

THE ZERO-IN-THE-SPECTRUM CONJECTURE AND FINITELY PRESENTED GROUPS

SHIN-ICHI OGUNI

2004. 12. 24

Abstract

We introduce an algorithm which transforms a discrete group G into another one G_Ψ which has some particular properties. For example when G is a non-amenable group, then G_Ψ does not satisfy an algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture. Moreover when G is a finitely generated free group, then G_Ψ is also finitely presented and the p -th group homology of G_Ψ have infinite rank for all $p \geq 3$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study some geometric properties of finitely presented groups. Here we will give an algorithm Ψ which transforms a discrete group G into another one G_Ψ . G_Ψ is given by successive procedures: taking infinite sums of G , a semi-direct product with \mathbb{Z} and an HNN-extension (Section 2). We show that when G is a finitely presented group, then G_Ψ is also the same. In the case when G satisfies some conditions, then G_Ψ shows particular phenomena in the so-called zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture by Gromov ([3]), the Baum-Connes conjecture and the group homology.

Firstly we will give an application to the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture. The conjecture claims that for a closed, aspherical and connected Riemannian manifold M there always exists some $p \geq 0$, such that zero belongs to the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_p acting on square integrable p -forms on the universal covering \widetilde{M} of M . Let $H_p(G; \mathcal{N}(G))$ be the homology of G with coefficients in a group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(G)$. It is known that if BG is a closed manifold, then the conjecture is equivalent to an algebraic condition that for some $p \geq 0$, $H_p(G; \mathcal{N}(G)) \neq 0$ holds ([4, p.438]). Naturally we can generalize it to an algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1.

Let G be a discrete group. Then for some $p \geq 0$, $H_p(G; \mathcal{N}(G)) \neq 0$ holds.

Several counterexamples are known for finitely generated groups, but they are infinitely presented. In this paper we show that many G_Ψ are finitely presented groups

which do not satisfy Conjecture 1.1. Actually, the following theorem is proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1.2.

Suppose that G is a non-amenable group, then G_Ψ satisfies $H_(G_\Psi; \mathcal{N}(G_\Psi)) = 0$.*

In particular when G is a finitely presented and non-amenable group, then G_Ψ is a finitely presented group which does not satisfy the algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture.

Next we study the relation of G_Ψ to the Baum-Connes conjecture. The conjecture identifies G -equivariant K -homology with G -compact supports of the classifying space $\underline{E}G$ for proper actions of G and the K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$ ([6]). The following theorem is proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that G has Haagerup property, then G_Ψ satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture.

It is known that the Baum-Connes conjecture implies the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture in the case when BG are closed manifolds ([6, p.61]). On the other hand the situation is completely different when BG are far from being manifolds. Let G be finitely presented, non-amenable and has Haagerup property. For example, a free group of rank $m \geq 2$. Then G_Ψ satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture, but does not satisfy the conjecture 1.1. Therefore,

Corollary 1.4.

The Baum-Connes conjecture does not imply the algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture for finitely presented groups.

Finally we will calculate the group homology of G_Ψ coming from free groups in Section 4. Let $H_p(G; \mathbb{Z})$ be the group homology of G .

Theorem 1.5.

Suppose that G is a free group of rank $m \geq 1$, then G_Ψ satisfies the following.

$$\begin{aligned} H_p(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \text{ has infinite rank } (\forall p \geq 3), \\ H_2(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m+m^2}, \\ H_1(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}, \\ H_0(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular G_Ψ is a finitely presented group of infinite type and its rational cohomological dimension is infinite.

Moreover Ψ is injective on the class of free groups.

The author would like to express his gratitude to my adviser Tsuyoshi Kato for numerous suggestions and stimulating discussions. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kenji Fukaya for conversations on some topics discussed herein.

2 Construction of the algorithm Ψ

Definition 2.1.

Let n be a non-negative integer or $n = \infty$. Define \mathcal{F}_n to be the class of groups for which BG are CW-complexes which have a finite number of p -dimensional cells for $p \leq n$.

Example 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} G \in \mathcal{F}_0 &\Leftrightarrow G : \text{a discrete group,} \\ G \in \mathcal{F}_1 &\Leftrightarrow G : \text{a finitely generated group,} \\ G \in \mathcal{F}_2 &\Leftrightarrow G : \text{a finitely presented group,} \\ G \in \mathcal{F}_\infty &\Leftrightarrow G : \text{a group of finite type.} \end{aligned}$$

Also we will use $[g, h] := g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$, $g^h := h^{-1}gh$ ($g, h \in G$).

We will construct the algorithm

$$\Psi : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0; G \mapsto G_\Psi$$

passing through three steps.

Construction of the algorithm Ψ .

Let $G^{(k)}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) be infinite copies of G . We identify $G^{(0)}$ with G . Let us put

$$G_0 := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(k)}, H_0 := \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(2l)} \oplus G^{(2l+1)}, K_0 := \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(3l)} \oplus G^{(3l+1)}.$$

$G_1 := G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of $G_0 = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(k)}$ by the isomorphism

$$G_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0; g^{(k)} \mapsto g^{(k+1)}.$$

$H_1 := H_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of $H_0 = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(2l)} \oplus G^{(2l+1)}$ by the isomorphism

$$H_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0; g^{(k)} \mapsto g^{(k+2)}.$$

$K_1 := K_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of $K_0 = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(3l)} \oplus G^{(3l+1)}$ by the isomorphism

$$K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0; g^{(k)} \mapsto g^{(k+3)}.$$

Then we have presentations as:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \langle G, a \mid [G, G^{a^k}](0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}) \rangle, \\ H_1 &= \left\langle G^{(0)}, G^{(1)}, b \left| \begin{array}{l} [G^{(0)}, (G^{(1)})^{b^k}](k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ [G^{(0)}, (G^{(0)})^{b^k}], [G^{(1)}, (G^{(1)})^{b^k}](0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \right. \right\rangle, \\ K_1 &= \left\langle G^{(0)}, G^{(1)}, c \left| \begin{array}{l} [G^{(0)}, (G^{(1)})^{c^k}](k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ [G^{(0)}, (G^{(0)})^{c^k}], [G^{(1)}, (G^{(1)})^{c^k}](0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \right. \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us regard H_1 and K_1 as subgroups of G_1 by

$$H_1 \hookrightarrow G_1; g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, b \mapsto g, g^a, a^2,$$

$$K_1 \hookrightarrow G_1; g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, c \mapsto g, g^a, a^3.$$

Definition 2.3.

G_Ψ is an HNN-extension of G_1 by the isomorphism

$$H_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1; g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, b \mapsto g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, c.$$

Then we have a presentation as:

$$G_\Psi = \left\langle G, a, t \mid \begin{array}{l} [G, G^{a^k}] (0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ g^t = g, (g^a)^t = g^a (g \in G), (a^2)^t = a^3 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

□

Here we claim the following.

Claim 2.4.

When

$$g^t = g, (g^a)^t = g^a (g \in G), (a^2)^t = a^3, 1 = [G, G^a],$$

then

$$1 = [G, G^{a^k}] (0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. We have

$$1 = [G, G^a]^{ata^{-1}} = [G^a, G^{a^2}]^{ta^{-1}} = [G^a, G^{a^3}]^{a^{-1}} = [G, G^{a^2}]$$

and

$$1 = [G, G^{a^2}]^t = [G, G^{a^3}].$$

Suppose $1 = [G, G^{a^k}]$ for $1 \leq k \leq 3N$ ($N \geq 1$). Then since $2N + 1 \leq 3N$,

$$1 = [G, G^{a^{2N+1}}]^t = [G, G^{a^{3N+1}}],$$

$$1 = [G, G^{a^{2N+1}}]^{ata^{-1}} = [G^a, G^{a^{2(N+1)}}]^{ta^{-1}} = [G^a, G^{a^{3(N+1)}}]^{a^{-1}} = [G, G^{a^{3N+2}}].$$

Then since $2(N + 1) \leq 3N + 1$,

$$1 = [G, G^{a^{2(N+1)}}]^t = [G, G^{a^{3(N+1)}}].$$

Hence $1 = [G, G^{a^k}]$ for $1 \leq k \leq 3(N + 1)$ ($N \geq 1$). Consequently $1 = [G, G^{a^k}]$ for $k \geq 1$. Moreover

$$1 = ([G, G^{a^k}]^{a^{-k}})^{-1} = [G^{a^{-k}}, G]^{-1} = [G, G^{a^{-k}}]$$

for $k \geq 1$. Thus $1 = [G, G^{a^k}]$ for $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

□

Corollary 2.5.

Let

$$G = \langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m) \mid r_i(1 \leq i \leq n) \rangle$$

be a presentation. Then,

$$G_\Psi = \left\langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m), a, t \mid \begin{array}{l} r_i(1 \leq i \leq n), [s_i, s_j^a](1 \leq i, j \leq m), \\ s_i^t = s_i, (s_i^a)^t = s_i^a(1 \leq i \leq m), (a^2)^t = a^3 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

In particular when G is finitely presented or generated, G_Ψ has the same property respectively.

Remark 2.6.

We can modify the algorithm. We fix an integer $q \geq 2$. Let us put

$$H'_0 := \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(ql)} \oplus G^{(ql+1)} \oplus \dots \oplus G^{(ql+q-1)},$$

$$K'_0 := \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{((q+1)l)} \oplus G^{((q+1)l+1)} \oplus \dots \oplus G^{((q+1)l+q-1)}.$$

$H'_1 := H'_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of H'_0 by the isomorphism

$$H'_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H'_0; g^{(k)} \mapsto g^{(k+q)}.$$

$K'_1 := K'_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of K'_0 by the isomorphism

$$K'_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} K'_0; g^{(k)} \mapsto g^{(k+q+1)}.$$

G'_Ψ is an HNN-extension of G_1 by the isomorphism

$$H'_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K'_1; g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(q-1)}, b' \mapsto g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(q-1)}, c'.$$

Then,

$$G'_\Psi = \left\langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m), a, t \mid \begin{array}{l} r_i(1 \leq i \leq n), [s_i, s_j^{a^k}](1 \leq k \leq q-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq m), \\ (s_i^{a^k})^t = s_i^{a^k} (0 \leq k \leq q-1, 1 \leq i \leq m), (a^q)^t = a^{q+1} \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

However for simplicity we will deal with the only case of $q = 2$.

If G is a finitely generated free group of rank $m \geq 1$, then

$$H_1(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) \cong G_\Psi / [G_\Psi, G_\Psi] = \langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m), t \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}.$$

Accordingly,

Corollary 2.7.

Ψ is injective on the class of free groups.

Here we will collect the groups appearing in the construction of the algorithm Ψ .

Notation 2.8.

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= \langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m) \mid r_i(1 \leq i \leq n) \rangle, \\
G_0 &= \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(k)} \\
&= \left\langle s_i^{(k)}(1 \leq i \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}) \left| \begin{array}{l} r_i^{(k)}(1 \leq i \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ [s_i^{(k)}, s_j^{(l)}](1 \leq i, j \leq m, k \neq l \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \right. \right\rangle, \\
H_0 &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(2l)} \oplus G^{(2l+1)} = G_0, \\
K_0 &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(3l)} \oplus G^{(3l+1)}, \\
G_1 &= G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\
&= \langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m), a \mid r_i(1 \leq i \leq n), [s_i, s_j^{a^k}](1 \leq i, j \leq m, 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}) \rangle, \\
H_1 &= H_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\
&= \left\langle s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)}(1 \leq i \leq m), b \left| \begin{array}{l} r_i^{(0)}, r_i^{(1)}(1 \leq i \leq n), [s_i^{(0)}, (s_j^{(1)})^{b^k}](1 \leq i, j \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ [s_i^{(0)}, (s_j^{(0)})^{b^k}], [s_i^{(1)}, (s_j^{(1)})^{b^k}](1 \leq i, j \leq m, 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \right. \right\rangle, \\
K_1 &= K_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\
&= \left\langle s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)}(1 \leq i \leq m), c \left| \begin{array}{l} r_i^{(0)}, r_i^{(1)}(1 \leq i \leq n), [s_i^{(0)}, (s_j^{(1)})^{c^k}](1 \leq i, j \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ [s_i^{(0)}, (s_j^{(0)})^{c^k}], [s_i^{(1)}, (s_j^{(1)})^{c^k}](1 \leq i, j \leq m, 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \right. \right\rangle, \\
G_\Psi &= \left\langle s_i(1 \leq i \leq m), a, t \left| \begin{array}{l} r_i(1 \leq i \leq n), [s_i, s_j^a](1 \leq i, j \leq m), \\ s_i^t = s_i, (s_i^a)^t = s_i^a(1 \leq i \leq m), (a^2)^t = a^3 \end{array} \right. \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.9.

G_Ψ is torsion-free if and only if G is torsion-free.

Proof. G_Ψ is an HNN-extension of G_1 and G_1 is an HNN-extension of G_0 . Thus this proposition is clear by the torsion theorem for HNN-extensions ([5, p.185]). \square

Proposition 2.10.

The cohomological dimension of G_Ψ is infinite if and only if G is not trivial.

Proof. G has a torsion element if and only if G_Ψ has a torsion element by Proposition 2.9. Then the cohomological dimension of each is infinite. If G is torsion-free and not trivial, then $G \supset \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $G_\Psi \supset \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently the cohomological dimension of G_Ψ is infinite. If G is trivial, then $G_\Psi = \langle a, t \mid (a^2)^t = a^3 \rangle$. Hence G_Ψ is a one-relator group. Therefore the cohomological dimension of G_Ψ is two. \square

3 Counterexamples to the algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture

We will get counterexamples to the algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture for finitely presented groups.

Definition 3.1.

Let d be a non-negative integer or ∞ . Define \mathcal{Z}_d to be the class of groups for which $H_p(G; \mathcal{N}(G)) = 0$ hold for $p \leq d$.

Lemma 3.2.

Let d, e be a non-negative integer or ∞ . Then

- (1) Let G be the directed union $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i$ of subgroups $G_i \subset G$. Suppose that $G_i \in \mathcal{Z}_d$ for each $i \in I$. Then $G \in \mathcal{Z}_d$.
- (2) If G contains a normal subgroup $H \subset G$ with $H \in \mathcal{Z}_d$, then $G \in \mathcal{Z}_d$.
- (3) If $G \in \mathcal{Z}_d$ and $H \in \mathcal{Z}_e$, then $G \times H \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+e+1}$.
- (4) \mathcal{Z}_0 is the class of non-amenable groups.
- (5) Let $G = G_1 *_A G_2$ where $A \hookrightarrow G_1$ and $A \hookrightarrow G_2$. Suppose that $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_d$ and $A \in \mathcal{Z}_{d-1}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{Z}_d$.
- (6) Let $G = H *_A = \langle H, t \mid \theta(a) = a^t \rangle$ where $A \subset H$ and $\theta : A \hookrightarrow H$. Suppose that $H \in \mathcal{Z}_d$ and $A \in \mathcal{Z}_{d-1}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{Z}_d$.

Proof. (1) \sim (4) are proved in [4, p.448]. (5), (6) are clear by Mayer-Vietoris sequences ([1, p.178]). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

When G is non-amenable, then $G_0, H_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ by Lemma 3.2 (1), (3), (4). Moreover $G_1, H_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ by Lemma 3.2 (2) or (6). Accordingly $G_\Psi \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ by Lemma 3.2 (6).

In particular when G is finitely presented and non-amenable, G_Ψ is a counterexample to the algebraic version of the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture for finitely presented groups by Corollary 2.5. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

If G has Haagerup property, then $\bigoplus_{-K \leq k \leq K} G^{(k)}$ has Haagerup property, too. So

$\bigoplus_{-K \leq k \leq K} G^{(k)}$ satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture ([6, p.43]). G_0 and H_0 satisfy the

Baum-Connes conjecture because G_0 and H_0 are directed unions of $\bigoplus_{-K \leq k \leq K} G^{(k)}$ for

all $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ ([6, p.38]). G_1 and H_1 satisfy the Baum-Connes conjecture because G_1 and H_1 are HNN-extensions of G_0 and H_0 respectively ([6, p.40]). Therefore G_Ψ satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture because G_Ψ is an HNN-extension of G_1 on H_1 ([6, p.40]). \square

Remark 3.3.

Unfortunately any G_Ψ can not be a counterexample to the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture in the case when BG are closed manifolds because if G is not trivial, then the cohomological dimension of G_Ψ is infinite and if G is trivial, G_Ψ satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture.

4 The group homology of G_Ψ coming from a free group

In this section, we calculate the group homology of G_Ψ coming from a free group G . Let the generators of G be $s_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5.

We will follow five steps.

Firstly we can decide the group homology of G_0 , H_0 and K_0 by

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(G; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong 0 \quad (n \geq 2), \\ H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle s_i (1 \leq i \leq m) \rangle, \\ H_0(G; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

and Künneth formula. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(G_0 = H_0; \mathbb{Z}) &= \left\langle \begin{array}{l} s_{i_1}^{(k_1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)} \\ (1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m, k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n) \end{array} \right\rangle \quad (n \geq 1), \\ H_0(G_0 = H_0; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) &= \left\langle \begin{array}{l} s_{i_1}^{(k_1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)} (1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m, \\ k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n, k_j \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}) \end{array} \right\rangle \quad (n \geq 1), \\ H_0(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly we will decide the group homology of G_1 . $G_1 = G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of $G_0 = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(k)}$ by the isomorphism

$$\theta : G_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0; s_i^{(k)} \mapsto s_i^{(k+1)}.$$

Thus we can use a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(G_1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\alpha_* := \theta_* - id_*$.

Claim 4.1. α_n is injective for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let us put $\mathbf{k} := (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$, $\mathbf{1} := (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ and $s_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{k}} := s_{i_1}^{(k_1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$.

Now $\alpha_n(s_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{k}}) = s_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{k}+1} - s_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{k}}$. If $\alpha_n(\sum \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{i}} s_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{k}}) = 0$, then $\sum (\lambda_{\mathbf{k}-1}^{\mathbf{i}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{i}}) s_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. Hence $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{i}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{k}-1}^{\mathbf{i}}$. Because $H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{i}} = 0 \ (\forall \mathbf{i}, \forall \mathbf{k})$. \square

Because $\alpha_n(s_i^k) = s_i^{k+1} - s_i^k$ and

$$H_n(G_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) / \alpha_n(H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z}))$$

for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(G_1; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \left\langle [s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m, 0 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n) \right\rangle (n \geq 2), \\ H_1(G_1; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong G_1/[G_1, G_1] = \langle s_i (1 \leq i \leq m), a \rangle, \\ H_0(G_1; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]$ denotes the equivalence class of $s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$ in $H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) / \alpha_n(H_n(G_0; \mathbb{Z}))$.

Thirdly we will decide the group homology of H_1 . $H_1 := H_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of $H_0 = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(2l)} \oplus G^{(2l+1)}$ by the isomorphism

$$\theta' : H_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0; s_i^{(k)} \mapsto s_i^{(k+2)}.$$

Thus we can use a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(H_0; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha'_n} H_n(H_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(H_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\alpha'_n := \theta'_* - id_*$. We have the following by the same argument as that in the proof of Claim 4.1.

Claim 4.2. α'_n is injective for $n \geq 1$.

Because $\alpha'_n(s_i^k) = s_i^{k+2} - s_i^k$ and

$$H_n(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(H_0; \mathbb{Z}) / \alpha'_n(H_n(H_0; \mathbb{Z}))$$

for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \left\langle [s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]' \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m, 0 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n) \right\rangle (n \geq 2), \\ H_1(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H_1/[H_1, H_1] = \langle s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)} (1 \leq i \leq m), b \rangle, \\ H_0(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]'$ and $[s_{i_1}^{(1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]'$ denote the equivalence classes of $s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$ and $s_{i_1}^{(1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$ in $H_n(H_0; \mathbb{Z}) / \alpha'_n(H_n(H_0; \mathbb{Z}))$

respectively.

Fourthly we will decide the group homology of K_1 . $K_1 := K_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an HNN-extension of $K_0 = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} G^{(3l)} \oplus G^{(3l+1)}$ by the isomorphism

$$\theta'' : K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0; s_i^{(k)} \mapsto s_i^{(k+3)}.$$

Thus we can use a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n''} H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(K_1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\alpha_*'' := \theta'' - id_*$. We have the following by the same argument as that in the proof of Claim 4.1.

Claim 4.3. α_n'' is injective for $n \geq 1$.

Because $\alpha_n''(s_i^{\mathbf{k}}) = s_i^{\mathbf{k}+3} - s_i^{\mathbf{k}} (k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n, k_j \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3})$ and

$$H_n(K_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) / \alpha_n''(H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}))$$

for $n \geq 2$,

$$H_n(K_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \left\langle \begin{array}{l} [s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]'' (1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m, \\ 0 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n, k_j \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}) \\ [s_{i_1}^{(1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]'' (1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m, \\ 1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n, k_j \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}) \end{array} \right\rangle (n \geq 2),$$

$$H_1(K_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_1 / [K_1, K_1] = \langle s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)} (1 \leq i \leq m), c \rangle,$$

$$H_0(K_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

where $[s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]''$ and $[s_{i_1}^{(1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}]''$ denote the equivalence classes of $s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$ and $s_{i_1}^{(1)} \times s_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \times \cdots \times s_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$ in $H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}) / \alpha_n''(H_n(K_0; \mathbb{Z}))$ respectively.

Finally we will calculate the group homology of G_2 . G_Ψ is an HNN-extension of G_1 by the isomorphism

$$\phi : H_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1; s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)}, b \mapsto s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)}, c.$$

Thus we can use a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta_n} H_n(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(H_1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\beta_* := \phi_* - i_*$. We use $\mathbf{l} := (0, l_2, \dots, l_n)$, $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$, $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n = 0, 1, q_1 < 2l_2 + q_2 < \cdots < 2l_n + q_n)$. Since $\beta_n([s_i^{\mathbf{2l+q}}]') = [s_i^{\mathbf{3l+q}}] - [s_i^{\mathbf{2l+q}}]$, $\beta_n([s_i^{\mathbf{2l}}]') =$

$\beta_n([s_i^{2^{1+1}}]')$. Thus $\text{Ker } \beta_n \supset \langle [s_i^{2^{1+1}}]' - [s_i^{2^1}]' (0 < 2l_2 < \dots < 2l_n) \rangle$. Hence $\text{Ker } \beta_n$ has infinite rank for $n \geq 2$. Thus $H_{n+1}(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite rank, too. Also since $\text{Ker } \beta_1 = \langle s_i^{(0)}, s_i^{(1)} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m}$ and $H_2(G_1; \mathbb{Z})/\beta_2(H_2(H_1; \mathbb{Z})) \cong \langle [s_{i_1}^{(0)} \times s_{i_2}^{(1)}] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2}$, $H_2(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m+m^2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \text{ has infinite rank } (\forall n \geq 3), \\ H_2(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m+m^2}, \\ H_1(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \cong G_\Psi/[G_\Psi, G_\Psi] = \langle s_i (1 \leq i \leq m), t \rangle, \\ H_0(G_\Psi; \mathbb{Z}) & \cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let G_2 be G_Ψ . In this section, we proved that for $n = 0, 1, 2$, G_n coming from a free group of rank $m \geq 1$ is in \mathcal{F}_n and the p -th group homology of G_n has infinite rank for any $p \geq n + 1$. It is known when n is a non-negative integer, then $\mathcal{F}_n \supsetneq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ ([2]). Here we will formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.4.

When n is a non-negative integer, then there is $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$ of which the p -th group homology has infinite rank for any $p \geq n + 1$.

The author does not know whether this is true or not except for the case $n = 0, 1, 2$.

References

- [1] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate texts in Mathematics **87**, Springer 1982
- [2] K. S. Brown, Finiteness properties of groups, in: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **44**, 45-75 North-Holland, 1985
- [3] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, in: *Geometric Group Theory* (G. Niblo and M. Roller, eds), *London Math Soc. Lecture Note Ser* **182**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993
- [4] W. Lück, *L²-Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-theory*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* **44**, Springer 2002
- [5] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* **89**, Springer 1977
- [6] G. Mislin and A. Valette, *Proper Group Actions and the Baum-Connes conjecture*, *Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona*, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel·Boston·Berlin, 2003

SHIN-ICHI OGUNI, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan