

# KO-theory of complex Stiefel manifolds

Daisuke KISHIMOTO, Akira KONO and Akihiro OHSITA

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to determine the  $KO^*$ -groups of complex Stiefel manifolds  $V_{n,q}$  which is  $q$ -frames in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . We compute it by using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of  $KO^*(V_{n,q})$  and obtain the following.

**Theorem .** Let  $P(t)$  be the polynomial  $\prod_{i=n-q+1}^n (1+t^{2i-1})$ ,  $Q(t)$  be the following and  $a_k, b_k$  be the sum of coefficients of  $t^{4i+k}$  in  $P(t)$ ,  $t^{8i+k+1}$  in  $Q(t)$  respectively.

$$Q(t) = \begin{cases} (1+t^{2(n-q)+1})(1+t^{2n-1}) \prod_{i=n-q+2}^{n-2} (1+t^{4i}) & (n, q) = (2k, 2l) \\ (1+t^{2(n-q)+1}) \prod_{i=n-q+2}^{n-1} (1+t^{4i}) & (n, q) = (2k+1, 2l) \\ (1+t^{2n-1}) \prod_{i=n-q+1}^{n-2} (1+t^{4i}) & (n, q) = (2k, 2l+1) \\ \prod_{i=n-q+1}^{n-1} (1+t^{4i}) & (n, q) = (2k+1, 2l+1) \end{cases}$$

Then the  $KO^i$ -groups of  $V_{n,q}$  is  $r\mathbf{Z} \oplus s\mathbf{Z}_2$  for  $(r, s)$  below.

$i$	0	-1	-2	-3
$(r, s)$	$(a_0, b_{-7} + b_0)$	$(a_{-1}, b_{-1} + b_0)$	$(a_{-2}, b_{-1} + b_{-2})$	$(a_{-3}, b_{-3} + b_{-2})$
$i$	-4	-5	-6	-7
$(r, s)$	$(a_0, b_{-3} + b_{-4})$	$(a_{-1}, b_{-5} + b_{-4})$	$(a_{-2}, b_{-5} + b_{-6})$	$(a_{-3}, b_{-7} + b_{-6})$

## 2 The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

First we recall that the coefficient ring of  $KO$ -theory is that

$$KO^* = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha, x, \beta, \beta^{-1}]/(2\alpha, \alpha^3, \alpha x, x^2 - 4\beta),$$

where  $|\alpha| = 1$ ,  $|x| = 4$  and  $|\beta| = 8$ .

Let  $X$  be a finite CW-complex. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of  $KO^*(X)$  is the spectral sequence with  $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(X; KO^q)$  converging to  $KO^*(X)$ . It is well known that the differential  $d_2$  of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of  $KO^*(X)$  is given by the following. (See [F])

$$d_2^{*,q} = \begin{cases} Sq^2 \pi_2 & q \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \\ Sq^2 & q \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\pi_2$  is the modulo 2 reduction.

In this paper we compute the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of  $KO^*(X)$  with  $X$  in two special classes of CW-complexes.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the class of CW-complexes with only even cells and  $\mathcal{O}$  be the one with only odd cells and 0-cells. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of  $KO^*(X)$  for  $X$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  is considered in [H-K]. It is easily seen that [HK, Proposition 1] is valid for a CW-complex in  $\mathcal{O}$  and we have the following.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a finite CW-complex in either  $\mathcal{E}$  or  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $E_r(X)$  be the  $r$ -th term of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of  $KO^*(X)$ . Then we have the following.*

1.  $E_3^{p,-1}(X) \cong H^p(H^*(X; \mathbf{Z}_2); Sq^2)$ .
2. Let  $d_r$  be the first non-trivial differential for  $r \geq 3$ .
  - (a)  $r \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .
  - (b) There exists  $x \in E_r^{*,0}(X)$  such that  $\alpha x \neq 0$  and  $\alpha d_r x \neq 0$ .

## 3 The $Sq^2$ -cohomology of $V_{n,q}$

It is well known that  $V_{n,q} \simeq U(n)/U(n-q)$  and

$$H^*(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \bigwedge (e_{2(n-q)+1}, e_{2(n-q)+3}, \dots, e_{2n-1}),$$

where  $U(k)$  is the  $k$ -dimensional unitary group and  $|e_i| = i$ . Since  $Sq^2 e_{4i-1} = e_{4i+1}$  ( $4i+1 \leq 2n-1$ ), we have the following.

**Proposition 2.**  *$H^*(H^*(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z}_2); Sq^2)$  is the exterior algebra generated by the elements in the table below.*

$(n, q) = (2k, 2l)$	$e_{2(n-q)+1}, e_{2(n-q)+3}, e_{2(n-q)+5}, \dots, e_{2n-5}, e_{2n-3}, e_{2n-1}$
$(n, q) = (2k+1, 2l)$	$e_{2(n-q)+1}, e_{2(n-q)+3}, \dots, e_{2n-3}, e_{2n-1}$
$(n, q) = (2k, 2l+1)$	$e_{2(n-q)+1}, e_{2(n-q)+3}, \dots, e_{2n-5}, e_{2n-3}, e_{2n-1}$
$(n, q) = (2k+1, 2l+1)$	$e_{2(n-q)+1}, e_{2(n-q)+3}, e_{2(n-q)+5}, \dots, e_{2n-3}, e_{2n-1}$

## 4 Collapse problem of $E_r(V_{n,q})$

Let  $G_{q,k}$  be the complex Grassmannian of  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbf{C}^q$  which is the homogeneous space  $U(q)/U(k) \times U(q-k)$ . Let  $\text{ad}_k : U(k) \rightarrow GL(k^2, \mathbf{R})$  and  $\text{can}_k : U(k) \rightarrow GL(2k, \mathbf{R})$  be the adjoint and the canonical representation. By abuse of notation,  $\text{ad}_k \oplus m\text{can}_k$  denotes the real vector bundle associated to the representation  $\text{ad}_k \oplus m\text{can}_k$  and the  $U(k)$ -principal bundle  $U(k) \rightarrow V_{q,k} \rightarrow G_{q,k}$ .

In [M] it is shown that there exists a stable homotopy equivalence as follows.

$$V_{n,q} \simeq_s \bigvee_{k=1}^q G_{q,k}^{\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k}, \quad (*)$$

where  $G_{q,k}^{\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k}$  is the Thom space of the real vector bundle  $\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k$  on  $G_{q,k}$ . Then  $E_r(V_{n,q})$  splits into  $E_r(G_{q,k}^{\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k})$ . Note that  $G_{q,k}^{\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k}$  is either in  $\mathcal{E}$  or in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $E \rightarrow G_{q,k}$  be a real vector bundle with  $w_2(E) = 0$  and either  $k$  be even or  $q$  be odd. Then  $E_r(G_{q,k}^E)$  collapses at the third term.*

*Proof.* By Thom isomorphism, we have

$$E_2(G_{q,k}^E) \cong KO^* \oplus \phi_E H^*(G_{q,k}; KO^*) \cong KO^* \oplus \phi_E E_2(G_{q,k}),$$

where  $\phi_E$  is the Thom class of  $E$ . Since  $d_2\phi_E = Sq^2\pi_2\phi_E = w_2(E)\pi_2\phi_E = 0$ , we have

$$E_3(G_{q,k}^E) \cong KO^* \oplus \phi_E E_3(G_{q,k}).$$

It is shown in [HK] that  $E_r(G_{q,k})$  collapses at the third term for any  $k, q$  and  $H^*(H^*(G_{q,k}; \mathbf{Z}_2); Sq^2)$  has only elements of  $8i$  degree if  $k$  is even or  $q$  is odd. Then we see  $d_r\phi_E = 0$  for  $r \geq 3$  by degree argument and Proposition 1, 2, (a). Therefore we obtain that  $d_r = 0$  for  $r \geq 3$  by Proposition 1, 2, (b).  $\square$

By the naturality of the Thom class, we have the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $E \rightarrow G_{q,k}$  be a real vector bundle with  $w_2(E) = 0$ , either  $k$  be even or  $q$  be odd and  $\iota : G_{q-1,k} \rightarrow G_{q,k}$  be the natural inclusion. Then  $E_r(G_{q-1,k}^{\iota^*E})$  collapses at the third term.*

**Lemma 1.**  $E_r(V_{n,q})$  collapses at the third term.

*Proof.* We show that the elements of  $E_3^{*, -1}(V_{n,q}) \cong H^*(H^*(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z}_2); Sq^2)$  in the table of Proposition 2 are permanent cycles.

It is easily seen that  $w_2(\text{can}_k) \neq 0$  and

$$w_2(\text{ad}_k) \begin{cases} \neq 0 & k \text{ is even} \\ = 0 & k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $w_2(\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k) = w_2(\text{ad}_k) + (n-q)w_2(\text{can}_k)$  and  $H^2(G_{q,k}; \mathbf{Z}_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ ,  $E_r(G_{q,k}^{\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k})$  collapses at  $r = 3$  when  $n - q$  is even and  $k$  is odd, or,  $n - q$  is odd and  $k$  is even by Proposition 3 and Corollary 1. Note that  $G_{q,k}^{\text{ad}_k \oplus (n-q)\text{can}_k}$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}$ ) if  $k$  is even (resp. odd) and that  $E_r^{2l+1, *}(X) = 0$  ( $E_r^{2l, *}(X) = 0$ ) if  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}$ ), then we see that  $x \in E_3^{*, -1}(V_{n,q})$  is a permanent cycle if  $n - q$  is even and  $|x|$  is odd, or,  $n - q$  is odd and  $|x|$  is even. Therefore  $e_{2(n-q)+1}$  is a permanent cycle. We also see that  $e_{4i-1}e_{4i+1}$  is permanent cycle for any  $n, q$  by considering the homomorphisms  $E_3(V_{n,q}) \rightarrow E_3(V_{n,q+1})$  and  $E_3(V_{n+1,q+1}) \rightarrow E_3(V_{n,q})$  induced by the natural projection  $V_{n,q+1} \rightarrow V_{n,q}$  and the natural inclusion  $V_{n,q} \rightarrow V_{n+1,q+1}$ . Note that we have the homomorphism  $E_3(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow E_3(V_{n,q})$  induced from the projection  $V_{n,q} \rightarrow V_{n,1} = S^{2n-1}$ , then we see that  $e_{2n-1}$  is the permanent cycle.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem

It is easily seen that  $K^n(X)$  is torsion free and concentrated in even (odd) dimension, if  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}$ ). Consider the Bott sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K^n(X) \rightarrow KO^{n+2}(X) \rightarrow KO^{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} K^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where  $\mathbf{c} : KO^i(X) \rightarrow K^i(X)$  is the complexification map. Since  $\mathbf{rc} = 2$  we have the following, where  $\mathbf{r} : K^i(X) \rightarrow KO^i(X)$  is the realization map. (See [H].)

**Proposition 4.** *If  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} KO^{2i+1}(X) &\cong s\mathbf{Z}_2 \\ KO^{2i}(X) &\cong r\mathbf{Z} \oplus s\mathbf{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

*If  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{O}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} KO^{2i}(X) &\cong s\mathbf{Z}_2 \\ KO^{2i-1}(X) &\cong r\mathbf{Z} \oplus s\mathbf{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem.* By Proposition 4 we have

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=2n-1} E_\infty^{p,q}(X) \cong \bigoplus_i E_\infty^{2n+8i,-1} \cong KO^{2n-1}(X), \text{ for } X \text{ in } \mathcal{E}$$

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=2n} E_{\infty}^{p,q}(X) \cong \bigoplus_i E_{\infty}^{2n+8i+1,-1} \cong KO^{2n}(X), \text{ for } X \text{ in } \mathcal{O}.$$

Note that the Thom space of a vector bundle on  $G_{q,k}$  as in the stable splitting (\*) is either in  $\mathcal{E}$  or  $\mathcal{O}$  and that  $E_r^{2i-1,*}(X) = 0$  ( $E_r^{2i,*}(X) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ ) if  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}$ ). Then we obtain that  $KO^i(V_{n,q}) \cong r\mathbf{Z} \oplus s\mathbf{Z}_2$  for  $(r,s)$  below, where  $s_k = \sum_i \text{rank} H^{4i+k}(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $t_k = \sum_i \text{dim}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} E_{\infty}^{8i+k+1,-1}(V_{n,q})$ .

$i$	0	-1	-2	-3
$(r, s)$	$(s_0, t_{-7} + t_0)$	$(s_{-1}, t_{-1} + t_0)$	$(s_{-2}, t_{-1} + t_{-2})$	$(s_{-3}, t_{-3} + t_{-2})$
$i$	-4	-5	-6	-7
$(r, s)$	$(s_0, t_{-3} + t_{-4})$	$(s_{-1}, t_{-5} + t_{-4})$	$(s_{-2}, t_{-5} + t_{-6})$	$(s_{-3}, t_{-7} + t_{-6})$

It is easily seen that the Poincaré series  $P_t(H^*(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z})) = \sum_i \text{rank} H^*(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z}) t^i$ ,  $P_t(E_{\infty}^{*, -1}(V_{n,q})) = \sum_i \text{dim}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} E_{\infty}^{i, -1}(V_{n,q}) t^i = \sum_i \text{dim}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} H^i(H^*(V_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z}_2); Sq^2) t^i$  are  $P(t), Q(t)$  respectively by Proposition 2. Then we have  $a_i = s_i, b_i = t_i$  and complete the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [F] M. Fujii,  $KO$ -groups of projective spaces, Osaka J. Math., 4 (1967), 141-49.
- [HK] S. Hara and A. Kono,  $KO$ -theory of complex Grassmannians, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 31 (1991), 827-33.
- [H] S.G. Hogger, On  $KO$  theory of Grassmannians, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 20 (1969), 447-63.
- [M] H. Miller, Stable splitting of Stiefel manifolds, Topology, 24 (1985), 411-19.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University  
 Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University  
 Osaka University of Economics