

The formal model of semi-infinite flag manifolds

Syu Kato

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

vICM 2022

July 11th, 2022

Flag manifolds

The flag manifold X of a (simply conn.) simple group G over \mathbb{k} is:

- ▶ the largest compact G -homogeneous manifold (over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$);
- ▶ realizes all finite-dimensional G -modules in a nice way (Borel-Weil, Demazure CF, PRV-Kumar theorem, SMT...);
- ▶ a source of concrete realization of the classifying spaces (\rightsquigarrow characteristic classes and equivariant cohomology);
- ▶ categorifies the induction process in representation theory (localization theorem, Deligne-Lusztig theory when $\mathbb{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$).

These four items are somehow mutually connected.

Affine flag varieties

In 1980/90s, the extension of the above results to Kac-Moody groups are pursued. This includes:

- ▶ construction of affine flag manifolds $(X_{\text{af}}, \mathbf{X}_{\text{af}})$ via various loop groups/Kac-Moody groups, incl. affine Grassmannian Gr_G ;
- ▶ realization of integrable highest weight modules (Borel-Weil, Demazure CF, PRV theorem, SMT...);
- ▶ uniformizes moduli spaces of geometric data on curves;
- ▶ Kazhdan-Lusztig algorithm and the Lusztig program for affine Kac-Moody algebras.

As we have

$$\text{Gr}_{GL(2)} \cong \frac{\{A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}((z)), \det A \neq 0\}}{\{B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}[[z]], \det B \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]^\times\}},$$

this is not the field extension of the flag manifold $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Semi-infinite combinatorics and semi-infinite flag manifolds

In the meantime, objects of the form (when $G = GL(2)$)

$$X_{\frac{\infty}{2}} = \frac{\{A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}((z)), \det A \neq 0\}}{\{B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, d \in \mathbb{C}((z)), \det B \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]^{\times}\}}$$

gradually attracted attention:

- ▶ Lusztig (1981,1990): generic Bruhat order (\rightsquigarrow orbit closures);
- ▶ Feigin-Frenkel (1990): affine Lie algebra at $-h^{\vee}$ on $X_{\frac{\infty}{2}}$;
- ▶ Drinfeld-Finkelberg-Mirković (1999) constructed a variant of $X_{\frac{\infty}{2}}$ as the space of rational maps;
- ▶ Arkhipov-Braverman-Bezrukavnikov-Gaitsgory-Mirković (2005) connected them to representation theory (of u_q);
- ▶ Givental-Lee (2005) and Braverman-Finkelberg (2014) connected them to quantum K -theory of $X = G/B$.

Semi-infinite flag manifolds

In order to equip semi-infinite flag manifolds with Hausdorff topology, we should consider a variant (when $G = GL(2)$):

$$\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}} = \frac{\{A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}((z)), \det A \neq 0\}}{\{B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\times, d \in \mathbb{C}((z))\}}$$

- ▶ Recorded in Finkelberg-Mirković (1999), but they mainly use quasi-map spaces (= the Drinfeld compactification of the space of maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$) and Zastava spaces ($\subset \mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$);
- ▶ The union of quasi-map spaces define a “Zariski” dense subset of $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$ (the ind-model of $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$);
- ▶ Being algebro-geometric object, one should be able to write $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$ down by its coordinate rings (the formal model of $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$);
- ▶ If one carry this out, then one might pursue loop analogues for the various subjects related to X .

The goal of this talk is to exhibit the status quo of such an idea.

Homogeneous coordinate ring of flag manifolds

G : simply connected simple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} \supset a maximal solvable (Borel) subgroup B and maximal torus $T \subset B$.

$$X = G/B = (G/N)/T, \quad N = [B, B] \quad (B = T \ltimes N)$$

is the flag variety of G .

$$\mathbb{C}[G/N] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} V_\lambda^*, \quad V_\lambda^* \otimes V_\mu^* \rightarrow V_{\lambda+\mu}^* \text{ is multi.}$$

where $P_+ \subset P$ is a submonoid of the character group P of T , and V_λ is a fin. dim'l irred. G -module with h.w. $\lambda \in P_+$. We have

$$X = (\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[G/N] \setminus \{\text{locus of non-free } T\text{-action}\})/T.$$

This is a general recipe to produce an algebraic variety from a tensor-compatible family of representations of an algebra.

Integrable modules of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$: Lie algebra of G with its untwisted affinization $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.
Analogue of fin. dim'l reps of \mathfrak{g} (or G) for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are integrable reps.

Simple root of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. A $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is integrable if such $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -actions integrate to \oplus fin. dim'l $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -actions.

Theorem (Chari, 1986, Chari-Pressley 2001)

Indec. integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with finite dim'l weight spaces are:

1. Integrable highest weight modules (c.f. Kac's book);
2. Integrable lowest weight modules (dual of the above);
3. Some level zero modules, including global Weyl modules (a maximal cyclic module with the same T -weight as V_λ).

Integrable h.w./l.w. modules describe the usual (thin, thick) affine flag varieties (Kac-Peterson, Kashiwara, Mokler, K).

Iwahori/current/loop algebras

Set $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} := [\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}] \cong \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathbb{C}d$. For the level zero modules, the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -action factors through $\mathfrak{g}[z^{\pm 1}] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}] \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}/(K=0)$.

We define the Iwahori and current algebras of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ as:

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}} := \text{Lie } B + \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]z \subset \mathfrak{g}[z] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z] \subset \mathfrak{g}[z^{\pm 1}].$$

They have gradings by d (or setting $\deg z = 1$).

- ▶ $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ localizes to $\mathfrak{g}[z^{\pm 1}]$, and share similar rep. theory;
- ▶ $\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ “generates” $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by adding $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ for each simple root \rightsquigarrow Demazure functors \mathcal{D}_i ($i \in I_{\text{af}}$: index of simple roots of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$);
- ▶ global Weyl modules \mathbb{W}_λ ($\lambda \in P_+$) of $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ must satisfy a recursive formula (for some $i_1, \dots, i_l \in I_{\text{af}}$):

$$\mathfrak{q}^\bullet \mathbb{W}_\lambda \cong (\mathcal{D}_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_{i_l})(\mathbb{W}_\lambda) =: \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{W}_\lambda) \quad \mathfrak{q}^\bullet \text{ is grading shift.}$$

(\mathcal{D}_w is a version of Macdonald operator)

Irreps of $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ or $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ are classified by Drinfeld polynomials, an enrichment of P_+ . The module \mathbb{W}_λ cares only their degrees.

Adjoint property and orthogonality relations

Proposition (“adjoint property”, Feigin-K-Makedonskyi 2020)

For suitable $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ -modules M, N and $i \in I_{\text{af}}$, we have:

$$\text{Ext}_{(\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}_i(M), N^*) \cong \text{Ext}_{(\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})}^{\bullet}(M, \mathcal{D}_i(N)^*).$$

Enhancement of Polo (1989), and also a part of affine version of Bezrukavnikov’s picture (see Khoroshkhin-K-Makedonskyi 2020+).

Theorem (“orthogonality”, BBCIKLM 2012–2015)

For each $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{g}[z], \mathfrak{h})}^i(\mathbb{W}_{\lambda}, W_{\mu}^*) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\delta_{i,0}} & (V_{\lambda} \cong V_{\mu}^*) \\ 0 & (\textit{else}) \end{cases}. \quad (1)$$

The vanishing part of (1) follows by comparing “eigenvalues” of \mathcal{D}_w transferred by the adjoint property (valid also for $\text{char} > 0$).

The ring R

Universal property of \mathbb{W}_λ induces a (unique degree 0) map

$$\mathbb{W}_{\lambda+\mu} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{W}_\mu \quad \lambda, \mu \in P_+.$$

This yields a (non-Noetherian) commutative algebra

$$R := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathbb{W}_\lambda^\vee \quad \bullet^\vee \text{ is the restricted dual.}$$

We have $G[[z]]/N[[z]] \subset \text{Spec } R$, where

$$E[[z]] := E(\mathbb{C}[[z]]) \quad \text{for an algebraic group } E \text{ over } \mathbb{C}.$$

The global Weyl module \mathbb{X}_λ of $\mathfrak{g}[z^{\pm 1}]$ contains \mathbb{W}_λ .

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{W}_\lambda \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}^\bullet \mathbb{W}_\lambda = \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{W}_\lambda) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{X}_\lambda) = \mathbb{X}_\lambda, \quad \bigcup_w \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{W}_\lambda) = \mathbb{X}_\lambda$$

by $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{X}_\lambda) = \mathbb{X}_\lambda$ for $i \in I_{\text{af}}$.

The formal model of semi-infinite flag manifolds

Dualizing, we obtain a projective system of commutative rings:

$$R \leftarrow \mathcal{D}_w^\dagger(R) \leftarrow \dots$$

We define

$$\mathbf{Q} := (\mathrm{Spec} R \setminus \{\text{non-free } T\text{-action}\})/T \subset \bigcup_w (\mathcal{D}_w^\dagger)^*(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}.$$

Theorem (K 2021)

The ind-scheme $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ coarsely (ind-)represents the functor

$$\mathrm{CommAlg}_{\mathbb{C}} \ni R \mapsto G(R((z)))/(T(R) \cdot N(R((z)))) \in \mathrm{Sets}.$$

In case $G = SL(2)$, we have

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2[[z]]) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2((z))) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}},$$

where \mathbb{P} is over \mathbb{C} . I.e. we need to treat z and z^{-1} differently.

Borel-Weil theorem and equivariant K -group

Theorem (Naito-K-Sagaki 2020)

For each $\lambda \in P$, we have a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ on \mathbf{Q} such that

$$H^i(\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{O}(\lambda))^\vee \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{W}_\lambda & (i = 0, \lambda \in P_+) \\ 0 & (\text{else}) \end{cases}.$$

Ind-model analogue of this result is due to Braverman-Finkelberg.

We define $(T \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ -equivariant K -group of \mathbf{Q} via numerics:

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \text{gch } H^i(\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}(\lambda)) \equiv \chi(\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{F}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in P$$

by imposing suitable restrictions on $(T \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ -equivariant sheaf \mathcal{F} .

\rightsquigarrow One can define $K_T(\mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}})$ suitably (not automatically a ring).

Richardson varieties of X

The Chevalley involution of (G, T) sends B to its opposite B^- .

Theorem (Bruhat decomposition and Richardson's theorem)

1. T -fixed points of $X \Leftrightarrow$ the finite Weyl group W of G ;
2. B -orbits (resp. B^- -orbits) of $X \Leftrightarrow W$ (through X^T)
 $\rightsquigarrow X(w, v)$: intersection of B and B^- -orbit for $w, v \in W$.
3. $X(w, v)$ is either empty or an irreducible smooth variety.

B -orbit closure X_w corresponding to $w \in W$ is our Schubert varieties, and $X(w, v)$ are our open Richardson varieties.

Richardson varieties know the “intersection theory” of X .

$X(w, v)$ is defined over \mathbb{Z} , and its structure and topology over \mathbb{R} (often projected) attracted attention (see e.g. Williams' talk).

Schubert and Richardson varieties of \mathbf{Q}^{rat}

In general, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(V_{\varpi_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}((z))) \subset \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(V_{\varpi_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]) ,$$

where $\{\varpi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset P_+$: fundamental weights. The Chevalley involution θ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ should “swap” $G[z]$ and $G[z^{-1}]$ in $G((z))$.

$\tilde{B} := \text{ev}_0^{-1}(B) \subset G[[z]]$, where $\text{ev}_0 : G[[z]] \rightarrow G$ is evaluation.

Theorem (essentially due to Iwahori-Matsumoto 1965)

$\tilde{B} \setminus \mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}}$ is in bijection with W_{af} , the affine Weyl group of G . Let $\mathbb{O}_w \subset \mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}}$ be the \mathbf{I} -orbit corr. to $w \in W_{\text{af}}$ and set $\mathbf{Q}_w := \overline{\mathbb{O}_w}$.

For $w, v \in W_{\text{af}}$, we define the Richardson variety of \mathbf{Q}^{rat} as:

$$\mathcal{Q}(w, v) := \mathbf{Q}_w \cap \theta(\mathbf{Q}_{vw_0}) \subset \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(V_{\varpi_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]) ,$$

where \cap is understood scheme-theoretically and $w_0 \in W \subset W_{\text{af}}$.

Connection between \mathbf{Q}^{rat} and the space of rational maps

Theorem (K 2021)

For all $w, v \in W_{\text{af}}$, the variety $\mathcal{Q}(w, v)$ is irreducible and reduced. It is normal and have an explicit dimension formula.

Proof uses the Frobenius splitting (FS) of \mathbf{Q}^{rat} , transplanted as:

$$X_{\text{af}} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{X}_{\text{af}} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{Q} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}}.$$

X_{af} has FS by Kumar and Mathieu, \mathbf{X}_{af} has FS by K (2020), and next two are by the relation between integrable l.w. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules, \mathbb{W}_{λ} s, and \mathbb{X}_{λ} s. Then, we lift its consequences from $\{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p\}_p$ to \mathbb{C} .

Corollary (K 2021) For β varying in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\{G\text{-stable Richardson} \subset \mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}}\} = \overline{\{\{f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X \mid f_*[\mathbb{P}^1] = \beta\}\}^{\text{Drin}}}_{\beta},$$

where *Drin* denotes the Drinfeld compactification.

(Small) quantum K -groups of flag manifolds

Quantum K -group $qK(X)$ on $X = G/B$ is defined by evaluation on

$$\overline{\{f : (\mathbb{P}^1, \{x_m\}_{m=1}^t) \rightarrow X \mid \deg f = \beta\}}^{Kont} \quad t = 2, 3$$

by its original definition (Givental 2000, Lee 2005).

Here, $Kont$ denotes the Kontevich compactification (stable maps).

Reconstruction theorem (Iritani-Milanov-Tonita 2015) reduces calculations to $t = 1, 2$.

Above moduli spaces with $t = 1, 2$ with Schubert class insertions yields “resolutions” of Richardson varieties of \mathbf{Q}^{rat} .

Therefore, K -theoretic counts on \mathbf{Q}^{rat} knows $qK(X)$ of X if the singularities involved are all rational.

⇐ [K, 2018+] (reduced to [Braverman-Finkelberg, 2014, 2017])

Pontryagin product on affine Grassmannians

We have an identification

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G = \frac{G[z^{\pm 1}]}{G[z]} \xleftarrow{\cong} \Omega K = \{f : S^1 \rightarrow K \mid f \text{ is poly s.t. } f(1) = e\},$$

where $K \subset G$ is the maximal compact subgroup. The RHS has a product induced by the mult on K . This induces a product \odot on $K^{\mathrm{top}}(\Omega K)$. The Birkhoff factorization induces an identification

$$(K_G(\mathrm{Gr}_G), \mathrm{conv}) \cong (K_K^{\mathrm{top}}(\Omega K), \odot),$$

where the product on the LHS is the convolution product. Its scalar extension to

$$K_T(\mathrm{pt}) = K_{T \cap K}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{pt}) \supset K_K^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{pt}) = K_G(\mathrm{pt})$$

yields the $(T \cap K)$ -equivariant Pontryagin product.

([Lam-Schilling-Shimozono 2010] and [LLMS 2018])

The Peterson isomorphism in K -theory

We have some natural multiplicative systems on $K_T(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ and $qK_T(X)$ (in an “opposite” direction to each other).

Theorem (conjectured by Lam-Li-Mihalcea-Shimozono, K 2018+)

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & K_T(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}) & \\ \Phi \nearrow & & \nwarrow \Psi \\ K_T(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{loc}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & qK_T(X)_{\mathrm{loc}} \end{array}$$

that respects the Schubert bases and operations in each objects.

We have its parabolic & non-commutative versions. H_\bullet -version of the bottom arrow (due to Peterson-Lam-Mihalcea-Shimozono) can be proven via \mathcal{Q} , but it does *not* yield a triangle as above (yet?).

Some perspectives

1. We expect virtually *all* results on the geometry of X and their descriptions (including some combinatorics) have reasonable analogues in the setting of \mathbf{Q}^{rat} ;
2. Above triangle tells finer structure of $qK_T(X)$ via $K_T(\mathbf{Q}^{\text{rat}})$;
3. Our \mathbf{Q}^{rat} is an algebraic version of the loop space of X . Hence, the morphism Ψ , that is an instance of the loop space formalism to describe $qH(X)$, would exist in greater generality;
4. We have “global counter-parts” in each piece of the above triangle. \rightsquigarrow global version of the above triangle?
5. The study of tensor products should be replaced with the calculation of a kind of conformal blocks?
6. We now understand some vector bundles, including sheaf of differential operators of \mathbf{Q}^{rat} . \rightsquigarrow some representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.