# Near-parabolic Renormalization and Rigidity 

Mitsuhiro Shishikura<br>Kyoto University

Complex Dynamics and Related Topics
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University
September 3, 2007

## Irrationally indifferent fixed points

We consider holomorphic functions of one variable with fixed point $\mathrm{z}=0$.

$$
f(z)=\lambda z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots
$$

If $|\lambda|=1, \mathrm{z}=0$ is called indifferent fixed point.
If $\lambda$ is a root of unity, parabolic; otherwise irrationally indifferent.
$\lambda=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$
If conjugate to a rotation (linearizable), then it has a Siegel disk.
Otherwise, very complicated invariant sets (hedgehogs).
Earlier works: Siegel, Bruno, Herman, Yoccoz, Perez Marco, Petersen, McMullen, Buff, Chéritat, ...

Consider

$$
f_{0}(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+O\left(z^{3}\right) \quad a_{2} \neq 0
$$

and its perturbation $f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$

Linearizability of irrationally indifferent fixed points
Siegel (under Diophantine cond.), Bruno (under Bruno condition), Yoccoz (a new proof using renormalization and converse);
Cremer (nonlinearizable ex.)

## Boundary of Siegel disks (Jordan curve in known cases)

Herman (quadratic polynomial, bouded type rotation number => J. curve)
Petersen (quad. poly., bouded type $=>$ locally connected J , measure 0 ) Herman-Yoccoz, Petersen-Zackeri (weaker cond. for J. curve w. crit. pt.) Herman (quadratic polynomial, no critical point on bdry)
Buff-Cheritat (various smoothness)

## Universality/Rigidity at the boundary

Manton-Nauenberg (experiments, heuristic argument)
McMullen (quadratic-like map => rigidity and differentiability)
This talk (a new class , high type rotation number => rigidity and differentiability)

## Physicists' motivation

KAM torus


Chaos

Physicists expect a "universal phenomenon" at critical parameter
Simpler model (no parameter, only in the phase space)
Irrationally indifferent fixed point

$$
f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \quad \text { holomorphic near } 0
$$



Siegel Disk boundary
Boundary of Siegel Disk is the closure of critical orbit (for polynomials)
Physicists expect a "universal phenomenon" at the boundary of SD
Manton-Nauenberg (physicists), McMullen (for bounded type)

Theorem (McMullen). Let $f$ and $\hat{f}$ be quadratic-like maps with Siegel disks of period one with the same rotation number $\alpha$ of bounded type. Then $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are conjugate by a quasiconformal mapping $\varphi$ which is $C^{1+\gamma}$-conformal on the boundary of the Siegel disk, i.e.

$$
\varphi(z)=\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)+A\left(z-z_{0}\right)+O\left(\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{1+\gamma}\right) \text { as } z \rightarrow z_{0}
$$

where $z_{0}$ is on the boundary of the Siegel disk and $A$ is a non-zero constant.
Theorem. Let $f=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} h$ and $\hat{f}=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \hat{h}$ where $h$ and $\hat{h}$ are in the class $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ which will be defined later, and the rotation number $\alpha$ is of high type ( $N$ ) with sufficiently large $N$ (also defined later). Then $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are asymptotically conformally conjugate on the closure of critical orbit. Moreover the conjugacy is $C^{1+\gamma}$-conformal on the critical orbit. Furthermore there exists $0<\lambda<1$ such that if the contunued fraction coefficients of $\alpha$ satisfies $a_{n} \leq C \lambda^{n}$ with some $C>0$ then the conjugacy is $C^{1+\gamma^{\prime}}$-conformal on the closure of the critical orbit.

Remark. The closure of critical orbit contains boundary of Siegel disk. The above theorem follows from Rigidity result (Theorem 5) via a differentiability result on quasiconformal mappings.

## Differentiable functions


graph looks like a line

In small scale...
homeomorphism: can do anything
quasi-symmetric, quasi-conformal: bounded ratio

asymptotically conformal: ratio -> 1
$C^{1+\alpha}$ : ratio -> 1 "fast"
For conjugacies between dynamical systems... compare orbits
to see details, need to iterate many times


## Return map



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} f & =(\text { first return map of } f) \text { after rescaling } \\
& =g \circ f^{k} \circ g^{-1} \quad(\text { if return time } \equiv k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Renormalization
high iterates of $f \quad \longleftrightarrow$ fewer iterates of $\mathcal{R} f$
fine orbit structure for $f \longleftrightarrow$ large scale orbit structure for $\mathcal{R} f$ Successive construction of $\mathcal{R} f, \mathcal{R}^{2} f, \ldots$, helps to understand the dynamics of $f$ (orbits, invariant sets, rigidity, bifurcation, ...)

If $\mathcal{R} f=f$ (fixed point of renormalization), then $f=g \circ f^{k} \circ g^{-1}$ (fixed point equation)

## Renormalization and Rigidity (an oversimplified view)

Suppose $f$ and $\tilde{f}$ have "the same combinatorial type" and admit successive construction of renormalizations.

$\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ "bounded" $\longrightarrow f$ and $\tilde{f}$ quasi-conformally conjugate $d\left(f_{n}, \tilde{f}_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \longrightarrow h_{n} \rightarrow$ linear $\longrightarrow \begin{gathered}\text { conjugacy is asymptotically } \\ \text { conformal or smooth, etc. }\end{gathered}$

## Yoccoz renormalization for Siegel-Bruno Theorem

$f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z+\ldots, \sum_{n} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{q_{n}}<\infty$ where $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}} \rightarrow \alpha$ (convergents)
$\Longrightarrow f$ is conjugate to $z \mapsto e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z$
Yoccoz's proof: construct the sequence of renormalizations $f_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=f, \quad \alpha_{0}=\alpha, \quad \alpha_{n+1}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& f_{n}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha_{n}} z+\ldots \rightsquigarrow f_{n+1}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha_{n+1}} z+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$



## Yoccoz renormalization for Siegel-Bruno Theorem



Cylinder/Near-parabolic renormalization

$\mathcal{R} f$ can be defined when $f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z+\ldots$ is a small perturbation of $z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots\left(a_{2} \neq 0\right)$ and $|\arg \alpha|<\pi / 4$.

## Renormalization: The Picture

Write $f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z+O\left(z^{2}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} h(z)$ where $h(z)=z+O\left(z^{2}\right)$. $f \longleftrightarrow(\alpha, h)$
Then $\mathcal{R} f(z)=e^{-2 \pi i \frac{1}{\alpha}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} h(z)$ where $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} h=\operatorname{Exp}^{\sharp} \circ E_{\left(e^{2 \pi i \alpha} h\right)^{\circ}}\left(\operatorname{Exp}^{\sharp}\right)^{-1}$. Hence $\mathcal{R}:(\alpha, h) \mapsto\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}, \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} h\right) \quad$ (skew product)


## Horn map and Parabolic Renormalization



Perturbation (Douady-Hubbard-Lavaurs) $f^{\prime}(0)=e^{2 \pi i a}, \alpha$ small $|\arg \alpha|<\frac{\pi}{4}$

$E_{f}$ depends continuously on $f$ (after a suitable normalization)

## Main Theorems 1-4 (with H. Inou)

We define a class of functions $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, (and $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}$ ) such that if $f \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, then $f$ is holomorphic, $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$, $f$ has a unique critical point $c_{f}$ in its domain of definition and the critical value $f\left(c_{f}\right)=$ $-\frac{4}{27}$ (fixed). Moreover $f^{\prime \prime}(0) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{F}_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{0}} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}$.
Moreover $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ is "holomorphic" and $\mathcal{R}_{0}\left(z+z^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$.
Theorem 2. For small $\alpha(\in \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}$.
Hence there exists a large $N$ such that if $f=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} h$ with $\alpha$ of high type $(N)$ and $h \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, then the sequence of renormalizations

$$
f=f_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} f_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} f_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} f_{3} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \ldots
$$

is defined so that $f_{n}=e^{2 \pi i \alpha_{n}} h_{n}(z), \quad h_{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{1} .\left(\right.$ Here $\alpha_{n+1}=\left\|\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}\right\|$ and $h_{n+1}=\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{n}} h_{n}$, possibly after complex conjugation.)
"Irrational numbers of high type" ( $N$ )

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{a_{1} \pm \frac{1}{a_{2} \pm \frac{1}{a_{3} \pm \frac{1}{\ddots}}}} \quad \text { where } a_{i} \geq N
$$

## Definition of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$

Let $P(z)=z(1+z)^{2}$. We take specific simply connected open sets $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ with $0 \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset V^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
f=P \circ \varphi^{-1}: \varphi(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} & \begin{array}{c}
\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text { is univalent } \\
\varphi(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(0)=1
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Define $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ with $V$ replaced by $V^{\prime}$.
$P(0)=0, \quad P^{\prime}(0)=1 \quad$ critical points: $-\frac{1}{3}$ and $-1 \quad$ critical values: $P\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)=-\frac{4}{27}$ and $P(-1)=0$

$V$ slightly smaller domain than $V^{\prime}$

Theorem 3. After modifying the definition slightly, $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is in one to one correspondence with the Teichmüller space of a punctured disk. With respect to the Teichmüller distance (which is complete), $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ is a uniform contraction.

Theorem 4. The same statement for small $\alpha(\in \mathbb{R})$.
Hence when restricted to the subset where $|\alpha|$ is small, the renormalization $\mathcal{R}$ is hyperbolic.

Teichmüller space is like the unit disk with Poincaré metric. holomorphic self map does not expand the distance.
(Royden-Gardiner Theorem: Teichmüller distance = Kobayashi distance)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{0}} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}
$$

Estimate of contraction of $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1}$ via cotangent space which is the space of integrable holomorphic quadratic differentials. + modulus-area inequality

## Applications

Theorem. Under the assumption of Theorem 2, the critical orbit stays in the domain of $f$ and can be iterated infinitely many times. Moreover if $f$ is (a part of) a rational map, then the critical orbit is not dense.

Theorem (Buff-Chéritat). There exists an irrational number $\alpha$ such that the Julia set of the quadratic polynomial $P_{\alpha}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} z+z^{2}$ has positive Lebesgue measure.

Theorem. Suppose $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2, with the same rotation number $\alpha$. Then they have small periodic cycles $\zeta_{n}$ and $\zeta_{n}^{\prime}$ around 0 with period $q_{n}$. Let $\lambda\left(\zeta_{n}\right), \lambda\left(\zeta_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ be their multipliers. The differences

## Application 2: Rigidity

Theorem 5 (Rigidity). If $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\alpha$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2, then there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\varphi$ which conjugates $f=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} h$ and $\tilde{f}=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \tilde{h}$ along their critical orbits, and asymptotically conformal on the closure of critical orbits.

Within this class of maps, the same rotation number implies a better conjugacy.

$g_{n}$ 's, $\tilde{g}_{n}$ 's are "exponential-like" (very expanding).

## Various Renormalizations

Feigenbaum

proper subintervals
-> Cantor set

Circle map

partition of interval

Near-parabolic

covering by sector or croissant-like domains gluing/identification needed to define the renormalization

## Return to Theorem 5

Theorem 5 (Rigidity). If $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\alpha$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2, then there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\varphi$ which conjugates $f=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} h$ and $\tilde{f}=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \tilde{h}$ along their critical orbits, and asymptotically conformal on the closure of critical orbits.

$g_{n}$ 's, $\tilde{g}_{n}$ 's are "exponential-like" (very expanding).

Need to reconstruct the dynamics of $f$ in subdomains (with control on geometry) from $f_{n}=\mathcal{R}^{n} f$. Because the relation between $f$ and $f_{n}=\mathcal{R}^{n} f$ is less obvious.

## Difficulty in proving rigidity for irrationally indiff. fixed pts.

Knowing $\mathcal{R} f$, what can be said about $f$ ?
How to transfer information (e.g. geometry) on $\mathcal{R}^{n} f$ to previous generations of renormalizations $\mathcal{R}^{n-1} f, \mathcal{R}^{n-2} f, \ldots, f$ ?

Fundamental domains (and their boundary curves) are not unique.

Need to cover previous fund. regions with next generation fund. regions WITH OVERLAP. (not partition)

Need to reconstruct the dynamics of $f$ from that of $\mathcal{R} f$ so that one can understand $f$ better.

this is like ...

## Thank you!

