-3} \right),$$

where s_{2i-1} is a generator of $H^{2i-1}(S^{2i-1}; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$. By definition, $\epsilon'(x_{2i-1}) = s_{2i-1}$ and $\lambda'_j(x_{2j+2p-3}) = a_{2j+2p-3}$. Then, by the above observation, $q^*(\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle) \in \mathbf{Coker}\Theta$ is represented by $s_{2i-1} \times a_{2j+2p-3}$. Thus we have calculated $\text{ord}(\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle)$. Other Samelson products can be analogously calculated. \square

In what follows we will often use the argument below implicitly.

Proposition 4.3. Let $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ be a cofiber sequence and let W be a space such that $[Z, W] = *$. If a map $f : Y \rightarrow W$ satisfies $f|_X = 0$, then $f = 0$.

Proof. Proposition 4.3 follows from the exact sequence $[Z, W] \rightarrow [Y, W] \rightarrow [X, W]$ induced from the cofiber sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$. \square

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, the Samelson product $\langle \pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2 \rangle$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Lambda$ falls to a single B_i or $S^{2j-1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$ for $i = 2, \dots, t$ and $j = t+1, \dots, p$. We shall consider the lifting problem of the above $\langle \pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2 \rangle$ when it maps to B_i .

Let us first consider $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$. Note that we can assume $i+j \geq p+t$ by Proposition 4.1, which implies that $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$ falls to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ for $i+j \leq 2p-1$ and to B_2 for $i=j=p$. Then it is sufficient to look at the case $i=j=p$. By Proposition 4.1, $\text{ord}(\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle) = p$ and then, by Proposition 2.1, $\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle$ lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$. Thus we have obtained:

Proposition 4.4. $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$ falls to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$ if $p+t \leq i+j \leq 2p-1$ and lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$ if $i+j = 2p$.

Next we consider $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle$ and $\langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_i \rangle$. In the following calculation, we shall assume the homotopy set $[\Sigma X, Y]$ is a group by the comultiplication of ΣX and the induced map $(\Sigma f)^* : [\Sigma X', Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma X, Y]$ from $f : X \rightarrow X'$ as a group homomorphism. Now we have the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $S^{2n+2p-5} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(2n-2)} S^{2n-2} \rightarrow C_{\alpha_1(2n-2)}$ for $n \geq 3$:

$$\pi_{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(2n-1)^*} \pi_{2n+2p-4}(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow [C_{\alpha_1(2n-2)}, S^{2n-1}] \rightarrow \pi_{2n-2}(S^{2n-1})$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\alpha_1(2n-1)^*$ is epic and $\pi_{2n-2}(S^{2n-1}) = 0$. Then we obtain:

Proposition 4.5. For $n \geq 3$, $[C_{\alpha_1(2n-2)}, S^{2n-1}] = 0$.

Corollary 4.1. For $p+2 \leq i+j \leq p+t-1$, $\langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$ and $\langle \pm\epsilon_j, \pm\lambda_i \rangle$ lift to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset B_{i+j-p+1}$.

Proof. We only give a proof for $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ since other ones are analogous. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ falls to $B_{i+j-p+1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Since $S^{2i-1} \wedge A_j = C_{\alpha(2i+2j-2)}$, it follows from Proposition 4.5 that $q_*(\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle) = 0$, where $q : B_{i+j-p+1} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j)-1}$ is the projection. Then $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ lifts to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ and the proof is completed. \square

Let us describe the above lift $f : A_i \wedge S^{2j-1} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ of the Samelson product $\langle \lambda_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the row and the column sequences are the exact sequences induced from the cofiber sequence $S^{2n+2p-4} \rightarrow C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)} \xrightarrow{q} S^{2n+4p-5}$

and the fiber sequence $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & [\Sigma C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)}, S^{2n+2p-3}] & & \\
& & \delta \downarrow & & \\
\pi_{2n+4p-6}(S^{2n-1}) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & [C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)}, S^{2n-1}] & \longrightarrow & \pi_{2n+2p-4}(S^{2n-1}) \\
& & i_* \downarrow & & \\
& & [C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)}, B_n] & &
\end{array}$$

Let $\bar{p} : C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)} \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-4}$ be an extension of the degree p self-map of $S^{2n+2p-4}$. Then, by (2.3) and [12, Proposition 1.9], we have

$$\delta(\Sigma \bar{p}) = \alpha_1(2n-1) \circ \bar{p} = q^*(\{\alpha_1(2n-1), p, \alpha_1(2n+2p-4)\})b = q^*(\alpha_2(2n-1)).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\mathbf{Im}q^* = \mathbf{Z}/p\langle q^*(\alpha_2(2n-1)) \rangle.$$

Then we have established that if $f : C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ satisfies $f|_{S^{2n+2p-4}} = 0$, then $i_*(f) = 0$. In particular, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that:

Proposition 4.6. *For $p+2 \leq i+j \leq p+t-1$, any lift of $\langle \lambda_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset B_{i+j-p+1}$, say f , satisfies $f|_{S^{2i-1} \wedge S^{2j-1}} \neq 0$.*

Next we consider the lifting problem of $\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle$. Recall from [12, Lemma 3.5] that the cell structure of $C_{\alpha_1(n)} \wedge C_{\alpha_1(n)}$ for $n \geq p$ is given by

$$C_{\alpha_1(n)} \wedge C_{\alpha_1(n)} = (C_{\alpha_1(2n)} \vee S^{2n+2p-2}) \cup_{\nu_n} e^{2n+4p-4},$$

where

$$\nu_n = (i_*(\alpha) + (-1)^n \underline{2\alpha_1(2n)}) \vee \alpha_1(2n+2p-2) \quad (4.1)$$

for the inclusion $i : S^{2n} \rightarrow C_{\alpha_1(2n)}$ and some $\alpha \in \pi_{2n+4p-5}(S^{2n})$. Since $n \geq p$, it follows from the Serre isomorphism $\pi_*(S^{2n}) \cong \Sigma \pi_{*-1}(S^{2n-1}) \oplus \pi_*(S^{4n-1})$ that α is a multiple of $\alpha_2(2n)$.

We shall identify $A_i \wedge A_j$ with $C_{\alpha_1(i+j-1)} \wedge C_{\alpha_1(i+j-1)}$. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the row sequences are the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $A_i \wedge A_j \rightarrow S^{2(i+j+2p-3)} \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma X(i, j)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
[\Sigma X(i, j), S^{k-1}] & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \pi_{k+4p-6}(S^{2k-1}) & \longrightarrow & [A_i \wedge A_j, S^{k-1}] \\
\Sigma^{2N} \downarrow & & \Sigma^{2N} \downarrow & & \Sigma^{2N} \downarrow \\
[\Sigma^{2N+1} X(i, j), S^{k+2N-1}] & \xrightarrow{(\Sigma^{2N} f)^*} & \pi_{k+4p-6+2N}(S^{k+2N-1}) & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^{2N}(A_i \wedge A_j), S^{k+2N-1}],
\end{array}$$

where we put $k = 2(i + j)$. When N is large enough, we have $\Sigma^{2N} f = \Sigma \nu_{i+j+N-1}$. Let $\bar{p} : C_{\alpha_1(2(i+j-1))} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j-1)}$ be an extension of the degree p self-map of $S^{2(i+j+N-1)}$. Then, by [12, p.179], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma^{2N} f)^*(\Sigma^{2N} \bar{p}) &= \{p, \alpha_1(2(i+j+N) - 1), \alpha_1(2(i+j+N+p-2))\}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2(2(i+j+N) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\Sigma^{2N} : \pi_{2(i+j+2p-3)}(S^{2(i+j-1)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2(i+j+2p-3+N)}(S^{2(i+j+N-1)})$ is an isomorphism. Thus we have obtained:

Proposition 4.7. *The inclusion $X(i, j) \rightarrow A_i \wedge A_j$ induces an injection $[A_i \wedge A_j, S^{2(i+j)-1}] \rightarrow [X(i, j), S^{2(i+j)-1}]$.*

Corollary 4.2. *For $i + j \leq p$, $\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, it is sufficient to consider the case that $t+1 \leq i+j \leq p$. In this case, $\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle$ falls to $S^{2(i+j)-1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$ and then the proof is completed by Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.7. \square

Corollary 4.3. *For $p+1 \leq i+j \leq 2p-1$, $\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$.*

Proof. We only show the case of $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ since other cases are similar. By Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ falls to $B_{i+j-p+1}$. Put $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle|_{X(i,j)} = f \vee g : X(i, j) = C_{\alpha_1(2(i+j)-2)} \vee S^{2(i+j+p-2)} \rightarrow B_{i+j-p+1}$. By Proposition 4.5, we have $q_*(f) = 0$ for the projection $q : B_{i+j-p+1} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j)-1}$. By Proposition 4.2, f is of order at most p and then, by Proposition 2.1, $q_*(g) = 0$. Thus, by Proposition 4.7, $q_*(\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle) = 0$ and this implies that $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ lifts to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset B_{i+j-p+1}$. \square

5 Upper bound for $\text{nil SU}(p+t-1)$

Hereafter, we suppose that $p \geq 7$.

The aim of this section is to show:

Theorem 5.1. $\text{nil SU}(p+t-1) \leq 3$.

First, here is the proof of Theorem 5.1. By Proposition 3.1 and By Proposition 3.3, it is sufficient to show that

$$\langle \theta_1, \langle \bar{\theta}_2, \langle \bar{\theta}_3, \bar{\theta}_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0 \text{ for } \theta_1 \in \pm\Lambda \text{ and } \bar{\theta}_2, \bar{\theta}_3, \bar{\theta}_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Let $\omega_1 \in \Lambda$ and let $\bar{\omega}_2, \bar{\omega}_3, \bar{\omega}_4 \in \bar{\Lambda}$. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that if $\langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \langle \pm\omega_1, \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \pm\omega_1, \langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle, \pm\bar{\omega}_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$. By Proposition 3.3, this implies $\langle \pm\omega_1, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2, if $\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_4, \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_4, \langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle, \pm\bar{\omega}_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle \rangle = 0$. By Proposition 3.2, this implies $\langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle \rangle = 0$. Thus the proof is completed by the following propositions.

Proposition 5.1. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\Lambda$ and $\theta_3, \theta_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 5.2. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_4, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_3 \in \pm\Lambda$, $\theta_2, \theta_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$ and $|\theta_3| + |\theta_4| \neq 2p$.

Proposition 5.3. $\langle \pm\lambda_p, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

We will calculate iterated Samelson products in $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$ from those in $\pm\Lambda$ by using the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let*

$$X = \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i^{2np-3} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_2} e_i^{2np-3+2(p-1)} \right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} e_i^{2np-3+2(k-1)(p-1)} \right)$$

and let $f : X \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. If $n+k \leq p$, then f can be compressed into $S^{2n-1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$ and $\Sigma^{2k}f = 0$.

Proof. If f falls to B_n , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $q_*(f) = 0$ for the projection $q : B_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ and then f lifts to $S^{2n-1} \subset B_n$. Thus we assume that f is a map from X to S^{2n-1} . Consider the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i^{2np-3} \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{q'} X / \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i^{2np-3} \right) = Y$:

$$[Y, S^{2n-1}] \xrightarrow{(q')^*} [X, S^{2n-1}] \xrightarrow{j^*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1} \pi_{2np-3}(S_i^{2n-1})$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $(\Sigma^2 j)^*(\Sigma^2 f) = 0$ and then there exists $g : \Sigma^2 Y \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ such that $(\Sigma^2 q')^*(g) = \Sigma^2 f$. By induction, we obtain $\Sigma^{2k}f = 0$. \square

Corollary 5.1. *Let $X = S^{2n-1}$ or $S^{2n-1} \cup e^{2n+2p-3}$ for $n \leq 5p-3$ and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$. Then $\langle \theta, f \rangle = \langle f, \theta \rangle = 0$ for each $\theta \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.1, we only have to consider the case $2n-1 = 6p-3, 8p-5, 8p-3, 10p-7$. Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that f can be compressed into S^5 or $S^7 \subset \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$, and that $\Sigma^4 f = 0$. By Proposition 4.2, we assume $|\theta| \geq p-2$. Since $p \geq 7$, $X(\theta)$ is a 6-suspension and then $1_{X(\theta)} \wedge f = f \wedge 1_{X(\theta)} = 0$. \square

We give candidates for non-zero 2-iterated Samelson products in $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$. If $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + |\theta_3| \neq 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3, 3p$, then $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + |\theta_3| \neq 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3, 3p$. By Proposition 3.3, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1 \in \pm\Lambda$ and $\theta_2\theta_3 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

By Corollary 2.1, $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$ if $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \pm\Lambda$. Then, by Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \theta_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\Lambda$. Since other cases are analogous, we only show $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$. When $j \geq 3$, A_j is a suspension by (2.1). Then it follows from (2.2) that $\langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle = \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_k \rangle \vee f : A_j \wedge B_k = (A_j \wedge A_k) \vee (A_j \wedge S^{4k+2p-4}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$. By Corollary 2.1, we have $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ and, by Corollary 5.1, $\langle \lambda_i, f \rangle = 0$. Then we have established $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

When $j = 2$, we assume $k = p-1$ or p by Proposition 4.2. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 that $\langle \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ falls to B_2 . By Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 2.1, we have $q_*(\langle \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle) = 0$ for the projection $q : B_2 \rightarrow S^{2p+1}$. Then $\langle \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ lifts to $f : A_2 \wedge B_{p-1} \rightarrow S^3$. Hence, by Proposition 4.2, $\langle \lambda_i, f \rangle = 0$ if $i \leq p-1$ and this shows that $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ when $(j, k) = (2, p-1)$. One can analogously show that $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ when $(j, k) = (2, p)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.3. As in the above proof of Theorem 5.1, Proposition 5.1 implies that it is sufficient to prove $\langle \pm\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\lambda_p \rangle \rangle = 0$.

By Proposition 5.4, we have only to consider the case that $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| = p+1, p+2, p+3$ or $2p$. When $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| = p+1$, $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times S^5 \times S^7, B_2 \times B_3 \times S^7$ or $B_2 \times B_3 \times B_4$ by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1. On the other hand, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\lambda_p \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times S^5$ or $B_2 \times B_3$ by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1. Then, by Proposition 3.2, Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.2, we have obtained that $\langle \pm\lambda_p, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. Other cases are quite analogous. \square

Now we proceed the calculation to show all 3-iterated Samelson products in $\bar{\Lambda}$ vanish. As a first step, we show:

Proposition 5.5. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_4 \in \pm\Lambda$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, we assume that $|\theta_2| + |\theta_3| + |\theta_4| = 2p + 1, 2p + 2, 2p + 3$ or $3p$. We only show the case that $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = (\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k)$ for $i + j + k = 2p + 3$ since other cases are analogous. By Corollary 2.1, there is a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i \wedge A_j \wedge A_k & \xrightarrow{\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_k \rangle \rangle} & \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1) \\ q \downarrow & & \parallel \\ (\bigvee_{i=1}^3 S_i^{8p-3}) \cup e^{10p-5} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1), \end{array}$$

where q pinches the $(8p - 4)$ -skeleton of $A_i \wedge A_j \wedge A_k$. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that f can be compressed into $S^7 \subset \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1)$ and that $\Sigma^4 f = 0$. Then, by Proposition 4.2, we assume that $i \geq p - 2$ and this implies that f is a 6-suspension. Hence we have $1_{A_i} \wedge f = 0$ and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.2. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_4, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_4 \in \pm\Lambda$ and $\theta_2 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.5, we put $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_i$.

We first consider the case that $\theta_3 \neq \pm\lambda_2$. Since $X(\theta_3)$ is a suspension, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram by (2.2).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\theta_3) \wedge B_i & \xrightarrow{\langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle} & \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \parallel \\ (X(\theta_3) \wedge A_i) \vee (X(\theta_3) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \theta_3, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \vee f} & \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1) \end{array}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle \rangle &= \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \rangle \rangle \vee \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, f \rangle \rangle : \\ &\bigwedge_{i=1}^3 X(\theta_i) \wedge B_i \simeq \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^3 X(\theta_i) \wedge A_i \right) \vee \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^3 X(\theta_i) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4} \right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p + t - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Corollary 5.1 and Proposition 5.5, we have established $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. It is analogous to show $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$.

We next consider the case that $\theta_3 = \pm\lambda_2$. By Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 5.5, we assume that $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$ or $\pm\bar{\lambda}_p$. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that we also assume $\langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle : A_2 \wedge B_i \rightarrow S^{2(2+i-p)+1}$. Then, by (2.2), we have a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge B_i) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle} & S^{2(2+i-p)+3} \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge A_i) \vee \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge S^{4i+2p-4}) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2\langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \vee f} & S^{2(2+i-p)+3} \end{array}$$

By Proposition 5.4, we also assume that $|\theta_2| + |\theta_3| + |\lambda_i| = 2p + 1, 2p + 2, 2p + 3$ or $3p$ and this implies that $X(\theta_2)$ is a 6-suspension. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle &= \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \rangle \vee (\langle \theta_2, \epsilon_{3+i-p} \rangle \circ (1_{\Sigma^{-2}X(\theta_2)} \wedge f)) : \\ &(X(\theta_2) \wedge A_2 \wedge A_i) \vee (X(\theta_2) \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2(2+i-p)+1}) \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 5.1, we also have $1_{\Sigma^{-2}X(\theta_1)} \wedge f = 0$ and then, by Proposition 5.5, we have obtained $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. We can similarly see that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\lambda_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ \square

Proof of Proposition 5.1. By Proposition 5.5 and Corollary 5.2, we put $\theta_3 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_i$ and $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_j$.

Applying the homotopy extension property of the inclusion $\Sigma A_i \wedge A_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge B_j$, we replace a homotopy retraction $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$ with a strict retraction. We also replace a homotopy retraction $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$ with a strict one.

Let $Y(i, j)$ be the $(4i + 4j + 4p - 7)$ -skeleton of $B_i \wedge B_j$, that is, $Y(i, j)$ is $B_i \wedge B_j$ minus the top cell. Since we have strict retractions $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$ and $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$, the proof of Corollary 5.2 implies that we can choose contractions of $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle \rangle \rangle$ and $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle \rangle \rangle$ to coincide on $X(\theta_1) \wedge X(\theta_2) \wedge A_i \wedge A_j$. Then, by gluing the above contractions, we obtain

$$\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle |_{Y(i,j)} \rangle \rangle = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\Lambda$.

Now we first consider the case $\theta_2 \neq \pm\lambda_2$. As in the proof of Corollary 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle \rangle &= \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle |_{Y(i,j)} \rangle \vee f : \\ X(\theta_2) \wedge B_i \wedge B_j &\simeq (X(\theta_2) \wedge Y(i, j)) \vee (X(\theta_2) \wedge S^{4(i+j+p-2)}) \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \neq (\pm\lambda_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p)$, we have $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ by Corollary 5.1 and (5.1).

By Proposition 2.1, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times B_3 \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm\lambda_p, \lambda_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$ for $\theta_1 \in \pm\Lambda$. Analogously to the above case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pm\lambda_p, \lambda_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle &= \langle \pm\lambda_p, \lambda_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle |_{Y(p,p)} \rangle \vee f_i : \\ A_p \wedge B_p \wedge B_p &\simeq (A_p \wedge Y(p, p)) \vee (A_p \wedge S^{12p-8}) \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.1), it is sufficient to show $\langle \theta_1, f_i \rangle = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$. By [13], we have $\pi_{14p-9}(S^3) = \pi_{16p-11}(S^3) = 0$ and then $\pi_{14p-9}(B_2) = \pi_{16p-11}(B_2) = 0$ by the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $S^3 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow S^{2p+1}$ and Theorem 2.1. Thus $f_2 = 0$. Similarly, we have $f_3 = 0$.

We next consider the case $\theta_2 = \pm\lambda_2$. By Proposition 5.4, we put $(i, j) = (p-1, p), (p, p-1), (p, p)$. When $(i, j) = (p, p)$, it follows from Proposition 5.4 that $|\theta_2| = 2$ or 3 . By Proposition 2.1, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times B_3 \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Then, by Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2, we have $\langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle = 0$. We shall prove the case $(i, j) = (p-1, p)$. The case $(i, j) = (p, p-1)$ is quite analogously proved. By Proposition 2.1, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ falls to B_p and then $\langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle$ falls to $B_3 \times B_4$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 4.3, we can see that $\pi_i \circ \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle$ can be compressed into S^{2i-1} for $i = 3, 4$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, we assume $|\theta_1| \geq p-2$ and then $X(\theta_1)$ is a 6-suspension. By an analogous argument as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^2 \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle &= \Sigma^2 \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle|_{Y(p-1,p)} \rangle \vee f : \\ &\Sigma^2 A_2 \wedge B_{p-1} \wedge B_p \simeq \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge Y(p-1,p)) \vee \Sigma^2(A^2 \wedge S^{12p-12}) \rightarrow S^{2i+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 3, 4$. Then as in the proof of Corollary 5.2, we have obtained $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. \square

In order to calculate other Samelson products, we will use:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $g : V \rightarrow W_1 \vee W_2$ and let $f_i : W_i \rightarrow X$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $f_i \circ p_i \circ g = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and that X is an H-space, where $p_i : W_1 \vee W_2 \rightarrow W_i$ is the i -th projection. Then $(f_1 \vee f_2) \circ g = 0$.*

Proof. Define $f_1 \cdot f_2 : W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow X$ by $f_1 \cdot f_2(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ for $(x, y) \in W_1 \times W_2$. Then we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xrightarrow{g} & W_1 \vee W_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1 \vee f_2} & X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow j & & \parallel \\ V & \xrightarrow{j \circ g} & W_1 \times W_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1 \cdot f_2} & X, \end{array}$$

where j is the inclusion. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.2. We first consider the case $\theta_3 \neq \pm\lambda_2$. If $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle &= \langle \theta_3, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \vee f : \\ X(\theta_3) \wedge B_i &\simeq (X(\theta_3) \wedge A_i) \vee (X(\theta_3) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4}) \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1) \end{aligned}$$

and $\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_i, \theta_3 \rangle$ has an analogous decomposition. Then, by Corollary 5.1 and Proposition 5.5, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_4 \in \pm \Lambda$. Since $|\theta_3| + |\theta_4| \neq 2p$, we have $\langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle : X(\theta_3) \wedge X(\theta_4) \rightarrow S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ by Proposition 4.4, Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.3. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \epsilon_{i+j-p+1} \rangle &= \langle \pm \lambda_j, \epsilon_{i+j-p+1} \rangle \vee f : \\ &B_j \wedge S^{2(i+j-p+1)-1} \simeq (A_j \wedge S^{2(i+j-p+1)-1}) \vee S^{2i+6j-3} \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Corollary 5.1, Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.2, we have obtained $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$.

We next consider the case $\theta_3 = \pm \lambda_2$. By Corollary 4.2, $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$ or $\pm \bar{\lambda}_p$ and then, by Proposition 5.5, $\theta_2 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_p$ and $\theta_2 = \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$ or $\bar{\lambda}_p$ according as $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm \bar{\lambda}_p$. Now we consider the case $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$. By Proposition 2.1, $\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, $\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^3 \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Since

$$\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \epsilon_2 \rangle = \langle \pm \lambda_p, \epsilon_2 \rangle \vee f : B_p \wedge S^3 \simeq (A_p \wedge S^3) \vee (S^{6p-4} \wedge S^3) \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1).$$

By Corollary 5.1, we have $\langle \theta_1, f \rangle = 0$. Then, by Lemma 5.2, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \lambda_p, \langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ and this is done by Corollary 5.2. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \lambda_p, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm \lambda_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ is shown in an analogous way.

Let us consider the case $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_p$. As above, $\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^5 \times S^7$ and then, by Proposition 3.2, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \epsilon_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i = 3, 4$. This is done quite analogously to the above case. We can also see that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \pm \lambda_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ as well. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

6.1 $t = 2$

We shall show $\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$ and then, by Theorem 5.1, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, $\langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle$ falls to $S^5 \subset \text{SU}(p+1)$. Since $\langle \epsilon_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \neq 0$ by Proposition 4.1, we have $\langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle = \overline{a\alpha_1(5)}$ for some integer a such that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, where $\overline{\alpha_1(5)} : C_{\alpha_1(2p+2)} \simeq A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow S^5$ is an extension of $\alpha_1(5)$. Analogously, we have $\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \epsilon_3 \rangle = b\alpha_1(5)$ for an integer b such that $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then, by [12, Proposition 1.9],

$$\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle = ab\alpha_1(5) \circ \overline{\Sigma^{2p-3}\alpha_1(5)} = abq^*(\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\}), \quad (6.1)$$

where $q : S^{2p-3} \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow S^{6p-3}$ pinches the bottom cell.

Consider the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $S^{2p-3} \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1} \xrightarrow{q} S^{6p-3} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(4p)} S^{4p}$:

$$\pi_{4p}(S^5) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(4p)^*} \pi_{6p-3}(S^5) \xrightarrow{q^*} [S^{2p-3} \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1}, S^5]$$

By Theorem 2.1, $\alpha_1(4p)^* = 0$ and then q^* is monic. It is known that $\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\} \neq 0$ (See, for example, [4, P. 38]) and thus, by (6.1), we have established $\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$.

6.2 $3 \leq t \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$

By Proposition 4.2, possible non-trivial 2-iterated Samelson products in $\pm \bar{\Lambda}$ are:

1. $\langle \pm \epsilon_p, \langle \pm \epsilon_p, \pm \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle$.
2. $\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_i, \langle \pm \epsilon_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle, \langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle, \langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \epsilon_j, \pm \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle$ for $i+j+k = 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3$.

We shall show these Samelson products are all trivial and then, by Proposition 3.1, the proof is completed.

1. By the Jacobi identity of Samelson products, we have $3\langle \pm \epsilon_p, \langle \pm \epsilon_p, \pm \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle = 0$ and then, for $p > 3$, $\langle \pm \epsilon_p, \langle \pm \epsilon_p, \pm \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle = 0$.
2. By Proposition 3.2, it is sufficient to show $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \epsilon_j, \pm \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i+j+k = 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3$. Let us consider $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle$ for $i+j+k = 2p+1$. By (2.2), we have $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle \vee \langle \epsilon_i, f \rangle$ for some $f : S^{4j+2p-4} \wedge S^{2k-1} \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Then, by Corollary 5.1, it is sufficient to show $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

Let us consider the case $i+j+k = 2p+1$. By Proposition 4.3, $\langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^{2(j+k-p)+1} \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$ and then we have

$$\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{j+k-p+1} \rangle \circ (1_{S^{2i-1}} \wedge f),$$

where $f : A_j \wedge S^{2k-1} \rightarrow S^{2(j+k-p)+1}$. Since $i+j+k-p+1 = p+2 \leq p+t-1$, we have $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{j+k-p+1} \rangle = 0$ and then $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = 0$. Analogously, we can see $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \epsilon_j, \pm \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

When $i+j+k = 2p+2, 2p+3$, it follows from Corollary 2.1 that $\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

6.3 $\frac{p+1}{2} \leq t \leq p$

Put $t \neq p$. We shall show $\langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 by Theorem 5.1. Let X be the $(8p-4)$ -skeleton of $A_{p-t+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}$, that is, $A_{p-t+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}$

minus the top cell. Then, as in section 4, the cofiber sequence $S^{2(p-t)+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} S^{6p-3}$ splits. We denote a homotopy section of \bar{q} by s . Here, note that the map \bar{q} is the restriction of $q \wedge 1_{A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}} : A_{p-t+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow S^{2(2p-t)-1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}$, where $q : A_{p-t+1} \rightarrow S^{2(2p-t)-1}$ is the pinch map. Then, by Proposition 4.1, we have a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{(1_{A_{p-t+1}} \wedge \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle)|_X} & A_{p-t+1} \wedge S^{2t+1} & \xrightarrow{\langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1} \rangle} & B_3 \\ \bar{q} \downarrow & & q \wedge 1_{S^{2t+1}} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ S^{2(2p-t)-1} \wedge S^{2t-1} \wedge S^{2p-1} & \xrightarrow{1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle} & S^{2(2p-t)-1} \wedge S^{2t+1} & \xrightarrow{f} & B_3 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, we have $1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle = a\alpha_1(4p)$ for some integer a such that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let $\underline{\alpha_1(2p+2)} : S^{4p} \rightarrow A_3$ be a coextension of $\alpha_1(2p+2)$. Then, as in section 2, we have $f = bi_*(\underline{\alpha_1(2p+2)})$ for some integer b , where $i : A_3 \rightarrow B_3$ is the inclusion. Suppose that $b = b'p$. Then, by [12, Proposition 1.8], we have

$$f = b'i_*(\underline{\alpha_1(2p+2)} \circ p) = -b'i_* \circ j_*(\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), p\}) = -\frac{b'}{2}i_* \circ j_*(\alpha_2(5)),$$

where $j : S^5 \rightarrow A_3$ is the inclusion. In particular, f lifts to $S^5 \subset B_3$ and this contradicts to Proposition 4.6. Thus we have $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

On the other hand, it follows from [12, Proposition 1.8] that

$$\underline{\alpha_1(2p+2)} \circ \alpha_1(2p+2) = -j_*\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\}.$$

It is known that $\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\} \neq 0$ (See [4, p.38]) and then we have established

$$f \circ (1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle) = f \circ (1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle) \circ \bar{q} \circ s = \langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \circ s \neq 0.$$

This implies $\langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$.

When $t = p$, the proof is completed by the homotopy exact sequence induced from the fiber sequence $SU(2p-2) \rightarrow SU(2p-1) \rightarrow S^{4p-3}$.

References

- [1] R. Bott, *A note on the Samelson product in the classical groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **34** (1960), 249-256.
- [2] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **304**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

- [3] H. Hamanaka, *On Samelson products in p -localized unitary groups*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 3, 573-583.
- [4] J.R. Harper, *Secondary Cohomology Operations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **49**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [5] S. Kaji and D. Kishimoto, Homotopy nilpotency in p -compact groups, preprint.
- [6] C.A. McGibbon, *Some properties of H -spaces of rank 2*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), no. 1, 121-124.
- [7] C. McGibbon, *Homotopy commutativity in localized groups*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 665-687.
- [8] C.A. McGibbon, *Higher forms of homotopy commutativity and finite loop spaces*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), no. 3, 363-374.
- [9] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Cohomology operations and homotopy of compact Lie groups I*, Topology **9** (1970), 317-336.
- [10] J.B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 64-94.
- [11] L. Saumell, *Higher homotopy commutativity in localized groups* Math. Z. **219** (1995), no. 2, 203-213.
- [12] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studies **49**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N.J., 1962.
- [13] H.Toda, *On iterated suspensions I*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **5** (1966), 87-142.
- [14] F.D. Williams, *Higher homotopy-commutativity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969), 191-206.
- [15] A. Zabrodsky, *Hopf Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **22**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.