

# ON FINITE GENERATION OF ADJOINT RINGS

OSAMU FUJINO

ABSTRACT. In this short paper, we prove that adjoint rings are finitely generated even in the complex analytic setting.

## 1. FINITE GENERATION OF ADJOINT RINGS

The following theorem was first obtained in [DHP], whose argument is a complex analytic generalization of [CL]. When  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a projective morphism between algebraic varieties, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below are well known (see [BCHM, Corollary 1.1.9]). In this paper, we see that they easily follow from [F]. In [F, Definition 2.23], we defined *locally finitely generated graded  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras* on a complex analytic space  $X$ . Similarly, we can define *locally finitely generated  $\mathbb{N}^k$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras*.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complex variety and let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Let  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on  $X$  with  $[B_i] = 0$  for all  $i$  such that the support of  $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$  is a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a  $\pi$ -nef and  $\pi$ -big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ . We put  $D_i = K_X + A + B_i$  for every  $i$ . Then the relative adjoint ring*

$$R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k) := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left( \left\lfloor \sum m_i D_i \right\rfloor \right)$$

*is a locally finitely generated  $\mathbb{N}^k$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra.*

Although Theorem 1.2 is essentially equivalent to Theorem 1.1, the following formulation may be useful for some applications.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal complex variety and let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Let  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on  $X$  such that  $(X, B_i)$  is divisorial log terminal for every  $i$ . Let  $A$  be a  $\pi$ -ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ . We put  $D_i = K_X + A + B_i$  for every  $i$ . Then the relative adjoint ring*

$$R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k) := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left( \left\lfloor \sum m_i D_i \right\rfloor \right)$$

*is a locally finitely generated  $\mathbb{N}^k$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra.*

We make an easy remark.

**Remark 1.3.** If  $(X, B_i)$  is kawamata log terminal for every  $i$  in Theorem 1.2, then it is sufficient to assume that  $A$  is  $\pi$ -nef and  $\pi$ -big. This is obvious by the proof of Theorem 1.2.

---

*Date:* 2023/5/6, version 0.01.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30; Secondary 32C15.

*Key words and phrases.* minimal model program, complex analytic spaces, adjoint rings, finite generation.

This note will be contained in [F].

**Acknowledgments.** The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H01787, JP20H00111, JP21H00974, JP21H04994.

In this paper, we will freely use [F]. We note that  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of non-negative integers.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREMS

In this section, we will prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let us start with an easy lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complex variety and let  $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_k$  be line bundles on  $X$ . We put  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_k$  and consider the projective bundle  $f: Z := \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$  associated to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the divisor on  $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$  associated to the quotient  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_k$  for every  $i$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k T_i$  is a simple normal crossing divisor on  $Z$  such that*

$$\mathcal{O}_Z \left( K_Z + \sum_{i=1}^k T_i \right) \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$$

holds.

*Proof.* We put  $\mathcal{E}_i := \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_k$ . Then we have  $T_i = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}_i)$  by definition. It is almost obvious that  $\sum_{i=1}^k T_i$  is a simple normal crossing divisor on  $Z$ . We set  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{T_i}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}_i)}(1)$ . Then we consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T_i}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By taking the pushforward by  $f$ , we obtain that

$$0 \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This implies that  $f_*(\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i)) \simeq \mathcal{L}_i$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-T_i) \simeq f^* \mathcal{L}_i$  for every  $i$ . We note that

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z) \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes f^* \det \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-k)$$

since  $f: Z = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z) \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \left( - \sum_{i=1}^k T_i \right).$$

This is what we wanted. □

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal complex variety and let  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on  $X$ . Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Let  $d$  be any positive integer. Then the relative adjoint ring*

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k m_i D_i \right] \right)$$

is locally finitely generated  $\mathbb{N}^k$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra if and only if so is the truncation

$$\mathcal{A}^{(d)} := \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k m_i d D_i \right] \right).$$

*Proof.* Although [F, Lemma 2.26] only treats  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebras, the proof of [F, Lemma 2.26] works for this lemma.  $\square$

Let us prove Theorem 1.1. The proof given below is essentially the same as **Aliter** in the proof of [BCHM, Corollary 1.1.9].

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The problem is local. Hence we take an arbitrary point  $P \in Y$  and will replace  $Y$  with a small open neighborhood of  $P$  in  $Y$  freely. Let  $U$  be any relatively compact open neighborhood of  $P$  in  $Y$ . By [KM, Proposition 2.36 (1)], we take a suitable finite composite of blow-ups  $f: X' \rightarrow X$ . Then, over some open neighborhood of  $\bar{U}$ , we can write

$$K_{X'} + B'_i = f^*(K_X + B_i) + E_i$$

such that  $B'_i$  and  $E_i$  have no common irreducible components,  $f_*B'_i = B_i$ ,  $f_*E_i = 0$ , and  $\text{Supp } B'_i$  is smooth for every  $i$ . We may further assume that the support of  $\sum_{i=1}^k B'_i$  is a smooth divisor. Then we have

$$R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k) \simeq R(X'/Y, D'_1, \dots, D'_k)$$

over some open neighborhood of  $\bar{U}$ , where we put  $D'_i := K_{X'} + f^*A + B'_i$  for every  $i$ . Therefore, by shrinking  $Y$  suitably and replacing  $X$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $A$ , and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $X'$ ,  $B'_i$ ,  $f^*A$ , and  $\pi \circ f: X' \rightarrow Y$ , respectively, we may assume that the support of  $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$  is smooth. We take a positive integer  $d \geq 2$  such that  $dB_i$  is integral for every  $i$  and that  $dA$  is also integral. We put

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_X(dB_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_k).$$

We consider the projective bundle  $f: Z := \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$  associated to  $\mathcal{E}$ . We take a global section  $\sigma_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(dB_i)$  with  $(\sigma_i = 0) = dB_i$  for every  $i$ . Then  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$  is a global section of  $\mathcal{E}$ . By the natural surjection

$$f^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})}(1) := \mathcal{O}_Z(1),$$

we obtain a global section  $\sigma_Z$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ , that is, the image of  $f^*\sigma$ . Let  $S$  be the divisor corresponding to  $\sigma_Z$  on  $Z$ . Let  $T_i$  be the divisor on  $Z$  associated to the quotient

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(dB_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_{i-1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_{i+1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(dB_k).$$

It is easy to see that  $T := \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$  is a simple normal crossing divisor on  $Z$  as in Lemma 2.1.

**Claim.** *The pair  $(Z, T + S/d)$  is divisorial log terminal. Moreover, the pair  $(Z, T + S/d)$  is kawamata log terminal outside  $T$ .*

*Proof of Claim.* We can directly check that the support of  $S$  is a simple normal crossing divisor on  $Z$  and the coefficients of  $S/d$  is less than one. Hence  $(Z, T + S/d)$  is obviously kawamata log terminal outside  $T$ . From now on, we will use induction on  $k$  to prove that  $(Z, T + S/d)$  is divisorial log terminal. If  $k = 1$ , then the statement is obvious. By adjunction and induction, for every  $j$ , we have

$$\left( K_Z + T + \frac{1}{d}S \right) \Big|_{T_j} = K_{T_j} + (T - T_j)|_{T_j} + \frac{1}{d}S|_{T_j}$$

and Claim holds true for  $(T_j, (T - T_j)|_{T_j} + S|_{T_j}/d)$ . By inversion of adjunction, we know that  $(Z, T + S/d)$  is divisorial log terminal. This is what we wanted. We finish the proof.  $\square$

Let us go back to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We put

$$\Gamma := \sum_{i=1}^k T_i + f^*A + \frac{1}{d}S.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_Z(md(K_Z + \Gamma)) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(mdf^*(K_X + A) + mS) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(m) \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}_X(md(K_X + A)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(md(K_Z + \Gamma)) \simeq S^m(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(md(K_X + A)),$$

where  $S^m(\mathcal{E})$  denotes the  $m$ th symmetric product of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Hence we have

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Z(md(K_Z + \Gamma)) \simeq \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k} \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum m_i d(K_X + A + B_i)\right).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Z(md(K_Z + \Gamma))$$

is a locally finitely generated graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra. Since  $T$  is  $f$ -ample by construction and every log canonical center of  $(Z, T + S/d)$  is dominant onto  $X$  by Claim, we can find an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  on  $Z$  such that  $K_Z + \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Z + \Delta$  and that  $(Z, \Delta)$  is kawamata log terminal after replacing  $Y$  with a suitable open neighborhood of  $P$  in  $Y$ . Therefore, we obtain that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Z(md(K_Z + \Gamma))$$

is a locally finitely generated graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra by [F, Theorem 1.18] and Lemma 2.2 (see also [F, Lemma 2.26]). We finish the proof.  $\square$

We see that Theorem 1.2 easily follows from Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We take an arbitrary point  $P \in Y$ . Throughout this proof, we will freely shrink  $Y$  around  $P$  without mentioning it explicitly. By [KM, Proposition 2.43], we can take an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $B'_i$  such that  $(X, B'_i)$  is kawamata log terminal with  $B_i + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B'_i + (1 - \varepsilon)A$  for every  $i$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive rational number. We put  $D'_i := K_X + (1 - \varepsilon)A + B'_i$  and consider the relative adjoint ring  $R(X/Y, D'_1, \dots, D'_k)$ . Then  $R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k)$  and  $R(X/Y, D'_1, \dots, D'_k)$  have isomorphic truncation. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove the finite generation of  $R(X/Y, D'_1, \dots, D'_k)$ . Therefore, by replacing  $B_i$  and  $A$  with  $B'_i$  and  $(1 - \varepsilon)A$ , respectively, we may assume that  $(X, B_i)$  is kawamata log terminal for every  $i$ . We take a resolution  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  such that

$$K_{X'} + B'_i = f^*(K_X + B_i) + E_i,$$

where  $B'_i$  and  $E_i$  have no common irreducible components,  $f_*B'_i = B_i$ , and  $f_*E_i = 0$ . We may further assume that the support of  $\sum_{i=1}^k B'_i$  is a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X'$ . Since  $(X, B_i)$  is kawamata log terminal, we have  $[B'_i] = 0$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, by replacing  $(X, B_i)$ ,  $A$ , and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $(X', B'_i)$ ,  $f^*A$ , and  $\pi \circ f: X' \rightarrow Y$ , respectively, we may assume that  $[B_i] = 0$ , the support of  $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, and  $A$  is  $\pi$ -nef and  $\pi$ -big. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the desired finite generation of  $R(X/Y, D_1, \dots, D_k)$ . We finish the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468.
- [CL] P. Cascini, V. Lazić, New outlook on the minimal model program, I, *Duke Math. J.* **161** (2012), no. 12, 2415–2467.
- [DHP] O. Das, C. Hacon, M. Păun, On the 4-dimensional minimal model program for Kähler varieties, preprint (2022). arXiv:2205.12205 [math.AG]
- [F] O. Fujino, Minimal model program for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces, preprint (2022). arXiv:2201.11315 [math.AG]
- [KM] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO  
606-8502, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* fujino@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp