

ON INVERSION OF ADJUNCTION

OSAMU FUJINO AND KENTA HASHIZUME

ABSTRACT. We first announce our recent result on adjunction and inversion of adjunction. Then we clarify the relationship between our inversion of adjunction and Hacon's inversion of adjunction for log canonical centers of arbitrary codimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [FH2], we established the following adjunction and inversion of adjunction for log canonical centers of arbitrary codimension in full generality.

Theorem 1.1 (Adjunction and Inversion of Adjunction, see [FH2]). *Let X be a normal variety and let Δ be an effective \mathbb{R} -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier. Let W be a log canonical center of (X, Δ) and let $\nu: Z \rightarrow W$ be the normalization of W . Then there exist a b -potentially nef \mathbb{R} - b -divisor \mathbf{M} and an \mathbb{R} - b -divisor \mathbf{B} on Z such that \mathbf{B}_Z is effective with*

$$\nu^*(K_X + \Delta) = \mathbf{K}_Z + \mathbf{M}_Z + \mathbf{B}_Z.$$

More precisely, there exists a projective birational morphism $p: Z' \rightarrow Z$ from a smooth quasi-projective variety Z' such that

- (i) $\mathbf{M} = \overline{\mathbf{M}_{Z'}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{Z'}$ is a potentially nef \mathbb{R} -divisor on Z' ,
- (ii) $\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{B} = \overline{\mathbf{K}_{Z'} + \mathbf{B}_{Z'}}$,
- (iii) $\text{Supp } \mathbf{B}_{Z'}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z' ,
- (iv) $\nu \circ p(\mathbf{B}_{Z'}^{\geq 1}) = W \cap \text{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ holds set theoretically, and
- (v) $\nu \circ p(\mathbf{B}_{Z'}^{\geq 1}) = W \cap \left(\text{Nlc}(X, \Delta) \cup \bigcup_{W \not\subset W^\dagger} W^\dagger \right)$, where W^\dagger runs over log canonical centers of (X, Δ) which do not contain W , holds set theoretically.

For the details of Theorem 1.1, see [FH2]. On the other hand, Hacon introduced a b -divisor, which is denoted by $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$, and formulated his inversion of adjunction for log canonical centers of arbitrary codimension in [Hac]. We note that the definition of $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ is different from our definition of \mathbf{B} in Theorem 1.1. The goal of this paper is to prove that $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta) = \mathbf{B}$ always holds. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a normal variety and let Δ be an effective \mathbb{R} -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier. Let W be a log canonical center of (X, Δ) . Then Hacon's $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ coincides with the \mathbb{R} - b -divisor \mathbf{B} on Z in Theorem 1.1, where Z is the normalization of W . Hence our adjunction and inversion of adjunction for log canonical centers of arbitrary codimension completely generalizes Hacon's inversion of adjunction.*

By Theorem 1.2, Hacon's inversion of adjunction for log canonical centers of arbitrary codimension in [Hac] now becomes a very special case of Theorem 1.1. We think that the definition of \mathbf{B} in [FH1] and [FH2] is more natural than Hacon's definition of $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ in [Hac]. However, $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ seems to be easier to compute than \mathbf{B} . Hence Theorem 1.2 is important and useful.

Date: 2021/7/5, version 0.08.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30; Secondary 14N30.

Key words and phrases. adjunction, inversion of adjunction, minimal model program.

Remark 1.3. In [Hac], Hacon defined $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ under the extra assumption that Δ is a boundary \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. However, his definition works for effective \mathbb{R} -divisors Δ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier without any modifications. By definition, it is obvious that $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta) \leq \mathbf{B}$ always holds.

Let us quickly explain the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the reader's convenience. First we take a suitable resolution of singularities of the pair (X, Δ) . Next, by using the framework of quasi-log schemes (see [F3, Chapter 6]), we construct a natural quasi-log scheme structure on Z (see [F4]). Then we apply the theory of basic \mathbb{R} -slc-trivial fibrations and obtain \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{M} satisfying (i), (ii), (iii), and (v) (see [FH2]). Finally, we prove (iv) with the aid of the minimal model program for log canonical pairs (see [FH1]). We strongly recommend the interested reader to see [F4], [FH1], and [FH2].

In this paper, we will only use the minimal model program at the level of [BCHM]. We will freely use the standard notation and definitions of the minimal model program as in [F2] and [F3] (see also [F4]).

Acknowledgments. The first author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H01787, JP20H00111, JP21H00974. The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16J05875, JP19J00046.

2. $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ AND \mathbf{B}

Let us recall the definition of $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ and \mathbf{B} .

Definition 2.1 ($\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ and \mathbf{B}). Let X be a normal variety and let Δ be an \mathbb{R} -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier and that Δ is effective in a neighborhood of the generic point of a closed subvariety W . Assume that W is a log canonical center of (X, Δ) . Let $\nu: Z \rightarrow W$ be the normalization of W . For any proper birational morphism $\rho: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ from a normal variety \tilde{Z} , we consider prime divisors T over X such that $a(T, X, \Delta) = -1$ and that the center of T on X is W . We take a suitable resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ with $K_Y + \Delta_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta)$ so that Δ_Y is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y , T is a prime divisor on Y , and the induced map $f_T: T \dashrightarrow \tilde{Z}$ is a morphism. We put $\Delta_T = (\Delta_Y - T)|_T$. For any prime divisor P on \tilde{Z} , we shrink \tilde{Z} and assume that P is Cartier. Then we define a real number $\alpha_{P,T}$ by

$$\alpha_{P,T} = \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid (T, \Delta_T + \lambda f_T^* P) \text{ is sub log canonical over the generic point of } P\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha_{P,T}$ is independent of the resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ and is well-defined. The trace $\mathbf{B}_{\tilde{Z}}$ of \mathbf{B} on \tilde{Z} is defined by

$$\mathbf{B}_{\tilde{Z}} = \sum_P (1 - \inf_T \alpha_{P,T}) P$$

where P runs over prime divisors on \tilde{Z} and T runs over prime divisors over X such that $a(T, X, \Delta) = -1$ and that the center of T on X is W .

We choose and fix one prime divisor T over X such that $a(T, X, \Delta) = -1$ and that the center of T on X is W . The trace $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)_{\tilde{Z}}$ of $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)_{\tilde{Z}} = \sum_P (1 - \alpha_{P,T}) P$$

where P runs over prime divisors on \tilde{Z} . By definition, $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta) \leq \mathbf{B}$ always holds.

Remark 2.2. Although it is not obvious, we can check that \mathbf{B} is a well-defined \mathbb{R} -b-divisor on Z . On the other hand, we can easily see that $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ is a well-defined \mathbb{R} -b-divisor on Z , but it is not clear whether $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ is independent of the choice of T or not. In Theorem 1.2, we prove that $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ holds. This implies that $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ is

independent of the choice of T . Moreover, by the proof of Theorem 1.2, the well-definedness of \mathbf{B} is clear.

Precisely speaking, Hacon claims that $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ is independent of the choice of the divisor T without proof in [Hac]. In this paper, we prove it in a slightly more general setting.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Before the proof of Theorem 1.2, we prepare three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a normal variety and let Δ be an \mathbb{R} -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective surjective morphism onto a smooth curve Y such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{R},f} 0$. Let P be a closed point of Y such that (X, Δ) is divisorial log terminal over $Y \setminus P$. We take the log canonical threshold b_P of (X, Δ) with respect to f^*P . Let F be a connected component of $f^{-1}(P)$. Assume that F contains a log canonical center of $(X, \Delta + b_P f^*P)$. Let S be an irreducible component of $(\Delta^h)^{=1}$, that is, S is a codimension one log canonical center of (X, Δ) which is dominant onto Y by f . Then $S \cap F$ always contains a log canonical center of $(X, \Delta + b_P f^*P)$. Hence, if $\nu: S^\nu \rightarrow S$ is the normalization of S and Δ_{S^ν} is the \mathbb{R} -divisor on S^ν defined by $K_{S^\nu} + \Delta_{S^\nu} = \nu^*(K_X + \Delta)$, then $(S^\nu, \Delta_{S^\nu} + b_P \nu^*(f|_S)^*P)$ has a log canonical center mapping to P .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that Y is quasi-projective by shrinking Y around P . By replacing Δ with $\Delta + m f^*P$ for some sufficiently large positive integer m , we may assume that Δ is effective with $\Delta \geq f^*P$. In this situation, the log canonical threshold b_P is a nonpositive number. We take a resolution of singularities of X suitably and run a minimal model program with scaling of an ample divisor as in the proof of [F4, Theorem 3.9]. Then we have a dlt blow-up $g: Z \rightarrow X$ of (X, Δ) with $K_Z + \Delta_Z = g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ such that

- Z is \mathbb{Q} -factorial,
- g is small over $Y \setminus P$, and
- the pair $(Z, \Delta_Z^{\leq 1} + \text{Supp } \Delta_Z^{\geq 1})$ is divisorial log terminal.

For the details of the construction of $g: Z \rightarrow X$, see [F4, Theorem 3.9]. By replacing $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ and F with $f \circ g: (Z, \Delta_Z) \rightarrow Y$ and $g^{-1}(F)$ respectively, we may assume that X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and $(X, \Delta^{\leq 1} + \text{Supp } \Delta^{\geq 1})$ is divisorial log terminal. Hence $(X, (\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{>0})$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial divisorial log terminal pair. Note that

$$K_X + (\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{>0} \sim_{\mathbb{R},f} -(\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{<0} \geq 0.$$

If $\text{Supp}(\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{=1} \supset F$, then it is obvious that $\Delta + b_P f^*P$ is effective in a neighborhood of F and that $S \cap F$ contains a log canonical center of $(X, \Delta + b_P f^*P)$. Therefore, from now on, we assume that $\text{Supp}(\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{=1} \not\supset F$. Let $F = \sum_i F_i$ be the irreducible decomposition of F . If $F_i \not\subset \text{Supp}(\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{=1}$, then we take $0 < \varepsilon_i \ll 1$. If $F_i \subset \text{Supp}(\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{=1}$, then we put $\varepsilon_i = 0$. Let $f: X \xrightarrow{h} \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the Stein factorization. Then $(X, (\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{>0} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i F_i)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial divisorial log terminal pair with

$$K_X + (\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{>0} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i F_i \sim_{\mathbb{R},h} -(\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{<0} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i F_i \geq 0.$$

We shrink \bar{Y} around $h(F)$ and run a minimal model program of $K_X + (\Delta + b_P f^*P)^{>0} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i F_i$ over \bar{Y} with scaling of an ample divisor. Then, after finitely many steps, we get

X' with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & X' \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow h' \\ & \bar{Y} & \end{array}$$

such that $\Delta' + b_P(f')^*P$ is effective in a neighborhood of F' and that $(\Delta' + b_P(f')^*P)^{=1} \geq F'$, where $f': X' \rightarrow \bar{Y}$, $\Delta' = \phi_*\Delta$, and $F' = \phi_*F$. For the details of the above minimal model program, see [F1] (see also the techniques of very exceptional divisors discussed in [B, Section 3]). This means that $S' \cap F'$ contains a log canonical center of $(X', \Delta' + b_P(f')^*P)$, where $S' = \phi_*S$ as usual. Hence there exists a prime divisor E over S' such that $a(E, S', \Delta_{S'} + b_P(f'|_{S'})^*P) = -1$ and E maps to P , where $K_{S'} + \Delta_{S'} = (K_{X'} + \Delta')|_{S'}$. By the construction of $\phi: X \dashrightarrow X'$, we have $a(E, S, \Delta_S + b_P(f|_S)^*P) = -1$. We note that $(X, \Delta^{<1} + \text{Supp } \Delta^{\geq 1})$ is divisorial log terminal. Hence $S \cap F$ always contains a log canonical center of $(X, \Delta + b_P f^*P)$. \square

Remark 3.2. In Lemma 3.1, we assume that F contains no log canonical center of $(X, \Delta + b_P f^*P)$. Then $(X, \Delta + (b_P + \varepsilon)f^*P)$ is log canonical in a neighborhood of F for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. In this situation, $(S^\nu, \Delta_{S^\nu} + (b_P + \varepsilon)\nu^*(f|_S)^*P)$ is log canonical by adjunction. Hence $(S^\nu, \Delta_{S^\nu} + b_P\nu^*(f|_S)^*P)$ has no log canonical center mapping to P .

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a normal quasi-projective variety and let W be a closed subvariety of X . Let $\varphi: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ be a projective birational morphism from a normal variety \widetilde{W} . Then we can construct a projective birational morphism $\psi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ from a normal variety \widetilde{X} such that ψ is an isomorphism over the generic point of W with the following commutative diagram:*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{W} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W \\ \downarrow \tilde{\iota} & & \downarrow \iota \\ \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X, \end{array}$$

where ι and $\tilde{\iota}$ are closed embeddings.

Proof. By [Har, Chapter II, Theorem 7.17], there exists a coherent ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} on W such that $\varphi: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ corresponds to the blow-up of \mathcal{I} . We put $\mathcal{J} = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W/\mathcal{I})$. Then \mathcal{J} is a coherent ideal sheaf on X . Let $\psi': X' \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of \mathcal{J} . Then we obtain the following commutative diagram by [Har, Chapter II, Corollary 7.15].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{W} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W \\ \downarrow \iota' & & \downarrow \iota \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & X \end{array}$$

By construction, ψ' is an isomorphism over the generic point of W . Let $\nu: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ be the normalization of X' . Since \widetilde{W} is normal by assumption, $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow X'$ factors through \widetilde{X} . Then we get the desired diagram (3.1) such that $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ is a closed embedding and that $\psi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of W . \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let (Y, Δ_Y) be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial divisorial log terminal pair and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective morphism with $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_Y + \Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}, f} 0$. Let V be a reduced divisor on Y such that $V \leq \Delta_Y^{=1}$ and $f(V_i)$ is independent of $i \in I$, where $V = \sum_{i \in I} V_i$*

is the irreducible decomposition of V . We set $W = f(V_i)$. Suppose that no log canonical center of $(Y, \Delta_Y - V)$ maps to W by f . Then $f_*\mathcal{O}_V \simeq \mathcal{O}_W$ holds.

Proof. We can take a projective birational morphism $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ from a smooth variety Z such that g is an isomorphism over the generic point of any log canonical center of (Y, Δ_Y) and that $\text{Exc}(g) \cup \text{Supp } g_*^{-1}\Delta_Y$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z . Then we can write $K_Z + \Delta_Z = g^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + E$ with $\Delta_Z = g_*^{-1}\Delta_Y$ such that $[E]$ is effective and g -exceptional. Let V_Z be the strict transform of V on Z . We have $-V_Z + [E] \sim_{\mathbb{R}, f \circ g} K_Z + \Delta_Z - V_Z + \{-E\}$. By the vanishing theorem of Reid–Fukuda type (see [F3, Theorem 3.2.11]), $R^i g_*\mathcal{O}_Z(-V_Z + [E]) = 0$ for every $i > 0$. We note that $g_*\mathcal{O}_Z(-V_Z + [E]) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(-V)$ holds since $[E]$ is effective and g -exceptional. We consider the following long exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-V) \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_V \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-V) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

By [F2, Theorem 6.3 (i)] (see also [F3, Theorem 3.16.3 (i)]), there exists no associated prime of $R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-V) \simeq R^1(f \circ g)_*\mathcal{O}_Z(-V_Z + [E])$ in $W = f(V)$. Hence the above connecting homomorphism δ is zero. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_X \simeq f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_V$ is surjective. Thus the natural map $\mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_V$ is an isomorphism. \square

Let us start the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$ under a slightly weaker assumption that Δ is only effective in a neighborhood of the generic point of W .

Step 1. We take an arbitrary projective birational morphism $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow W$ from a normal variety \tilde{Z} . We have to prove $\mathbf{B}_{\tilde{Z}} = \mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)_{\tilde{Z}}$. By taking an affine open cover of X , we may assume that X is quasi-projective. By applying Lemma 3.3 to $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow W$ and $W \hookrightarrow X$, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Z} & \longrightarrow & W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X, \end{array}$$

where $\psi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a projective birational morphism from a normal variety such that ψ is an isomorphism over the generic point of W . We put $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} = \psi^*(K_X + \Delta)$. Since ψ is an isomorphism over the generic point of W , $\tilde{\Delta}$ is effective in a neighborhood of the generic point of \tilde{Z} and \tilde{Z} is a log canonical center of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$. By replacing (X, Δ) and W with $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$ and \tilde{Z} , respectively, we may further assume that W is normal. By this reduction, all we have to do is to prove $\mathbf{B}_W = \mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)_W$.

Step 2. We take an effective Cartier divisor D on X such that $W \not\subset \text{Supp } D$ and $\text{Supp } \Delta^{<0} \subset \text{Supp } D$. We consider the pair $(X, \Delta + mD)$ for some sufficiently large positive integer m such that $\Delta + mD$ is effective. We take a projective birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ from a smooth quasi-projective variety Y such that $f^{-1}(W)$ and $\text{Exc}(f)$ are divisors on Y such that the union of $f^{-1}(W)$, $\text{Exc}(f)$, $\text{Supp } f_*^{-1}\Delta$, and $\text{Supp } f_*^{-1}D$ is contained in a simple normal crossing divisor. We put $K_Y + \Delta_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta)$ and $K_Y + \Delta_Y + mf_*^{-1}D = f^*(K_X + \Delta + mD)$. We define $V = \sum_{i \in I} V_i$, where V_i runs over components of Δ_Y^{-1} with $f(V_i) = W$. By construction, there exists no log canonical center of $(Y, \Delta_Y - V)$ mapping to W over a neighborhood of the generic point of W . Let T be the prime divisor over X which was chosen in order to define $\mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)$. Let S be any prime divisor over X such that $a(S, X, \Delta) = -1$ and that the center of S on X is W . We may assume that S and T are components of V by taking $f: Y \rightarrow X$ suitably. To prove Theorem 1.2, it is sufficient to check that α_{P, V_i} is independent of the choice of $i \in I$.

Step 3. By running a minimal model program with scaling of an ample divisor as in the proof of [F4, Theorem 3.9], we get a dlt blow-up $f': Y' \rightarrow X$ of $(X, \Delta + mD)$ with the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & Y' \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f' \\ & X & \end{array}$$

We put $K_{Y'} + \Delta_{Y'} = (f')^*(K_X + \Delta)$. By construction, Y' is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and

$$\left(Y', (\Delta_{Y'} + (f')^*mD)^{<1} + \text{Supp}(\Delta_{Y'} + (f')^*mD)^{\geq 1} \right)$$

is divisorial log terminal. For the details, see [F4, Theorem 3.9]. Therefore, $f': (Y', \Delta_{Y'}) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ is a dlt blow-up over a neighborhood of the generic point of W . By construction again, ϕ does not contract any components of V . We put $V' = \phi_*V$ and $V'_i = \phi_*V_i$ for every $i \in I$. We note that (Y', V') is divisorial log terminal since $V' \leq \text{Supp}(\Delta_{Y'} + (f')^*mD)^{\geq 1}$. In particular, V'_i is normal for every $i \in I$. We can take a Zariski open neighborhood U of the generic point of W over which $f': (Y', \Delta_{Y'}) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ is a dlt blow-up and no log canonical center of $(Y', \Delta_{Y'} - V')$ maps to W by f' . By applying Lemma 3.4 to $f': (Y', \Delta_{Y'})|_{(f')^{-1}(U)} \rightarrow (f')^{-1}(U)$, we obtain that the natural map $\mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow f'_*\mathcal{O}_{V'}$ is an isomorphism on U . On the other hand, since every irreducible component of V' is dominant onto W , we see that $\text{Spec}_W f'_*\mathcal{O}_{V'}$ is a variety. Therefore, since W is normal, the finite birational morphism $\text{Spec}_W f'_*\mathcal{O}_{V'} \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism by Zariski's main theorem. This implies that $f': V' \rightarrow W$ has connected fibers. We put $K_{V'_i} + \Delta_{V'_i} = (K_{Y'} + \Delta_{Y'})|_{V'_i}$ and $f'_i = f'|_{V'_i}: V'_i \rightarrow W$ for every $i \in I$. We shrink W and assume that P is Cartier. Then we set

$$\alpha_{P, V'_i} = \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid (V'_i, \Delta_{V'_i} + \lambda(f'_i)^*P) \text{ is sub log canonical over the generic point of } P\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha_{P, V_i} = \alpha_{P, V'_i}$ holds for every $i \in I$. Therefore, to prove that α_{P, V_i} is independent of the choice of $i \in I$, it is sufficient to prove that α_{P, V'_i} is independent of the choice of $i \in I$.

Step 4. We take a prime divisor P on W . By cutting down X by general hyperplanes, we assume that W is a smooth curve and P is a closed point. By shrinking X suitably around P , $(V'_i, \Delta_{V'_i})$ is divisorial log terminal over $W \setminus P$ for every $i \in I$. We put

$$c_P = \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid (V'_i, \Delta_{V'_i} + \lambda(f'_i)^*P) \text{ is sub log canonical for every } i \in I\}.$$

By definition, there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $\alpha_{P, V'_{i_0}} = c_P$ holds. From now on, we will prove that $\alpha_{P, V'_i} = c_P$ holds for every $i \in I$. If $\#I = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. Hence we may assume that $\#I \geq 2$. To obtain $\alpha_{P, V'_i} = c_P$ for every $i \in I$, it is sufficient to prove the following claim.

Claim. *Let F be any connected component of $f_i^{-1}(P)$ and let B be any irreducible component of $(\Delta_{V'_i}^h)^{=1}$ for some $i \in I$. Then $B \cap F$ contains a log canonical center of $(V'_i, \Delta_{V'_i} + c_P(f'_i)^*P)$.*

Proof of Claim. We note that for any $j \in I$ there exists some $k \in I$ with $k \neq j$ such that $V'_j \cap V'_k \neq \emptyset$ and that some irreducible component of $V'_j \cap V'_k$ is dominant onto W by f' since $f': V' \rightarrow W$ has connected fibers and every irreducible component of V' is dominant onto W by f' . We take an irreducible component A of $V'_j \cap V'_k$ with $j \neq k$ such that A is dominant onto W by f' . We note that A is an irreducible component of $(\Delta_{V'_j}^h)^{=1}$ and $(\Delta_{V'_k}^h)^{=1}$ by adjunction. Let G_j be a connected component of $(f'_j)^{-1}(P)$. Then $A \cap G_j$

contains a log canonical center of $(V'_j, \Delta_{V'_j} + c_P(f'_j)^*P)$ if and only if $A \cap G_k$ contains a log canonical center of $(V'_k, \Delta_{V'_k} + c_P(f'_k)^*P)$, where G_k is the connected component of $(f'_k)^{-1}(P)$ containing $A \cap G_j$. We first apply Lemma 3.1 to $f'_{i_0}: (V'_{i_0}, \Delta_{V'_{i_0}} + c_P(f'_{i_0})^*P) \rightarrow W$ and then use the connectedness of $(f'|_{V'})^{-1}(P)$. By repeating this argument, we finally obtain that $B \cap F$ always contains a log canonical center of $(V'_i, \Delta_{V'_i} + c_P(f'_i)^*P)$. \square

As we mentioned above, we see that α_{P, V'_i} is independent of $i \in I$. This is what we wanted.

The above arguments show that $\mathbf{B}_W = \mathbf{B}(W; X, \Delta)_W$ holds. We finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Remark 3.5. In Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we proved that $f': V' \rightarrow W$ has connected fibers. Note that W is normal by the reduction argument in Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1.2. However, it is not clear whether $f': V'_i \rightarrow W$ has connected fibers or not. Hence we need a somewhat artificial formulation in Lemma 3.1.

REFERENCES

- [B] C. Birkar, Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **115** (2012), no. 1, 325–368.
- [BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468.
- [F1] O. Fujino, Semi-stable minimal model program for varieties with trivial canonical divisor, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **87** (2011), no. 3, 25–30.
- [F2] O. Fujino, Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **47** (2011), no. 3, 727–789.
- [F3] O. Fujino, *Foundations of the minimal model program*, MSJ Memoirs, **35**. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [F4] O. Fujino, Cone theorem and Mori hyperbolicity, preprint (2021). arXiv:2102.11986 [math.AG]
- [FH1] O. Fujino, K. Hashizume, Existence of log canonical modifications and its applications, preprint (2021). arXiv:2103.01417 [math.AG]
- [FH2] O. Fujino, K. Hashizume, Adjunction and inversion of adjunction, preprint (2021). arXiv:2105.14531 [math.AG]
- [Hac] C. D. Hacon, On the log canonical inversion of adjunction, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **57** (2014), no. 1, 139–143.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. **52**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

OSAMU FUJINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: fujino@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

KENTA HASHIZUME, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA MEGURO-KU TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: hkenta@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp